

GEOGRAPHICAL AND SEASONAL PATTERNS OF LARVAL FISH SPECIES STRUCTURE IN THE CALIFORNIA CURRENT AREA, 1975

VALERIE J. LOEB¹ San Diego Natural History Museum P.O. Box 1390 San Diego, California 92112

ABSTRACT

Analysis of 1,504 plankton tows from the 1975 Cal-COFI cruise year yielded information on geographical and temporal patterns among 204 larval fish taxa. These taxa represented the spawn of fishes from a variety of habitats and water-mass affiliations. The larvae of certain commercially valuable pelagic spawning fishes (anchovy, hake, sardine, jack mackerel, and Pacific mackerel) dominated (75.5% of total larvae); these species are treated separately from the less abundant taxa (further separated into continental shelf, oceanic, and mesopelagic categories and taxa) to permit description of underlying hydrographically related distribution patterns within the California Current area.

The composition and species abundance relations of the ichthyoplankton were similar to those reported from 1955-58. Exceptions were decreased relative abundance of sardine and increased proportions of anchovy larvae in 1975 vs 1955-58.

Anchovy, hake, and jack mackerel larvae were most abundant south of Point Conception. Abundances of rockfishes and bathylagids decreased, while those of flatfishes, myctophids, and gonostomatids increased, from north to south and inshore to offshore. Absolute ichthyoplankton abundance varied by a factor of 10 between January-March (maximum) and September-December (minimum). Anchovy, hake, jack mackerel, rockfishes, sciaenids, myctophids, and bathylagids had January-March abundance peaks; myctophids and gonostomatids had July abundance peaks; flatfishes were most abundant from October-December. Regional ichthyoplankton abundance fluctuations and compositions were related to hydrographic regimes.

The area off northern Baja California marked a transition between predominantly cold-water or subarctictransition zone species with January-March abundance peaks and predominantly eastern tropical Pacific or warm-water species with summer and fall abundance peaks. This transition zone coincides with a persistent lobe of negative wind stress curl (surface-layer convergence) extending to the coast from offshore waters;

PAUL E. SMITH AND H. GEOFFREY MOSER National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Southwest Fisheries Center La Jolla, California 92038

> this zone may separate biological regimes of California and southern Baja California.

RESUMEN

Los análisis de 1504 colecciones de plancton correspondientes al crucero CalCOFI de 1975, proporcionan información sobre la distribución en espacio y tiempo de 204 taxones de larvas de peces. La puesta de peces procedentes de una variedad de habitats y masas de agua aparece representada en la diversidad taxonómica larval. Las larvas de peces de importancia comercial y de puesta pelágica, Engraulis mordax (anchoa), Merluccius productus (merluza), Sardinops caerulea (sardina), Trachurus symmetricus (jurel), eran dominantes, alcanzando el 75.5% del total. Estas especies se estudian en particular y se separan de las especies menos abundantes, clasificadas bajo características taxonómicas y del habitat (plataforma continental, oceánicas y mesopelágicas), para permitir así la descripción de los tipos de distribución en relación con las características hidrográficas dentro de la región de la Corriente de California.

La composición y abundancia de especies del ictioplancton aparecían similares a las presentadas durante el período 1955-58, excepto que en 1975 disminuye la abundancia de larvas de sardina y aumenta proporcionalmente la cantidad de larvas de anchoa.

Larvas de anchoa, merluza y jurel aparecían menos abundantes al sur de Punta Concepción. La abundancia de Scorpenidae y Bathylagidae disminuía, mientras que los peces planos, Myctophidae y Gonostemidae aumentaban de norte a sur y de la costa hacia mar abierto. La abundancia absoluta de ictioplancton fluctuaba en un factor de 10 entre los períodos de Enero-Marzo (máximo) y Septiembre-Diciembre (mínimo). Anchoa, merluza y jurel, Scorpenidae, Sciaenidae, Myctophidae y Gonostomidae aparecían con máximos de abundancia en Julio, y los peces planos eran más abundantes en el período de Octubre-Diciembre. Fluctuaciones en la abundancia regional y la composición del ictioplancton aparecían relacionadas con el régimen hidrográfico.

La zona frente al norte de Baja California marcaba una transición entre aguas predominantemente frias o de la zona subártica- transición, con máximos de abundancia en el período de Enero-Marzo, y especies

¹Current address: Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, P.O. Box 223, Moss Landing, CA 95039, [Manuscript received January 4, 1983.]

de aguas cálidas, principalmente del Pacífico tropical oriental, mostraban máximos de abundancia en verano y otoño. Esta zona de transición coincide con un lóbulo de remolino persistente de presión eólica negativa (convergencia en el lecho superficial), extendiéndose desde mar afuera hacia la costa. Esta zona puede separar regímenes biológicos de California y la zona sur de Baja California.

INTRODUCTION

The California Current system is a typical eastern boundary current regime (Wooster and Reid 1963; Hickey 1979). The main current is slow, meandering, broad, and indistinct. Embedded in this current are dynamic mesoscale eddies of uncertain origin (Owen 1980), and the current includes water-mass mixtures of diverse origin. The mixed layer can include waters from the (1) North Pacific central water mass, (2) subarctic water mass, (3) Columbia River Plume, (4) subtropical water mass, (5) Davidson Current (a wintertime surface manifestation of the California Undercurrent), and (6) upwelling processes.

The biota of the area also is complex (McGowan and Miller 1980). Invertebrate "holoplankton" species can often be far from their water-mass centers of distribution because of long-range transport; older holoplankton individuals can be far from the reproductive locus of the population. The ichthyoplankton represents a brief phase in a generally longer life cycle, which is in most cases nektonic. Because larval fishes from pelagic spawn are localized at or near the spawning areas of the adults, the analysis of ichthyoplankton in the California Current area can achieve more advanced and precise localization of communities or biological regimes than can analysis of the holoplankton.

To date, most of the descriptive work from the vast set of stations included in the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) has concentrated on regional and seasonal characteristics of single oceanographic properties such as current flow, temperature, salinity, and zooplankton volume. The ichthyoplankton has been described only as single species (anchovy, sardine, jack mackerel, hake, individual mesopelagic fish, flatfish, and rockfish species) on distribution charts covering a variety of years. Aside from one paper on the co-occurrence of anchovy and sardine (Ahlstrom 1967) there have been no attempts to characterize the California Current system biota by means of larval fish assemblages.

In this paper we investigate seasonal and geographical distributions within the diverse larval fish assemblage sampled during 1975. We compare the overall composition of this assemblage with that reported from 1955-58. We then divide the ichthyoplankton into various categories based on adult habitats and analyze seasonal abundance relations of these categories (and of their dominant species) within and between pre-established and arbitrary regional divisions.

We emphasize various nonparametric analytical procedures (outlined in Fager 1963) to describe and compare regional ichthyoplankton assemblages. The procedures and results from this study will serve as a basis for subsequent analyses of and comparisons between data sets from other years in the 1951-81 Cal-COFI survey. From such analyses we eventually hope to (1) compare variations in larval fish assemblages with variations of reproductive success of commercially valuable fish species; (2) establish the use of changing ichthyoplankton assemblages as indices of general, sustained, and widespread environmental change; and (3) establish the existence of functional relationships between abundances of major fish species and their invertebrate planktonic prey.

METHODS

The present data came from the same 1,504 samples used to describe geographical and seasonal patterns of ichthyoplankton and zooplankton distributions in Loeb et al. 1983a. These samples were from standard CalCOFI plankton tows taken with a l-m diameter net (mesh size, 505 μ m) fished from 0-210 m at basic CalCOFI stations and additional inshore stations. Larval fishes were sorted out, identified to the lowest taxon possible, and counted.

Data were formatted by cruise and standard CalCO-FI regions. In 1975, 11 regions were "adequately" sampled; "adequate" means that a region was sampled during at least six one-month cruises and was generally represented by > 10 samples per cruise. All regions were sampled in December, January, March, May, and July, and most were sampled in October. Central California regions 4 and 5 were sampled in November rather than October. November data for southern California regions 7, 8, and 9 were used rather than October data because larger numbers of samples were available (Loeb et al. 1983a). Regional data were combined into four latitudinal areas (central California, southern California, northern Baja California, and central Baja California) for broader overviews of patterns of species structure. For region and area locations see Loeb et al. (1983a).

We divide the total ichthyoplankton ("TL") into "PL" (the commercially important pelagic schooling species: anchovy, hake, sardine, jack mackerel, and Pacific mackerel) and "OL" (other larval fish) fractions. These two fractions are treated separately because abundances of the PL (especially anchovy and hake) mask abundance relations of the 200+ other larval fish taxa. The OL taxa are herein further divided into continental shelf ("shelf"), open-ocean epipelagic ("oceanic"), and "mesopelagic" categories for considerations of the major ichthyoplankton components. The shelf category is further divided into "rockfish" (Sebastes spp), "flatfish" (Pleuronectiformes), and "other" categories for consideration of regional and seasonal abundance variations.

Larval fish abundances are presented as mean numbers per 10 m² sea-surface area (Kramer et al. 1972) and as estimated absolute abundances for each region (mean numbers per 1 m² sea surface multiplied by sea-surface area). These latter estimates are summed to provide the total estimated abundances within the CalCOFI survey area by cruise and for all six cruises. As some categories were excluded (e.g., unidentified larvae) the total larval abundances presented here vary slightly from those in Loeb et al. 1983a. Species lists and abundance information for the total CalCOFI area and for each of the 11 regions during 1975 are on file at the Southwest Fisheries Center, La Jolla, California.

We describe species structure using several nonparametric tests. (A) Between-area and between-region comparisons of species percentage composition are made using the Percent Similarity Index (PSI; Whittaker 1975). PSI values compare two species lists based on the relative proportions of individual species within each list. PSI values may range from 0 (no species in common) to 100 (all species in common, and their proportions identical). PSIs are strongly influenced by abundant species. We define as "high" all PSI values > 60, and as "low" values < 40. (B) Comparisons of rank order of abundance of species between sets of data are made using Kendall's tau and concordance tests (Tate and Clelland 1957). Kendall's tau provides a correlation coefficient that is a measure of the similarity between the order of rankings within two data sets. The concordance test is a nonparametric analysis of variance performed on several sets of species' rankings; it is used to test for similarity (nonrandomness) of species' rankings across data sets.

These techniques are used to show similarities in species structure between geographical regions and between seasons. Similarities may occur in the proportions of individual taxa relative to the total ichthyoplankton and in ranks of abundance of individual taxa.

Taxonomic Problems

The 1975 data include 204 ichthyoplankton taxonomic categories: 104 species and 100 higher-level identifications. While many of the abundant larvae (especially the myctophids and bathylagids) have been identified to species, many of the coastal larvae have not. Problems are greatest with the scorpaenids (> 50species are lumped into the Sebastes spp category). the Citharichthys category (5 species), the sciaenids (> 10 species), and some subtropical forms. Such multispecies groupings, especially within dominant taxa, impose limitations on analysis of species structure, and geographical or seasonal changes in the actual species constituting these groupings may be obscured. This is a relatively minor problem in offshore regions dominated by identifiable mesopelagic species; it is a much greater problem in inshore regions where groupings (primarily Sebastes spp. Citharichthys spp, and Sciaenidae) are among the dominant taxa. These groupings have increased apparent similarities between and within the inshore and southern regions.

RESULTS

Total CalCOFI Area Ichthyoplankton Composition

The PL contributed 75.5% of the total larval fish captured in 1975. The most abundant PL species were the northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax; 58.9%), Pacific hake (Merluccius productus; 14.5%), and jack mackerel (Trachurus symmetricus; 2.0%) (Table 1). The OL were dominated by mesopelagic fishes (89 taxonomic categories; 16.7% of the area total). Nine species made up over two-thirds of this fraction and 12.1% of the total larvae. These included one gonostomatid (Vinciguerria lucetia), three bathylagids (Leuroglossus stilbius, Bathylagus wesethi, and B. ochotensis), and five myctophids (Triphoturus mexicanus, Stenobrachius leucopsarus, Protomyctophum crockeri, Diogenichthys laternatus and Tarletonbeania crenularis). Continental shelf fishes (92 taxa) made up only 7% of the total; flatfishes (Pleuronectiformes; 3.4%), rockfishes (Sebastes spp; 3.1%), and croakers (Sciaenidae; 0.5%) predominated.

Geographical Differences in Species Composition

There were large geographical differences in ichthyoplankton composition. Latitudinal and inshoreoffshore differences occurred in the relative abundances of the PL, mesopelagic, shelf, and oceanic fractions, and in the species making up these fractions. The greatest difference was the dominance of the PL south of Point Conception (36.1-92.0% regional totals) versus its relatively low abundance off central California ($\leq 5\%$) (Table 2). This dominance was due primarily to anchovy and hake, and secondarily to jack mackerel, all of which were rare off central California and had greatest abundances off southern Cali-

	19	75	10	455	10	156	10	957		158
	% of	15	% of	/	% of	50	% of	///	% of	750
	total	Rank*	total	Rank**	total	Rank**	total	Rank**	total	Rank**
Engraulis mordax	58.92	l	39.03	1	33.05	1	29.70	1	45.21	I
Merluccius productus	14.46	2	16.73	2	23.10	2	15.86	2	12.84	2
Sebastes spp	3.12	3	8.17	3	7.14	3	7.39	4	5.27	4
Citharichthys spp	2.79	5	5.68	4	5.79	4	3.20	9	1 46	11
Leuroglossus stilbius	1.46	8	4.21	5	4.56	5	5.98	5	1.07	12
Sardinops sagax	0.13	26	3.93	6	3.80	6	1.99	11	2.51	7
Trachurus symmetricus	2.03	7	3.69	7	1.97	10	4.05	6	1.41	10
Triphoturus mexicanus	2.84	4	3.67	8	2.65	8	3.28	8	3.63	5
Vinciguerria lucetia	2.17	6	3.52	9	2.41	9	11.17	3	12.27	3
Stenobrachius leucopsarus	1.40	9	2.08	10	3.71	7	3.70	7	2.62	6
Diogenichthys laternatus	0.77	13	1.33	11	0.77	13	2.35	10	1.55	8
Bathylagus wesethi	1.05	10	0.90	12	0.52	17	1.29	12	1.54	9
Lampanyctus ritteri	0.40	19	0.55	13	0.47	18	0.56	14	0.68	13
Scomber japonicus	0.005	_	0.54	14	0.37	20	0.38	18	0.28	20
Protomyctophum crockeri	0.78	12	0.51	15	0.45	19	0.29	22	0.39	15
Bathylagus ochotensis	0.98	11	0.36	18	0.55	15	0.22	25	0.34	16
Melamphaes spp	0.24	22	0.22	25	0.26	24	0.27	24	0.28	21
Cyclothone spp	0.63	14	0.43	16	0.20	_	0.58	13	0.62	14
Tarletonbeania crenularis	0.61	15	0.28	21	0.82	12	0.32	21	0.12	_
Argentina sialis	0.05	—	0.23	24	0.32	22	0.28	23	0.06	—
Prionotus spp	0.006			_	0.60	14	0.55	15	0.29	19
Synodus spp	0.03	_	0.18		0.23	25	0.47	17	0.27	23
Pleuronichthys spp	0.06	_	0.29	19	0.27	23	0.12		0.04	
Diaphus theta	0.11	29	0.28	20	0.87	11	0.14		0.13	
Sciaenidae	0.53	16	0.24	23	0.02	_	0.06	—	0.30	18
Symphurus spp	0.05		0.02	_	0.34	21	0.32	20	0.05	
Ceratoscopelus townsendi	0.13	26	0.12	_	0.05	_	0.53	16	0.31	17
Symbolophorus californiense	0.29	20	0.18	_	0.11		0.33	19	0.27	22
Icichthys lockingtoni	0.09	30	0.39	17	0.22	_	0.16	_	0.10	_
Peprilus simillimus	0.05	—	0.26	22	0.15	—	0.16		0.02	_
Tetragonurus spp	0.03	_	0.14		0.53	16	0.14	_	0.01	
Stomias spp	0.13	26	0.11	_	0.02	_	0.05		0.26	24
Hygophum spp	0.03		0.11	_	0.05	_	0.16	_	0.22	25
Diogenichthys atlanticus	0.49	17	0.20		0.19	—	0.16	_	0.14	
Other larvae	3.14		1.42		3.41		4.10		3.44	

TABLE 1 Comparison of Relative Abundances of Larval Fishes Taken during 1975 with Those Taken during Annual CalCOFI Surveys 1955-58

*Ranks provided for first 30

**Ranks provided for first 25

1955-58 data from Ahlstrom (1965); and Moser and Ahlstrom (1970) based on total numbers of larvae caught (pooled cruises) each year, 1975 data based on summed regional abundances (mean abundances corrected for region area) from six cruises; taxonomic categories adjusted (i.e., species lumped) to conform with earlier data sets.

fornia and northern Baja California (Tables 2, 3). The other PL species (sardine and Pacific mackerel) were caught in a few regions off Baja California and were comparatively rare (< 2% PL in each region).

As expected, both abundances and relative proportions of PL and shelf forms decreased, and those of mesopelagic and oceanic forms increased, with distance from shore. Within the PL, relative proportions of anchovy larvae generally decreased, while those of hake and jack mackerel increased, from inshore to offshore and seaward regions (Table 3). Within the OL, major contributors to the shelf and mesopelagic categories had marked geographical differences (Table 2). Among the shelf forms, abundances of rockfishes decreased, and flatfishes increased, from north to south; both decreased offshore. Rockfishes dominated the OL of California inshore regions 4 and 7 (41.7-46.7% total OL) and were relatively abundant in northern Baja California region 11 (26.6%); they were relatively rare ($\leq 3.9\%$) in other Baja California regions. Flatfish larvae were most abundant off Baja California in Viscaino Bay

Taxonomic	Area:	Central	California	Sou	thern Calif	ornia	N	orthern B	aja Californ	a	Central Ba	ja Californi	a
Category	Region:	4	5	7	8	9	11	12	13	14	16	17	Total
		(Inshore)	(Offshore)	(Inshore)	(Offshore)	(Seaward)	(Inshore)	(Bay)	(Offshore)	(Seaward)	(Inshore)	(Offshore)	
PL		5.01	4.09	82.72	91.94	83.15	91.06	81.49	82.84	36.11	85.47	59.12	75.56
Mesopelagic taxa		42.01	81.05	7.04	5.84	15.12	4.31	2.15	16.49	60.91	6.91	33.80	16.67
Myctophidae		22.42	46.40	2.10	2.55	8.42	2.46	1.57	9.37	25.91	4.85	20.18	8.66
Bathylagidae		18.44	23.60	4.62	2.45	3.45	0.96	0.18	2.30	9.70	0.50	0.89	3.65
Gonostomatid	ae	0.22	1.37	0.03	0.20	0.98	0.28	0.14	3.00	19.44	1.12	10.83	2.97
Others		0.93	9.68	0.29	0.64	2.27	0.61	0.26	1.82	5.86	0.44	1.90	1.49
Shelf taxa		52.85	14.45	10.23	2.20	1.50	4.60	16.35	0.53	2.77	7.55	7.03	7.58
Rockfishes		44.32	7.41	7.21	1.61	0.57	2.38	0.72	0.09	0.06	0.57	0.36	3.13
Flatfishes		3.71	6.37	0.80	0.34	0.51	0.92	14.39	0.37	2.47	3.95	5.51	3.14
Sciaenids		2.36	—	1.57	0.02		0.66	0.50			0.57	0.65	0.52
Others		2.46	0.67	0.65	0.23	0.42	0.64	0.74	0.07	0.24	2.46	0.51	0.79
Oceanic taxa		0.13	0.41	0.006	0.03	0.23	0.03	0.01	0.14	0.21	0.07	0.05	0.10
Total larval abundance													
$(\mathbf{x} \ 10^{13})$		0.68	0.55	3.78	3.16	2.81	2.23	2.64	2.68	1.90	2.40	1.92	24.76

TABLE 2 Percentage Contribution of Major Taxonomic Categories to the Total Ichthyoplankton Collected in Each of 11 CalCOFI Regions and to the Total (Pooled Regions) 1975 CalCOFI Survey Area

Estimated total abundances derived from summation of mean regional abundances adjusted for region area.

TABLE 3

The 18 Most Abundant Larval Fish Taxa (Including the 10 Most Abundant Species Identifications) in Order of Ranked Abundance, and Their Percentage Contribution to the Total Ichthyoplankton within Each of 11 CalCOFI Regions, 1975

Centra	ll California Region 4 (inshore)			Region 5 (offshore)		
Rank		no/10m ²	%		no/10m ²	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
1	Sebastes spp	441.6	40.2	Stenobrachius leucopsarus	97.5	17.5
2	Stenobrachius leucopsarus	142.2	12.9	Bathylagus ochotensis	87.2	15.6
3	Leuroglossus stilbius	93.6	8.5	Tarletonbeania crenularis	67.3	12.1
4	Bathylagus ochotensis	92.7	8.4	Sebastes spp	30.7	5.5
5	Tarletonbeania crenularis	74.4	6.8	Protomyctophum crockeri	30.5	5.5
6	Merluccius productus	34.7	3.2	Leuroglossus stilbius	28.1	5.0
7	Sebastes jordani	32.7	3.0	Diaphus spp	22.8	4.1
8	Sciaenidae	25.9	2.4	Melamphaes spp	19.2	3.4
9	Engraulis mordax	20.4	1.9	Citharichthys stigmaeus	16.5	3.0
10	Citharichthys stigmaeus	15.3	1.4	Citharichthys sordidus	13.5	2.4
11	Protomyctophum crockeri	13.3	1.2	Merluccius productus	12.3	2.2
12	Sebastes paucispinis	10.9	1.0	Chauliodus macouni	10.1	1.8
13	Bathylagus pacificus	10.1	0.9	Sebastes paucispinis	10.0	1.8
14	Parophrys vetulus	8.3	0.8	Lampanyctus ritteri	9.5	1.7
15	Icichthys lockingtoni	5.8	0.5	Engraulis mordax	9.0	1.6
16	Citharichthys sordidus	5.7	0.5	Diogenichthys atlanticus	7.5	1.3
17	Citharichthys spp	5.7	0.5	Lampanyctus spp	7.5	1.3
18	Diaphus theta	5.5	0.5	Icichthys lockingtoni	7.2	1.3
	94.6% of total larvae			87.1% of total larvae		

Ranks based on summed mean abundances (numbers per 10 m² sea-surface area) of each taxon from six cruises within each region.

Continued on next page

		TABL	E 3 (co	ontinued)	
Southe	rn California Region 7 (inshore)			Region 8 (offshore)	
Popl		no/10m ²	<i>C</i> -	(no/10m ² %
Kaiik	Engraulis mardar	4333 1	79.5	Fnoraulis mardas	$\frac{1071011}{6016.0}$ $\frac{\%}{78.3}$
2	Sebustes spn	349.7	6.4	Merluccius productus	997 7 13 0
3	Leuroplossus stilbius	226.3	41	Sebastes spp	119.9 1.6
4	Merluccius productus	177.0	3.2	Leuroglossus stilbius	117.3 1.5
5	Stenobrachius leucopsarus	87.8	1.6	Stenobrachius leucopsarus	59.0 0.8
6	Sciaenidae	81.0	1.5	Bathylagus ochotensis	58.8 0.8
7	Bathylagus ochotensis	24.7	0.4	Trachurus symmetricus	49.3 0.6
8	Sebastes jordani	20.5	0.4	Protomyctophum crockeri	37.0 0.5
9	Sebastes paucispinis	16.8	0.3	Tarletonbeania crenularis	28.2 0.4
10	Citharichthys stigmaeus	13.1	0.2	Citharichthys stigmaeus	17.7 0.2
11	Gobiidae	9.2	0.2	Lampanyctus ritteri	15.2 0.2
12	Argyropelecus spp	8.8	0.2	Argyropelecus spp	14.6 0.2
13	Citharichthys stigmaeus	8.0	0.1	Lampanyctus spp	14.0 0.2
14	Tarletonbeania crenularis	7.8	0.1	Triphoturus mexicanus	13.5 0.2
15	Protomyctophum crockeri	6.7	0.1	Bathylagus wesethi	12.5 0.2
16	Sebastes levis	6.0	0.1	Chauliodus macouni	12.2 0.2
17	Parophrys vetulus	5.6	0.1	Cyclothone spp	8.0 0.1
18	Pleuronichthys verticalis	5.5	0.1	Diaphus theta	6.3 0.1
	98.6% of total larvae			99.1% of total larvae	
Southe	rn California				
	Region 9 (seaward)				
			C/a		
TAIK 1	Marluccius productus	2119.6	7.1 0		
2	Energylis morday	2119.0	73		
2	Stenohrachius leuconsarus	62.3	2.5		
4	Bathylagus achatensis	36.7	13		
5	Protomyctonhum crockeri	36.6	13		
6	Leuroplossus stilbius	30.7	1.1		
ž	Rathylaous wesethi	27.0	10		
8	Trachurus symmetricus	26.7	0.9		
ğ	Diogenichthys atlanticus	23.3	0.8		
ıó	Tarletonbeania crenularis	21.3	0.8		
11	Lampanyctus ritteri	20.5	0.7		
12	Symbolophorus californiense	17.8	0.7		
13	Sebastes spn	14.8	0.5		
14	Diaphus spp	13.2	0.5		
15	Areyronelecus spp	113	0.4		
16	Melamphaes snn	10.7	0.4		
17	Cyclothone spp	10.6	0.4		
18	Chauliodus macouni	10.0	0.4		
10	95 5% of total larvae		0.1		
Northe	m Baia California			······································	
orun	Region 11			Region 12	
	(inshore)			(inshore-Viscaino Bay)	
Rank		$\underline{no/10m^2}$	<u> %</u>		$no/10m^2$ $\%$
1	Engraulis mordax	5749.8	81.8	Engraulis mordax	5826.8 80.5
2	Merluccius productus	643.2	9.1	Citharichthys spp	709.6 9.8
3	Sebastes spp	163.8	2.3	Citharichthys xanthostigma	194.2 2.7
4	Triphoturus mexicanus	88.8	1.3	Triphoturus mexicanus	73.0 1.0
5	Leurogiossus stituius	49.7	0.7	Citharichthys stigmaeus	55.2 0.8
7	Schenichdus and	44.1	0.0	Sebastes spp	45.7 0.6
/ 0	Protomystophum organizi	30.9	0.4	Cithaniahthan and E.L.	37.4 0.3
0	Lampanuatus nittari	27.9	0.4	C indrichinys soraidus	33.9 0.3
10	Arovronelecus son	20.0	0.3	Surdinons sugar	34.7 U.S 22.2 0.4
11	Clinidae	20.0	0.5	Saramops sagax Penrilus simillimus	34.4 U.4 20.6 0.2
12	Trachurus symmetricus	10.0	0.2	Paralichthys californiour	19.6 0.3
12	Gobiidae	13.1	0.2	e arancianys canjornicus Plaurovichthys verticalia	
13	Lamnanyotus enn	11.5	0.2	Protomotonhum analasi	9.0 U.1 0.2 O.1
14	Vinciouarria lucatia	10.7	0.2	Lampanyetus niteri	9.5 0.1
15	ran iguerria iucena Rathylagus ochotensis	10.1	0.1	Lampunyetus ritteri Lauroaloesus stilkius	9.0 U.I 8.7 O.I
17	Citharichthys stiamans	9.9	0.1	Araphtina sialis	8.7 U.I 8.7 D.I
18	Cottidae	70	0.1	Diovenichthys atlanticus	77 01
10	98.4% of total larvae	1.9	0.1	98.5% of total larvae	Continued on next page
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	communication next page

TABLE 3 (continued) The 18 Most Abundant Larval Fish Taxa (Including the 10 Most Abundant Species Identifications) in Order of Ranked Abundance, and Their Percentage Contribution to the Total Ichthyoplankton within Each of 11 CalCOFI Regions, 1975

Region 13 (olfshore) Region 14 (seaward) Rank no/10m ² Region 14 (seaward) Mark 24544 65.5 Trachurus symmetricus 301.7 190 Merlaccius productus 53.5 14.3 Merlaccius productus 229.7 15.6 Triphoturus mexicanus 199.6 5.3 Vinciguerria lucetia 229.7 15.6 Vinciguerria lucetia 77.7 2.1 Bathylagus weschi 171.6 90.6 B adhylagus weschi 5.4 8.1.5 Cyclothone spp 68.2 36 7 Protomyctophum crockeri 47.9 25 9 Diogenichthys atlanticus 20.6 1.7 12 Bathylagus ochotensis 16.0 0.4 Argyropelecus spp 32.6 1.7 13 Symbolophorus californiense 14.6 0.4 Expraulis mordax 28.4 15.7 14 Lumpanycuus spiteri 19.6 0.5 Symbolophorus californiense 14.8 14.8 14.6 0.4 Expraulis mordax 28.4 15.7	Northe	ern Baja California					
Collsbore) (seaward) Rank $no!(0n^2 + \frac{6}{55})$ $nal(0n^2 + \frac{6}{95})$ 2 Merlaccius productus 535.8 14.3 Merlaccius productus 209.7 156 2 Merlaccius productus 535.8 14.3 Merlaccius productus 209.7 156 3 71 riphoturus mexicanus 209.6 5.3 Vincigueria lucetia 209.1 141 4 Trachurus symmetricus 10.6 3.0 Triphoturus mexicanus 224.5 123 5 Vincigueria lucetia 77.7 2.1 Bathylague sweschi 171.6 90 6 Bathylague sweschi 171.6 90 6 Stymbolophorus californiene 34.7 20 9 Diogenichthys atlanticus 20.6 0.6 Charachybus sathostigma 38.7 20 10 Lampanyctus ritteri 19.6 0.5 Symbolophorus californienee 34.6 18 11 Cyclothores spp 16.3 0.4 Lampanyctus ritteri 31.9 17		Region 13			Region 14		
Rank pot10m ² $\frac{9}{24544}$ $\frac{1}{655}$ Trachurus symmetricus $\frac{1}{517}$ $\frac{1}{160}$ 1 Ergraulis mordur $\frac{1}{224544}$ $\frac{5}{655}$ Trachurus symmetricus $\frac{1}{2017}$ $\frac{1}{166}$ 3 Triphoturus mexicanus 1966 5.3 Vinciguerria lucetia $\frac{2}{2691}$ $\frac{1}{451}$ 4 Trachurus mexicanus 2164, 121 $\frac{1}{2016}$ 1		(offshore)			(seaward)		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Rank		no/10m ²	%		no/10m ²	%
	1	Engraulis mordax	2454.4	65.5	Trachurus symmetricus	361.7	19.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	Merluccius productus	535.8	14.3	Merluccius productus	297.9	15.6
	3	Triphoturus mexicanus	199.6	5.3	Vinciguerria lucetia	269.1	[4.]
	4	Trachurus symmetricus	110.6	3.0	Triphoturus mexicanus	234.5	12.3
6 Bathylagus sysethi 54.8 1.5 Cyclothone spp 66.2 3.6 7 Protomyctophum crockeri 46.9 1.2 Diogenichthys atlanicus 60.0 3.1 8 Argyropelecus spp 20.7 0.6 Protomyctophum crockeri 47.9 2.5 9 Diogenichthys atlanicus 20.6 0.6 Citharichthys stanhostigma 38.7 2.0 10 Lampanycuts statieri 19.6 0.5 Symbolophorus californiense 34.6 1.8 11 Cyclothone spp 16.3 0.4 Argyropelecus spp 32.6 1.7 12 Bathylagus ochotensis 16.0 0.4 Engraulis mordax 28.4 1.5 12 Bathylagus stallows 11.6 0.4 Engraulis mordax 28.4 1.5 14 Lampanycuts spp 12.7 0.3 Stomas spp 15.7 0.8 15 Leuroglosus stilbius 11.6 0.3 Melamphace spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% 10.2 Lampanycuts spp 12.3 0.6 97.2%	5	Vinciguerría lucetia	77.7	2.1	Bathylagus wesethi	171.6	9.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	Bathylagus wesethi	54.8	1.5	Cyclothone spp	68.2	3.6
	7	Protomyctophum crockeri	46.9	1.2	Diogenichthys atlanticus	60.0	3.1
9 Diogenichthys anthanticus 20.6 0.6 Citharichthys santhastigma 38.7 20.0 10 Lampanyctus ritteri 19.6 0.5 Symbolophorus californiense 34.6 18 11 Cyclothone spp 16.3 0.4 Argyropelecus spp 32.6 17 12 Bathylagus cohotensis 16.0 0.4 Lampanycus ritteri 31.9 17 13 Symbolophorus californiense 14.6 0.4 Engraulis mordax 28.4 1.5 14 Lampanycus spp 12.7 0.3 Stomias spp 15.7 0.8 15 Melamphaes spp 12.7 0.3 Stomias spp 15.7 0.8 16 Curascopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Diogenichthys laternatus 13.6 0.7 18 Cetacopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanycus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 (offshore) 12.3 0.97 </td <td>8</td> <td>Argyropelecus spp</td> <td>20.7</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>Protomyctophum crockeri</td> <td>47.9</td> <td>2.5</td>	8	Argyropelecus spp	20.7	0.6	Protomyctophum crockeri	47.9	2.5
10 Lampanyctus ritteri 19.6 0.5 Symbolophorus californiense 34.6 18 11 Cyclothone spp 16.3 0.4 Argyropelecus spp 32.6 1.7 12 Bahylagus ochotensis 16.0 0.4 Lampanyctus spp 32.6 1.7 13 Symbolophorus californiense 14.6 0.4 Engraulis mordax 28.4 1.5 14 Lampanyctus spp 14.1 0.4 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 19.4 10.4 15 Melamphaes spp 12.7 0.3 Stomias spp 15.7 0.8 16 Leuroglosus stilibius 11.6 0.3 Melamphaes spp 12.8 0.8 17 Citharichthys stigmaeus 9.4 0.2 Diogenichthys laternatus 13.6 0.7 18 Certatoscopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanyctus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 97.2% 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 160.0 3.4 Vincigueria lucetia 239.0 9.7 <td>9</td> <td>Diogenichthys atlanticus</td> <td>20.6</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>Citharichthys xanthostigma</td> <td>38.7</td> <td>2.0</td>	9	Diogenichthys atlanticus	20.6	0.6	Citharichthys xanthostigma	38.7	2.0
11 Cyclothone spp 16.3 0.4 Argyropelecus spp 32.6 17 12 Bathylagus ochotensis 16.0 0.4 Lampanyctus spp 31.9 17 13 Symbolophorus californiense 14.6 0.4 Engraulis mordax 28.4 13 14 Lampanyctus spp 14.1 0.4 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 19.4 10 15 Melamphaes spp 12.7 0.3 Stomias spp 15.7 0.8 16 Leurogtosus stitibus 11.6 0.3 Melamphaes spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 Region 17 (offshore) 14.8 0.8 1 Ingraulis mordax 4079.9 83.9 Engraulis mordax 14.95 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 225.9 9.2 <	10	Lampanyctus ritteri	19.6	0.5	Symbolophorus californiense	34.6	1.8
	11	Cyclothone spp	16.3	0.4	Argyropelecus spp	32.6	1.7
13Symbolophorus californiense14.60.4Erratilis mordax28.415.14Lampanyctus spp14.10.4Ceratoscopelus townsendi19.410.15Melamphaes spp12.70.3Stomias syp15.70.816Leuroglosus stilbius11.60.3Melamphaes spp14.80.817Citharichthys stigmaeus9.40.2Diogenichthys laternatus13.60.718Ceratoscopelus townsendi8.10.2Lampanyctus spp12.30.697.2% of total larvae91.8% of total larvae91.8% of total larvae14.80.8Central Baja California Region 16 (inshore)Region 16 (inshore)Region 17 (offshore)Citharichthys spp135.02.8Triphoturus mexicanus136.99.73Citharichthys spp135.02.8Triphoturus mexicanus137.97.64Diogenichthys laternatus53.31.1Diogenichthys laternatus187.97.65Vinciguerria lucetia43.20.9Citharichthys spp118.04.86Sardinops sagax41.60.9Argyropelecus spp26.81.17Sciaenidae27.80.6Lampanyctus spp26.81.1850.9Argyropelecus spp26.81.10.6850.9Argyropelecus spp16.00.6910.4<	12	Bathylagus ochotensis	16.0	0.4	Lampanyctus ritteri	31.9	1.7
14 Lampanyctus spp 14.1 0.4 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 19.4 10 15 Melamphaes spp 12.7 0.3 Stomias spp 15.7 0.8 16 Leuroglossus stilbius 11.6 0.3 Melamphaes spp 14.8 0.8 17 Citharichthys stigmaeus 9.4 0.2 Diogenichthys laternatus 13.6 0.7 18 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanyctus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 Region 17 (offshore) 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 13.5.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 118.0 4.8 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 21.6 0.9	13	Symbolophorus californiense	14.6	0.4	Engraulis mordax	28.4	1.5
15 Melamphaes spp 12.7 0.3 Stomias spp 15.7 0.8 16 Leuroglossus stilbius 11.6 0.3 Melamphaes spp 14.8 0.8 17 Citharichthys stigmaeus 9.4 0.2 Diogenichthys laternatus 13.6 0.7 18 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanyctus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 Region 17 (offshore) 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys starenatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 118.0 4.8 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 21.6 9.2 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 21.6	14	Lampanyctus spp	14.1	0.4	Ceratoscopelus townsendi	19.4	1.0
16 Leuroglossus stilbius 11.6 0.3 Melamphaes spp 14.8 0.8 17 Citharichthys stigmaeus 9.4 0.2 Diogenichthys laternatus 13.6 0.7 18 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanyetus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 Region 17 (offshore) 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 187.9 7.6 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 11.6 9.8 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 11.6 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp<	15	Melamphaes spp	12.7	0.3	Stomias spp	15.7	0.8
17 Citharichthys sligmaeus 9.4 0.2 Diogenichthys laternatus 13.6 0.7 18 Ceratoscopelus lownsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanyctus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 Region 17 (inshore) (offshore) 2 Triphotrus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphotrus mexicanus 285.9 92 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 185.0 2.8 Triphotrus mexicanus 285.9 92 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 183.0 2.8 0.9 Citharichthys spp 118.0 4.8 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Sebastes spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4	16	Leuroglossus stilbius	11.6	0.3	Melamphaes spp	14.8	0.8
18 Ceratoscopelus townsendi 8.1 0.2 Lampanyctus spp 12.3 0.6 97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae 12.3 0.6 Central Baja California Region 16 Region 17 (offshore) 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 25.9 9.2 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.4 Cyclothone spp 26.8 1.1 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11 Hysoble	17	Citharichthys stigmaeus	9.4	0.2	Diogenichthys laternatus	13.6	0.7
97.2% of total larvae 91.8% of total larvae Central Baja California Region 16 (inshore) Region 17 (offshore) Rank no/10m² 4079.9 % 83.9 Engraulis mordax no/10m² 1439.5 % 58.3 I Engraulis mordax 1439.5 58.3 2.7riphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 225.9 9.2 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys spp 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 21.6 0.9 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 21.6 0.9 8 Satates spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 10.4 0.5	18	Ceratoscopelus townsendi	8.1	0.2	Lampanyctus spp	12.3	0.6
Central Baja California Region 16 (inshore)Region 17 (offshore)Rankno/10m2% (offshore)Region 17 (offshore)Rankno/10m2% 4079.9Region 17 83.9Engraulis mordaxno/10m2% 1439.52Triphoturus mexicanus166.03.4Vinciguerria lucetia239.09.73Citharichthys spp135.02.8Triphoturus mexicanus225.99.24Diogenichthys laternatus53.31.1Diogenichthys laternatus187.97.65Vinciguerria lucetia43.20.9Citharichthys spp118.04.86Sardinops sagax41.60.9Argyropelecus spp26.81.17Sciaenidae27.80.6Lampanyctus spp21.60.98Sebastes spp22.10.4Cyclothone spp19.10.89Leuroglossus stilbius18.70.4Ceratoscopelus spp16.00.610Sarda chiliensis17.50.4Sciaenidae16.00.611Hysoblennius spp16.10.3Trachurus symmetricus13.40.513Serranidae14.90.3Diogenichthys talanticus9.70.414Etropus spp12.80.3Gonichthys talanticus9.70.4144.40.3Diogenichthys talanticus9.30.4150.3Stomias spp9.10.416Merlu		97.2% of total larvae			91.8% of total larvae		
Region 16 (inshore) Region 17 (offshore) Rank no/10m ² 4079.9 % 83.9 Engraulis mordax 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 225.9 9.2 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 21.6 0.9 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sceanidae 16.0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5	Centra	Baia California					
(inshore)Rankno/10m² 4079.9 $\frac{6}{83.9}$ Engraulis mordaxno/10m² 1439.52Triphoturus mexicanus166.03.4Vinciguerria lucetia239.09.73Citharichthys spp135.02.8Triphoturus mexicanus225.99.24Diogenichthys laternatus53.31.1Diogenichthys laternatus187.97.65Vinciguerria lucetia43.20.9Citharichthys spp118.04.86Sardinops sagax41.60.9Argyropelecus spp26.81.17Sciaenidae27.80.6Lampanyctus spp21.60.98Sebastes spp22.10.4Cyclothone spp19.10.89Leuroglossus stilbius18.70.4Ceratoscopelus spp16.00.610Sarda chiliensis17.50.4Sciaenidae16.00.611Hysoblennius spp16.10.3Trachurus symmetricus13.40.512Chromis punctipinnis15.50.3Bathylagus westhi12.40.513Serranidae14.90.3Diogenichthys talanticus9.30.414Etropus spp12.80.3Gonichthys talanticus9.30.414Etropus spp12.80.3Stomias spp9.10.414Etropus spp12.80.3Stomias spp9.10.414Etropus spp12.20.2Hi	•	Region 16			Region 17		
Rank no/10m² % no/10m² % 1 Engraulis mordax 4079.9 83.9 Engraulis mordax 1439.5 58.3 2 Triphoturus mexicanus 166.0 3.4 Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3 Citharichthys spp 135.0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 225.9 9.2 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 118.0 4.8 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 221.0 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae <t< td=""><td></td><td>(inshore)</td><td></td><td></td><td>(offshore)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		(inshore)			(offshore)		
KalkIndividual \overline{x} Individual \overline{x} Individual \overline{x} 1Engraulis mordax $\overline{4000}$ \overline{x} $\overline{300}$ $\overline{5x}$ $\overline{143905}$ $\overline{5x}$ 2Triphoturus mexicanus166.03.4Vinciguerria lucetia 239.0 9.7 3Citharichthys spp135.02.8Triphoturus mexicanus 225.9 9.2 4Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 26.8 1.1 6Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 1.1 7Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 8Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9Leuroglossus stilbius 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11, Hypsolennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys tantacicus 9.7 0.4 14Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonichthys tantacicus 9.3 0.4 15Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16Merluccius productus 11.9 0.2 Sebastes	Pank		no/10m ²	C/a		no/10m ²	a
1 Digradits mortal 143:3 3.4 143:3 3.6 143:3 3.6 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 3.6 3.7 143:3 1.7 135:0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 225:9 9.2 135:0 2.8 Triphoturus mexicanus 187:9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43:2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 188:9 187:9 7.6 6 Sardinops sagax 41:6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanycus spp 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 0.9 21.6 <td< td=""><td>I I</td><td>Fnoraulis marday</td><td>4079.9</td><td>83.0</td><td>Enoraulis mardax</td><td>1430.5</td><td>70 59 7</td></td<>	I I	Fnoraulis marday	4079.9	83.0	Enoraulis mardax	1430.5	70 59 7
1 Citharichthys spp 1000 1.4 Frightorius mexicanus 225.9 9.2 4 Diogenichthys laternatus 53.3 1.1 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.6 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys spp 118.0 4.8 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 21.6 0.9 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Sertanidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys tatlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etr	2	Triphoturus mericanus	166.0	34	Vincinuerria lucetia	230.0	J0.J
4 Diogenichthys staternatus 52.3 11.0 Diogenichthys laternatus 187.9 7.2 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys laternatus 187.9 7.2 5 Vinciguerria lucetia 43.2 0.9 Citharichthys laternatus 187.9 7.2 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys tatlanticus 9.3 0.4 <	วั	Citharichthys spp	135 0	28	Trinhoturus mexicanus	2.5.0	0.1
4 Disgenerations functions 161.7 16 5 Vinciguerria functions 181.0 181.0 184.0 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonichthys tenuiculus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4	4	Diogenichthys laternatus	53.0	11	Diagenichthys laternatus	197.0	7.2
6 Sardinops sagax 45.2 0.5 Charlonin's spp 116.0 4.5 6 Sardinops sagax 41.6 0.9 Argyropelecus spp 26.8 1.1 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11, Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonichthys tenuiculus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16 Merluccius productus 11.9 <td>Š</td> <td>Vincinuerria lucetia</td> <td>43.2</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>Citharichthys son</td> <td>107.7</td> <td>1.0</td>	Š	Vincinuerria lucetia	43.2	0.0	Citharichthys son	107.7	1.0
10 5.0 11.0 0.7 Neglopercus spp 20.8 1.6 0.9 7 Sciaenidae 27.8 0.6 Lampanyctus spp 21.6 0.9 8 Sebastes spp 22.1 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19.1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18.7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Stomichthys tenuiculus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16 Merluccius	6	Sardinons sagar	41.6	0.9	Aravronelecus spn	76.8	1.1
1 5 CitAnichiys xanthostigma 21:0 0.3 Cyclothone spp 19:1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18:7 0.4 Cyclothone spp 19:1 0.8 9 Leuroglossus stilbius 18:7 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16:0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17:5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16:0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16:1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13:4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15:5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12:4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14:9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9:7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12:8 0.3 Gonichthys tenuiculus 9:3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12:5 0.3 Stomias spp 9:1 0.4 16 Merluccius productus 11:9 0.2 Sebastes spp 8:9 0.4 17 Symphurus spp 11:2 0.2 Hippoglossina stomata 8:9 0.4 18 Arg	7	Scieenidae	27.8	0.6	Lampanyatus spp	20.8	0.0
9 Leuroglossus stilbius 12.1 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Ceratoscopelus spp 16.0 0.6 10 Sarda chiliensis 17.5 0.4 Sciaenidae 16.0 0.6 11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonichthys tenuiculus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16 Merluccius productus 11.9 0.2 Sebastes spp 8.9 0.4 17 Symphurus spp 11.2 0.2 Hippoglossina stomata 8.9 0.4 18 Argyropelecus spp 10.2 0.2 Bathylagus pacificus 8.0 0.3 96 96 <td>8</td> <td>Sebastes spp</td> <td>27.0</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>Cyclothone spp</td> <td>10 1</td> <td>0.9</td>	8	Sebastes spp	27.0	0.4	Cyclothone spp	10 1	0.9
10 Sarda chiliensis 10.7 Other Section Sectin Sectin Section Section Sectin Section Section Sectio	ğ	Leuroplossus stilbius	18.7	0.4	Ceratoscopelus spp	16.0	0.0
11 Hypsoblennius spp 16.1 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Trachurus symmetricus 13.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonethtys atlanticus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16 Merluccius productus 11.9 0.2 Sebastes spp 8.9 0.4 17 Symphurus spp 11.2 0.2 Hippoglossina stomata 8.9 0.4 18 Argyropelecus spp 10.2 0.2 Bathylagus pacificus 8.0 0.3 96<	10	Sarda chiliensis	17.5	04	Sciaenidae	16.0	0.0
12 Chromis punctipinnis 15.5 0.3 Bathylagus wesethi 12.4 0.5 13 Serranidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonichthys tenuiculus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16 Merluccius productus 11.9 0.2 Sebastes spp 8.9 0.4 17 Symphurus spp 11.2 0.2 Hippoglossina stomata 8.9 0.4 18 Argyropelecus spp 10.2 0.2 Bathylagus pacificus 8.0 0.3 96 96 60 10.1 10.2 0.2 Bathylagus pacificus 8.0 0.3	11	Hypsoblennius spr	16.1	0.3	Trachurus symmetricus	13.4	0.5
13 Sertanidae 14.9 0.3 Diogenichthys atlanticus 9.7 0.4 14 Etropus spp 12.8 0.3 Gonichthys atlanticus 9.3 0.4 15 Citharichthys xanthostigma 12.5 0.3 Stomias spp 9.1 0.4 16 Merluccius productus 11.9 0.2 Sebastes spp 8.9 0.4 17 Symphurus spp 11.2 0.2 Hippoglossina stomata 8.9 0.4 18 Argyropelecus spp 10.2 0.2 Bathylagus pacificus 8.0 0.3 96 96 96 04 11.2 0.2 Hippoglossina stomata 8.9 0.4	12	Chromis nunctininnis	15.5	03	Rathylaous wesethi	12.4	0.5
14Etropus spp12.80.3Gonichinys dianticulus9.30.415Citharichthys xanthostigma12.50.3Stomias spp9.10.416Merluccius productus11.90.2Sebastes spp8.90.417Symphurus spp11.20.2Hippoglossina stomata8.90.418Argyropelecus spp10.20.2Bathylagus pacificus8.00.3969660total laryae96969610100.2	13	Serranidae	14.9	0.3	Dianyingus westini Diagenichthys atlanticus	07	0.5
15Citharichthys xanthostigma12.50.3Stomias spp9.10.416Merluccius productus11.90.2Sebastes spp8.90.417Symphurus spp11.20.2Hippoglossina stomata8.90.418Argyropelecus spp10.20.2Bathylagus pacificus8.00.396966960 total laryae9696960.10.2	14	Etropus spp	17.8	0.3	Gonichthys tenuiculus	0.1	0.4
10Child Information12.50.5Statutes spp9.10.416Merluccius productus11.90.2Sebastes spp8.90.417Symphurus spp11.20.2Hippoglossina stomata8.90.418Argyropelecus spp10.20.2Bathylagus pacificus8.00.3969% of total larvae9696 of total larvae96.9%0.40.3	15	Citharichthys xanthostioma	12.5	0.3	Stomias son	7.J 0 1	0.4
10Intracting production11.20.2Second stapp0.417Symphurus spp11.20.2Hippoglossina stomata8.90.418Argyropelecus spp10.20.2Bathylagus pacificus8.00.3969% of total larvae969% of total larvae969% of total larvae	16	Merluccius productus	12.5	0.5	Sehastes spp	9.1	0.4
18 Argyropelecus spp 11.2 0.2 Inprovide spin storadium 0.7 0.4 18 Argyropelecus spp 10.2 0.2 Bathylagus pacificus 8.0 0.3 96 <td< td=""><td>17</td><td>Symphurus spn</td><td>11.7</td><td>0.2</td><td>Hinpoglossing stomata</td><td>0.7 2 G</td><td>0.4</td></td<>	17	Symphurus spn	11.7	0.2	Hinpoglossing stomata	0.7 2 G	0.4
96.9% of total larvae 96.9% of total larvae 96.9% of total larvae	18	Arovronelecus spp	10.2	0.2	Rathylagus nacificus	0.7 Q. N	0.4
		96 9% of total larvae	10.2	0.2	96.9% of total larvae	0.0	0.5

region 12 (77.8% OL), inshore region 16 (27.7%), and offshore region 17 (13.5%); they were relatively rare ($\leq 10\%$ OL) in northern regions. Sciaenid larvae were most abundant (7.4-9.1% OL) in southern California and northern Baja California inshore regions 7 and 11. Among the mesopelagic forms, abundances of Bath-ylagidae decreased, while those of Myctophidae and Gonostomatidae increased, from north to south and inshore to offshore regions (Table 2). Bathylagid larvae were relatively abundant off central and southern California (19.4-30.4% OL) and rare off Baja California (1.0-15.2%); gonostomatids were rare (0.2-5.8% OL) in all California regions and inshore Baja California (19.4-30.4% OL)

nia regions 11, 12, and 16 but were relatively abundant (17.4-49.4%) in Baja California offshore and seaward regions 13, 14, and 17.

Geographical differences in ichthyoplankton composition were tested using PSI comparisons of total species lists (six cruises combined) from each area and region. We found a high degree of similarity in species percentage composition of the total ichthyoplankton between areas and regions south of Point Conception (Table 4A). High PSI values (ranging from 60.0 to 90.0) resulted from overall comparisons of the southern California and northern and central Baja California areas and from comparisons of the regions

TABLE 4 Percent Similarity Index (PSI) Values for Between-Area and Between-Region Comparisons: (A) Total Ichthyoplankton and (B) Other Larvae (OL)

(A) Total	ichthyop	lankton l	PSI values						Reg	gion					
		Area				CC		SC		-	NJ	BC		CE	BC
	SC	NBC	СБ			5	7	8	9	11	12	13	14	16	17
CC	17.97	12.05	6.63	CC	4	52.15	21.54	12.16	15.53	11.51	6.11	9.01	9.19	4.72	5.27
SC		74.29	62.15		5	_	16.88	11.71	18.50	9.33	4.97	10.68	16.10	3.79	6.29
NBC			77.08	SC	7	-		86.92	15.46	88.44	82.68	70.50	6.80	82.40	60.46
					8				27.16	92.13	80.84	82.42	18.72	80.60	61.20
					9					20.82	10.16	30.47	27.95	10.12	12.19
				NBC	11	-					85.11	78.94	14.69	86.48	63.12
					12							68.71	6.53	87.96	66.33
					13								34.99	71.96	70.33
					14									8.84	27.56
				CBC	16	-									69.31
(B) Other	larvae F	SI value	s						Reg	gion					
		Area				CC		SC			N	СВ		CE	BC
	SC	NBC	CBC			5	7	8	9	11	12	13	14	16	17
CC	69.02	20.69	10.22	CC	4	50.76	70.36	59.44	43.05	47.81	11.73	10.69	5.62	11.58	6.36
SC		34.88	19.45		5		29.26	57.44	62.54	29.17	13.46	23.98	16.25	9.94	8.02
NBC			62.28	SC	7			56.97	28.56	55.54	14.79	9.68	6.02	17.15	7.60
					8				62.78	53.30	14.80	31.57	23.14	15.78	14.86
					9	_				37.40	13.08	46.40	37.94	16.13	16.85
				NBC	11	-					27.33	42.17	36.16	39.45	34.20
					12							14.10	14.71	42.19	25.12
					13								69.71	40.13	49.53
					14									36.34	55.67
				CBC	16	_									58.66

Based on pooled (6 cruises) species lists for each area and region, 1975. CC = central California; SC = southern California; NBC = northern Baja California; CBC = central Baja California.

within each of these areas (Table 4A). Low PSI values (< 25) resulted from comparisons of the total species lists of regions and areas north and south of Point Conception and reflect the dominance of the PL species south of Point Conception. When PL species were excluded and comparisons were made between the relative proportions of the OL, high PSI values (62.3-69.0) were found for comparisons between the central and southern California areas and between the northern and central Baja California areas; low PSI values (10.2-34.9) were found for comparisons between the California and Baja California areas (Table 4B). Aside from dominance by the PL, the species proportions of the southern California ichthyoplankton were dissimilar to those of the northern and central Baja California areas, but were fairly similar to those of central California. Highest between-region OL PSI values occurred between inshore regions 4-7 (70.4) and offshore and seaward regions 5-9 (62.5) and 8-9 (62.8) of central and southern California and between offshore and seaward regions 13-14 (69.7) of northern Baja California (Table 4B). These high PSI values reflect similarities in proportions of the dominant shelf and mesopelagic taxa (Table 3) in these regions.

Geographical differences in taxonomic composition and relative abundance were tested using Kendall's tau test comparisons of the rank order of abundances of the 10 most abundant taxa (six cruises pooled) within each area and region (Table 3). All comparisons that included the PL in the rankings supported (P > 0.10) the null hypothesis of no agreement of species rank order of abundance. When the PL were excluded, significant agreement (P < 0.05) of rank order of abundance among the 10 most abundant OL taxa occurred between regions 4-7, 4-8, 5-8, and 5-9 off central and southern California and between regions 13-14 off northern Baja California.

Seasonal Differences in Ichthyoplankton Species Structure

The ichthyoplankton underwent large seasonal abundance fluctuations because of seasonal spawning of the main PL species (Figure 1). Maximum areawide abundances of anchovy, hake, and jack mackerel occurred in January and March, during which time the the PL made up 84% of the total larvae (TL). PL also dominated the ichthyoplankton during May (67% TL). Shelf forms were most abundant during January and March because of peak abundances of rockfish larvae, but because of PL dominance they made up only 4% (rockfishes 3%) of the total. Sciaenids had a January abundance peak (0.5% TL). Lower, relatively constant numbers of shelf forms occurred from May to October-November, and flatfishes predominated (8-



Figure 1. Seasonal abundance fluctuations of major ichthyoplankton categories in the CalCOFI area (all regions combined) during 1975. Categories include PL (anchovy, hake, jack mackerel, sardine, Pacific mackerel): mesopelagic forms (myctophids, bathylagids, gonostomatids, others): shelf forms (rockfishes, flatfishes, sciaenids, others): and oceanic forms. Abundances expressed as estimated absolute numbers - 10° of larvae in the survey area during each cruise. Log scale is used to depict simultaneous abundance fluctuations of forms representing a wide range of relative abundances.

16% TL). Other shelf taxa were most abundant during October (7% TL). Mesopelagic forms had two abundance peaks caused by increased spawning of myctophids and bathylagids during January and March, and of myctophids and gonostomatids during July. Because of decreased PL abundances, mesopelagic species dominated the ichthyoplankton in July and October-November (57% and 40% TL), and were about equal to the PL in December (mesopelagics 39%; PL 43%). Oceanic species were most abundant (1% TL) during October-November and December.

Each region had seasonal changes in abundance and

relative proportions of major taxa (Figures 2, 3) and species. Maximum PL abundances within all regions occurred between January and May because of peak spawning of anchovy, hake, and jack mackerel; these larvae dominated the ichthyoplankton of all regions south of Point Conception for part or all of this period. Dominance was greatest in inshore regions where the PL made up 80-96% of the total larvae caught from January to May (and July in southern California region 7). PL dominance in offshore regions was restricted to January and March in southern California regions 8 and 9 and northern Baja California region 13 (84-97% TL), and to March in northern and central Baja California regions 14 and 17 (72-84% TL). Seasonal abundance fluctuations of the PL are treated in greater detail in Loeb et al. (1983a).

Seasonal and regional patterns of OL composition and abundance relations were complex. However, four general patterns can be established based on regional similarities of overall dominant taxa. These occur between (1) inshore central and southern California and northern Baja California regions 4, 7, and 11; (2) offshore central and southern California regions 5, 8, and 9; (3) Viscaino Bay and central Baja California inshore regions 12 and 16; and (4) offshore Baja California regions 13, 14, and 17 (Figures 2, 3).

California and northern Baja California inshore regions 4, 7, and 11. OL abundances in the California and northern Baja California inshore regions were elevated from December to March and then (except in region 7 during October) decreased to low and relatively constant levels for the rest of the year. Maximum spring abundances occurred during January and March and were due to large numbers of rockfish. bathylagid, and myctophid larvae (64.6-94.7% OL within each region). Major contributing taxa were Sebastes spp, the bathylagids Leuroglossus stilbius and Bathylagus ochotensis, and myctophids Stenobrachius leucopsarus (regions 4 and 7) and Protomyctophum crockeri (regions 7 and 11). Abundances of all major categories (except myctophids in region 11) decreased in May and, except for flatfishes, remained low for the rest of the year. In region 11 myctophids had a July abundance maximum dominated by Triphoturus mexicanus. Large regional differences occurred in the composition and proportions of major taxa from May to November. Flatfish abundance peaks occurred during October-November in all three regions and were primarily due to large numbers of Citharichthys spp, C. stigmaeus, C. sordidus, and (in region 4) Parophrys vetulus. Flatfish abundance was most extreme in region 7 during October, when maximum OL abundance (81% because of flatfishes) occurred. Flatfishes made up 25.5% of the October OL in region 11 and 19.6% and 34.6% of the November OL in regions 4 and 7, respectively.

Central and southern California offshore and seaward regions 5, 8, and 9. OL abundances in California offshore and seaward regions were elevated during January and March (and May in regions 5 and 9) primarily because of peak spawning of myctophids and bathylagids. Five species-Leuroglossus stilbius and Bathylagus ochotensis (Bathylagidae) and Stenobrachius leucopsarus, Protomyctophum crockeri, and Tarletonbeania crenularis (Myctophidae)-were consistently abundant and made up 37.8%-70.5% of the OL in each region during this period. Rockfish larvae were also abundant during January and March in regions 5 and 8 (9.0-26.8% OL) and during May in region 9 (6.3%). OL abundances in all three regions decreased from May to October-November, and species composition and relative proportions varied with region and cruise. Flatfishes (Citharichthys spp, C. stigmaeus, and C. sordidus) were most abundant during November (region 8, 24.0%) and December (regions 5 and 9, 25.6% and 12.2% OL, respectively). Oceanic forms were most abundant in region 8 during December (2.3% OL) and in region 9 during November and December (3.6-4.0%).

Viscaino Bay and central Baja California inshore regions 12 and 16. Flatfishes dominated region 12 OL throughout the year (51.6-83.9% within each cruise). Flatfishes dominated region 16 OL during December (48.5%) and were major contributors (31.9-43.0%) during May and October; myctophids dominated during January, May, and July (40.3-52.7%); other shelf forms dominated in October (39.8%). In contrast to the northern inshore regions, January and March OL abundances were relatively low; maximum numbers of rockfishes, bathylagids (primarily Leuroglossus stilbius), and sciaenids (in region 12) occurred during this time. Greatest OL abundances occurred during May and July in region 12, and July and October in region 16 because of maximum numbers of flatfishes (primarily Citharichthys spp, C. xanthostigma, C. sordidus, C. stigmaeus, Paralichthys californicus, and Symphurus sp); myctophids (primarily Triphoturus mexicanus in region 12, T. mexicanus and Diogenichthys laternatus in region 16); gonostomatids (in region 16, Vinciguerria lucetia); sciaenids (region 16); and other shelf taxa (Peprilus simillimus in region 12, Chromis punctipinnis, Serranidae, Etropus sp, and Chloroscombrus sp in region 16). The peak July OL abundances in Viscaino Bay were the highest for the entire CalCOFI area during 1975; the July and October abundances of other shelf taxa in region 16 were greater than in any other region during the year.



Figure 2. Seasonal abundance fluctuations of major ichthyoplankton categories within CalCOFI regions 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9 during 1975. Categories include PL (anchovy, hake, jack mackerel, sardine. Pacific mackerel); mesopelagic forms (myctophids, bathylaqids, gonostomatids, others); shelf forms (rockfishes, flatfishes, sciaenids, others); and oceanic forms. Abundances are expressed as mean numbers of larvae per 10 m² sea-surface area during each cruise. Log scale is used to depict simultaneous fluctuations of forms representing a wide range of relative abundances.



Figure 3. Seasonal abundance fluctuations of major ichthyoplankton categories within CalCOFI regions 11-14, 16, and 17 during 1975. Categories include PL (anchovy, hake, jack mackerel, sardine, Pacific mackerel); mesopelagic forms (myctophids, bathylagids, gonostomatids, others); shell forms (rockfishes, flatfishes, sciaenids, others); and oceanic forms. Abundances are expressed as mean numbers of larvae per 10 m² sea-surface area during each cruise. Log scale is used to depict simultaneous fluctuations of forms representing a wide range of relative abundances.

Northern central Baja California offshore and seaward regions 13, 14, and 17. OL abundances in the Baja California offshore and seaward regions increased from relatively low December-January values to July maxima because of peak abundances of myctophids (mostly Triphoturus mexicanus: 38.0-62.9% OL) and, in regions 14 and 17, high gonostomatid abundances (21.4-27.0%; primarily Vinciguerria lucetia). Bathylagids (primarily Bathylagus wesethi) were most abundant in regions 13 and 14 from March to July (13.0-32.4%); flatfishes were rare or absent in these two regions except during October (13.9% region 13 OL, primarily *Citharichthys stigmaeus* and *C*. sordidus; 26.0% region 14 OL, primarily C. xanthostigma and Symphurus sp). Because region 17 was undersampled during March and May (5 samples total) little can be determined about the OL during that time. Flatfishes, rockfishes, and sciaenids were abundant in the one May sample: relatively large numbers of flatfishes (6.7% OL, primarily Citharichthys spp) were also collected during July. Oceanic forms were most abundant in regions 13 and 14 during December (2.1-3.3%) and in region 17 during October (1.2%).

Within- and Between-Region Comparisons of Ichthyoplankton Species Structure

Seasonal changes in ichthyoplankton composition and abundance relations are reflected by PSI values resulting from between-cruise comparisons of species lists. PSI values from comparisons of the total ichthyoplankton and of the OL fraction (all regions combined) were highest (91 and 73, respectively) for January and March cruise comparisons, and lowest (30-41) for January and March vs July and October-November comparisons. These indicate a marked shift in relative proportions of both PL and OL species between spring and summer/fall. These changes were primarily due to decreased abundances of the main PL species (anchovy, hake, and jack mackerel), rockfishes (Sebastes sep), Leuroglossus stilbius and Bathylagus ochotensis (Bathylagidae), and Stenobrachius leucopsarus (Myctophidae), and increased. abundances of Triphoturus mexicanus (Myctophidae), Vinciguerria lucetia (Gonostomatidae), and flatfishes (primarily Citharichthys spp) during July and October-November. Kendall's concordance test of the rank order of abundance of the 10 most abundant species taken during each cruise showed significant agreement (P < 0.01) of rank order of abundance throughout the year. This indicates that despite marked seasonal changes in relative proportions, the same abundant taxa tended to dominate the ichthyoplankton throughout the year.

Within each region, marked seasonal shifts in rela-

TABLE 5

Range and Mean of Percent Similarity Index (PSI) Values from Between-Cruise Comparisons of the Total Ichthyoplankton and of the Other Larval Fraction (OL) in 11 CalCOFI Regions, 1975

		Total ichthyop	lankton	Other larv	vae
	Region	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
Central					
California					
	4	31.81-70.50	51.62	33.50-74.46	52.06
	5	26.25-59.40	40.61	27.54-64.00	46.68
Southern					
California					
	7	69.17-93.84	83.12	41.23-72.46	56.57
	8	1.80-85.16	23.51	20.75-75.89	43.69
	9	4.07-87.06	25.88	29.47-67.98	42.64
Northern Baja					
California					
	11	28.32-93.52	58.97	27.14-68.90	43.91
	12	29.54-93.38	59.81	26.47-82.66	51.88
	13	2.36-50.68	23.87	21.22-73.16	37.44
	14	15.52-86.73	39.76	26.70-86.20	48.06
Central Baja					
Camorilla	16	21 26 02 57	54 40	22 00 60 22	17 77
	10	31.20-93.37	10.90	23.90-00.33	- 37.33 - 40.47
	16 17	31.26-93.57 13.93-70.94	54.40 40.89	23.90-60.33 30.57-61.89	37 48

Comparisons based on species lists from each of 6 cruises.

tive proportions of larval fish species are reflected by low between-cruise PSI values (Table 5). The ranges of between-cruise PSI values were smallest in central California regions 4 and 5 (which had little seasonal input of PL) and southern California inshore region 7 (which was dominated by anchovy larvae during all cruises). The other eight regions had large proportions of their total ichthyoplankton contributed by one or more of the PL species during at least two cruises during the year. Because of more equitable abundances among the OL, OL PSI values (except in regions 4, 5, and 14) were lower, and varied less than those for the total ichthyoplankton (Table 5). High (\geq 60) total ichthyoplankton PSI values were associated with periods of maximum PL abundance in all regions except 4 and 5 (central California) and 13 and 14 (northern Baja California); in these four regions, highest values were associated with periods of peak OL abundances. Maximum OL PSI values were also generally associated with periods of peak OL abundances; exceptions were in central Baja California regions 16 and 17, where maximum January-March PSI values preceded July peak OL abundance, and in northern Baja California region 11, where maximum May-July PSI values followed January-March peak abundances.

As with area-wide comparisons, low total and OL regional PSI values generally resulted from comparisons of October-November species lists with those of other months. This indicates that, within all regions, the species composition and abundance relations dur-

Areas	Regions			Cru	ise		
		7412	7501	7503	7505	7507	7510(11)
CC-CC	4-5	36.77	40.92	56.73	53.11	56.19	46.21
CC-SC	4-7	67.20*	62.63*	70.45*	47.53	41.76	57.92
CC-SC	4-8	48.54	53.64	66.51*	63.88*	44.47	29.26
CC-SC	4-9	22.27	40.83	51.76	49.00	31.26	20.44
CC-SC	5-7	25.04	26.56	34.18	25.46	31.68	25.23
CC-SC	5-8	40.56	42.61	50.66	58.13	51.40	45.31
CC-SC	5-9	28.72	57.47	71.12*	61.16*	47.31	26.80
SC-SC	7-8	53.04	66.14*	56.73	42.71	34.04	38.01
SC-SC	7-9	17.83	29.54	34.84	33.39	25.15	24 43
SC-SC	8-9	49.25	54.34	50.04	55.32	66.19*	50.36
SC-NBC	7-11	50.91	57.59	67 71*	41 79	28 37	49 97
SC-NBC	7-12	26.28	26.80	17.29	13.83	11.26	42.02
SC-NBC	7-13	7 74	9.87	8.96	15.05	18.08	50.36
SC-NBC	7.14	6 84	4 72	10.24	0.47	13 13	41.52
SC-NBC	8-11	47.85	46.86	53 73	28 21	31.63	41.52
SC-NBC	8.12	16.46	20.25	14.63	4.82	10.77	29.76
SC-NBC	8-13	27.15	20.25	20.83	18 01	33.06	41 18
SC-NBC	8.14	29.47	15 59	20.35	10.67	26.56	24.86
SC-NBC	9-11	28.11	42.58	34 47	23 74	30.71	33 57
SC-NBC	9-12	14.12	45 71	11.78	6.98	11 49	15.04
SC-NBC	9-13	37.64	13.76	33.67	33.90	34 43	51.51
SC-NBC	9-14	41.24	33.94	33.87	27.85	30.27	33.22
NBC-NBC	11-12	32.43	41.26	22.21	17 74	18 89	35.25
NBC-NBC	11-13	26.15	34.54	27.58	57.80	65.81*	43 32
NBC-NBC	11-14	21.07	30.47	23.68	45.26	48.46	29.06
NBC-NBC	12-13	9.93	17.09	11 48	10.22	12 23	21.38
NBC-NBC	12-14	9.39	14.67	7.50	9.54	12.42	28.08
NBC-NBC	13-14	68.31*	46.26	53.85	63.89*	63.60*	57.78
NBC-CBC	11-16	27.14	44.36	44.21	40.99	51 33	35 23
NBC-CBC	11-17	21.02	17.69	13.23	24.93	48.23	17.84
NBC-CBC	12-16	60.97*	38.59	35.82	47 57	20.95	36.99
NBC-CBC	12-17	14.74	10.20	6.45	60.97*	17.41	9.03
NBC-CBC	13-16	13.15	28.80	18.77	38.47	51.85	27.39
NBC-CBC	13-17	59.32	24.72	24.70	16.42	50.58	32.71
NBC-CBC	14-16	11.27	26.37	12.42	40.79	55.55	33.78
NBC-CBC	14-17	53.55	45.69	24.64	16.20	67.45*	48.03
CBC-CBC	16-17	35.18	49.72	26.09	65.70*	67.68*	27.20

Т	ABLE 6
Percent Similarity Index (PSI) Values from Between-Region C Taken in Cal	comparisons of the Other Larvae (OL) Category of Ichthyoplankton

Comparisons are between regions of the same area and between regions of adjacent areas.

Asterisk denotes high values (i.e., ≥ 60). CC = central California; SC = southern California; NBC = northern Baja California; CBC = central Baja California.

ing fall were markedly different from those during the rest of the year.

Geographical and seasonal differences in taxonomic composition are shown by within-cruise PSI comparisons between the OL of adjacent regions (Table 6). Most of the PSIs were low (< 40), indicating a great degree of geographical heterogeneity throughout the year. High PSI values were generally associated with comparisons between north-south adjacent regions for the central and southern California areas and with comparisons between inshore-offshore adjacent regions within the northern and central Baja California areas. High between-region similarities were seasonally restricted. Highest PSI values in the California and northern Baja California inshore regions (62.6-70.4) occurred between regions 4-7 during December, January, and March and between regions 7-11 during March. Highest inshore-offshore and offshoreseaward values off California occurred between regions 4-8 and 5-9 during March and May, regions 7-8 in January, and regions 8-9 in July. Except for the last, these high PSI values reflect similar proportions of dominant rockfish, bathylagid, and myctophid species (Sebastes spp, Leuroglossus stilbius, Bathylagus ochotensis, Stenobrachius leucopsarus, Tarletonbeania crenularis, and Protomyctophum crockeri) during periods of elevated and peak OL abundances. High July values between regions 8-9 reflect similar proportions of a variety of myctophid and bathylagid species during a time of relatively low OL abundance. High PSI values in the Baja California areas (63.9-68.3) occurred between offshore and seaward regions 13-14 during December, May, and July because of similar proportions of dominant myctophid, gonostomatid, and bathylagid species (primarily *Triphoturus mexicanus*, *Vinciguerria lucetia*, and *Bathylagus wesethi*); high values also occurred between regions 11-13, 14-17, and 16-17 during July, and reflect shared dominance by *T. mexicanus* and (in all but region 11) *V. lucetia* during peak OL abundance.

DISCUSSION

Total Ichthyoplankton, 1975

Despite the large interannual variability in flow and biology of the California Current system (Reid et al. 1958; Sette and Isaacs 1960; Colebrook 1977; Bernal 1980), the 1975 ichthyoplankton resembled that taken on CalCOFI cruises between 1955 and 1958 (Table 1). Ahlstrom (1965) found that 12 kinds of larvae consistently contributed between 90% and 93% of the total CalCOFI ichthyoplankton. Eleven of the 12 are among the 13 most abundant taxa taken during 1975 (species were lumped into higher taxonomic categories in 1975 data to conform to the 1955-58 data; Table 1). The one major exception was the sardine (adjusted rank 26 during 1975 vs rank 6-11 in 1955-58).

The 12 most abundant taxa taken during 1975 included 91% of the total ichthyoplankton. The rank order of abundance within these 12 taxa was quite similar to that of 1955-58 (Kendall's tau tests, P <0.01). However, while the proportion of hake larvae (14.5% of the total ichthyoplankton) in 1975 was similar to 1955-58 values, the proportion of anchovy larvae (58.9%) was 1.3 to 2 times higher, and the proportions of the other 10 taxa were reduced (and more similar to each other) in 1975 as compared to 1955-58 (Table 1). Caution must be used in making such direct rank and proportion comparisons between the two sets of data (especially between the less abundant taxa) because the 1955-58 values are based on actual total numbers of larvae caught and not on abundances adjusted for the area sampled (used here). Also, because the 1955-58 data were not standardized, direct comparisons of numerical abundances between 1975 and the earlier years cannot yet be made.

The large-scale CalCOFI sampling plan covers the spawning areas of the major pelagic fishes (sardine, anchovy, hake, jack mackerel) off California and Baja California (Ahlstrom 1965), and the sampled ichthyoplankton is dominated by these abundant and fecund species and by other offshore (mesopelagic) species. Shelf species other than *Sebastes* spp and *Citharichthys* spp (which have long larval periods) make limited contributions.

Geographical differences in larval fish species distributions within the CalCOFI area are to some extent obscured by the widespread distributions of anchovy, hake, and jack mackerel. However, there are distinct underlying patterns of distribution among the other larvae. Some of these species are associated with major Pacific Ocean water masses, or with cold-water (northern) or warm-water (southern) conditions, and year-to-year variations in these species' distributions and abundances have been related to changes in flow within the California Current system (Ahlstrom 1965, 1969; Paxton 1967; Moser and Ahlstrom 1970; Moser et al. 1977). Regional mean abundances of the dominant OL species during 1975 reflected their documented water-mass affiliations (Table 7). Two of three subarctic-transition zone myctophids (Stenobrachius leucopsarus and Tarletonbeania crenularis; Paxton 1967) and the two "northern" Sebastes species (S. paucipinis and S. jordani; Moser et al. 1977) occurred in greatest abundance off central and southern California. The warm-water cosmopolite Diogenichthys atlanticus (Ahlstrom 1965) was most abundant off northern Baja California, and the restricted California Current species (Protomyctophum crockeri; Ahlstrom 1965) and eastern tropical Pacific species (Triphoturus mexicanus, Vinciguerria lucetia, and Diogenichthys laternatus; Ahlstrom 1965; Paxton 1967) were most numerous off of northern and central Baja California.

Within the central and southern California areas, maximum abundances of mesopelagic species occurred in inshore region 4, while offshore and seaward regions 8 and 9 were locations of maximum anchovy (region 8) and hake (region 9) abundances (Table 7). In contrast, within the northern and central Baja California areas, mesopelagic species were most abundant in seaward region 14, while inshore regions 11 and 12 had maximum anchovy (region 12) and hake (region 11) abundances (Table 7). These shifts suggest inshore-offshore differences in adult distributions and spawning activities and possible differences in net larval transport between the areas off California and Baja California.

The northern Baja California area was one of faunal transition with marked north-south shifts in regional dominance by rockfishes and bathylagids to dominance by gonostomatids, flatfishes, and other shelf taxa. It also marked shifts in dominance by subarctic, cold-water, and northern species to eastern tropical

-							•			, .	-	
		Cer Calit	ntral ornia		Southern California	ii		Nor Baja C	thern alifornia		Cer Baja C	ntral alifornia
	Affil-						Region					
	iation	4	5	7	8	9	П	12	13	14	16	17
Stenobrachius leucopsarus	S-T	1	2	3	5	4	7			6	8	_
Bathylagus ochotensis	N	1	2	5	3	4	7	9	6	8		_
Tarletonbeania crenularis	S-T	1	2	5	3	4	7	_		6	—	—
Sebastes jordani	N	1	5	2	3	6	4	_				
Sebastes paucispinis	N	2	3	1	6	5	4	_				_
Leuroglossus stilbius	М	3	6	1	2	5	4	9	8	10	7	11
Engraulis mordax	М	10	11	4	1	8	3	2	6	9	5	7
Merluccius productus	М	8	9	6	2	1	3	7	4	5	10	Н
Citharichthys sordidus	N	4	2	10	6	7	5	1	8		3	9
Citharichthys stigmaeus	N	4	3	7	2	8	6	1	5	10	9	11
Symbolophorus californiense	Т		6	10	5	2	4	7	3	I	9	8
Diogenichthys atlanticus	С	11	5	10	8	2	7	6	3	1	9	4
Lampanyctus ritteri	S-T	9	6	10	5	3	2	7	4	1	11	8
Protomyctophum crockeri	CA	7	5	9	3	4	6	8	2	1	11	10
Trachurus symmetricus	М	_	9	10	3	4	6	8	2	1	7	5
Ceratoscopelus townsendi	CA	_	8	9	4	3	7	10	2	1	5	6
Bathylagus wesethi	S	_	9	10	4	3	7	8	2	1	6	5
Citharichthys xanthostigma	S	_	_	_	_			1	5	2	3	4
Sardínops sagax	М	_		4		_	3	1		2		_
Triphoturus mexicanus	ETP	_	_	9	7	8	5	6	3	1	4	2
Vinciguerria lucetia	ETP		9		8	7	5	6	3	1	4	2
Diogenichthys laternatus	ETP	_		7			6	4	5	3	2	L

TABLE 7 Regional Ranks of Abundance of the 22 Most Abundant Larval Fish Species in the CalCOFI Area, 1975

Ranks based on total mean numbers of larvae (6 cruises, summed) in each region. Water mass or habitat affiliations assigned to each species: S-T, subarclic-transition zone: T, transition zone: C, warm-water cosmopolite: ETP, eastern tropical Pacific; N, northern/cold water; S, southern/warm water; CA, restricted to California Current; M, multiple affiliations. Affiliations from Ahlstrom (1965), Paxton (1967), Moser and Ahlstrom (1970), and Moser et al. (1977).

Pacific, warm-water, and southern species. Offshore and seaward regions 13 and 14 contained mixtures of species from all three water-mass source areas plus restricted California Current species; dominant OL of inshore regions 11 and 12 included subarctic and eastern tropical Pacific species. The transitional nature of the northern Baja California area is reflected by the relatively low OL PSI values resulting from comparisons of its regions with north-south adjacent regions (Table 4B). Despite its transitional nature, the northern Baja California area had relatively large numbers of larval fishes (Loeb et al. 1983a): PL abundance was extremely high in inshore regions 11 and 12, and maximum areawide OL abundances occurred in regions 12 (primarily flatfishes) and 14 (mesopelagic taxa).

Seasonal changes were evident in both larval fish abundance (Loeb et al. 1983a) and relative abundance of species. However, within each region there was a general dominance by a limited suite of taxa throughout the year. Seasonal differences were probably due to differences in the timing of peak spawning activity among these few abundant taxa. Highest area-wide abundances occurred during the peak January-May PL spawning period. Highest OL abundances occurred during January and March in the central and southern California areas and in inshore region 14 of northern

Baja California; this was primarily due to peak spawning of Sebastes spp and four mesopelagic species with subarctic-transition zone, northern/cold-water, or restricted affiliations (Stenobrachius leucopsarus, Protomyctophum crockeri, Leuroglossus stilbius, and Bathylagus ochotensis; Table 8). Low OL abundances prevailed within all of these regions (except region 7) from May to December because of minor sumer/fall spawning input; within region 7, the October OL abundance peak was attributed to Citharichthys spp. Within the central Baja California regions and regions 12, 13, and 14 of northern Baja California, maximum OL abundances occurred between May and October primarily because of peak summer or fall spawning of flatfish and southern shelf species and three eastern tropical Pacific or warm-water mesopelagic species (Triphoturus mexicanus, Vinciguerria lucetia, and Bathylagus wesethi; Table 8).

Ichthyoplankton Distribution and Seasonal Abundance Patterns in Relation to California Current Flow

The dominant OL taxa of the central and southern California areas are subarctic-transition zone, northern, and cold-water forms, and reflect the northern sources of the California Current. These species,

	Affil-			Сп	uise		
	iation	7412	7501	7503	7505	7507	7510(11)
Stenobrachius leucopsarus	S-T	4	2	1	3	5	6
Bathylagus ochotensis	N	4	1	2	3	5	6
Tarletonbeania crenularis	S-T	6	5	4	2	3	1
Sebastes jordani	N		_	1			
Sebastes paucispinis	N	3	1	2	4		5
Leuroglossus stilbius	м	3	1	2	4	5	6
Engraulis mordax	М	4	1	2	3	6	5
Merluccius productus	М	4	1 I	2	3	5	6
Citharichthys sordidus	N	2	3	5	6	4	1
Citharichthys stigmaeus	N	2	4	3	6	5	1
Symbolophorus californiense	Т	6	3	1	4	2	5
Diogenichthys atlanticus	с	3	2	1	5	6	4
Lampanyctus ritteri	S-T	5	1	2	4	3	6
Protomyctophum crockeri	CA	3	1	$\overline{2}$	4	5	ő
Trachurus symmetricus	М		5	1	3	2	4
Ceratoscopelus townsendi	CA	5	4	3	6	2	1
Bathylagus wesethi	S	6	5	1	3	2	4
Citharichthys xanthostiema	Š	_	_		3	2	i
Sardinons sagax	м	4	3	6	5	ĩ	2
Triphoturus mexicanus	ETP	6	5	4	2	1	3
Vinciguerria lucetia	ETP	3	4	6	5	i	2
Diogenichthys laternatus	ETP	4	3	ĩ	6	2	5

TABLE 8 Cruise Ranks of Abundance of the 22 Most Abundant Larval Fish Species in the CalCOFi Area, 1975

Ranks based on summed adjusted abundances of larvae from 11 CalCOFI regions for each cruise. Water mass or habitat affiliations assigned to each species: S-T, subarctic-transition zone; T, transition zone; C, warm-water cosmopolite; ETP, eastern tropical Pacific; N, northern/cold water; S, southern/warm water; CA, restricted to California Current; M, multiple affiliations. Affiliations from Ahlstrom (1965), Paxton (1967), Moser and Ahlstrom (1970), and Moser et al. (1977).

although present and generally dominant throughout the year, were most abundant in January-March, during reduced surface countercurrent flow and the onset of upwelling. During 1975 the upwelling period in the central and southern California areas extended from March to late September; maximum intensities occurred from May to July (Parrish et al. 1981). Decreased and relatively constant OL abundances prevailed here during most of this upwelling period and throughout most of the surface countercurrent period. The OL species proportions of the inshore regions of the central and southern California areas and inshore region 11 of northern Baja California were most similar from December or January to March (Table 6), possibly because these regions experienced similar environmental conditions at the onset of increased spawning activity by shared dominant taxa. Except for regions $\overline{7}$ and 8, greatest similarity of species proportions between inshore-offshore regions occurred later (March to May or July) than for the inshore regions; this corresponds to the period of maximum offshore advection of surface water associated with upwelling (Bakun and Nelson 1977) and may implicate larval drift. Regions 7 and 8 were most similar during December, January, and March (Table 6), perhaps because of similar wintertime conditions prevailing within the Los Angeles bight area (Lasker 1978). Between-region PSI values were relatively low during summer and fall, suggesting greater environmental heterogeneity (on regional scales) during the period of surface countercurrent flow and reduced upwelling.

The dominant OL taxa of the central Baia California area, and to a lesser degree of the northern Baja California area, are eastern tropical Pacific, southern, and warm-water forms. In these southern regions (except region 11) highest OL abundances occurred during summer and fall. This is a period of decreased upwelling, weakened southward flow, increased surface temperatures, and surface countercurrent flow off Baja California. Throughout the year 67% of all between-region PSI values in these areas were < 40.0 as compared to the regions of central and southern California, where only 33% of all comparisons were < 40.0 (Table 6). This suggests a greater degree of between-region heterogeneity in species composition in the south, because of the transitional nature of the northern Baja California area. Greatest similarity of species proportions between inshore-offshore regions generally occurred during the May-July period of maximum OL abundance (Table 6) and reflect dominance by a few summer-spawning species.

Among the 11-regions, only offshore and seaward regions 13 and 14 of northern Baja California did not have significant seasonal OL abundance peaks (Loeb et al. 1983a). These were the only regions that, despite marked seasonal changes in species proportions (i.e., low within-region PSI values; Table 5), had generally high between-region similarities of species proportions throughout the year. They also had similar ranked abundances of their dominant species. These facts imply that more homogeneous environmental conditions existed offshore of northern Baja California than elsewhere in the CalCOFI area during 1975, and probably reflect decreased influence by coastal processes in this locale.

Among the PL species, anchovy and hake most resembled the northern OL species, whereas jack mackerel and sardine were more like the southern OL species, in their distributions and seasonal abundance peaks (Tables 7 and 8). These associations are corroborated by the results of recurrent group analysis on the 1975 ichthyoplankton data (Loeb et al. 1983b). During January-March peak spawning, anchovy and hake in the southern California area had maximum abundances in offshore and seaward regions, while off northern Baja California they were most abundant in inshore regions. These differences were apparently not directly related to coastal upwelling timing or intensity, because the February onset and subsequent spring upwelling intensities were similar in both areas (Bakun and Nelson 1977; Lasker 1978). They may, however, reflect differences in spawning stocks. Apparently, central and southern spawning stocks of the northern anchovy and hake (as well as subpopulations of sardine and jack mackerel) are separated near the northern Baja California coast (Nelson 1977; Vrooman and Paloma 1977; Bakun and Parrish 1980; Hewitt 1981; Parrish et al. 1981).

The northern Baja California area is a transition zone for subarctic/cold-water and eastern tropical Pacific/warm-water fishes, as well as for copepods and euphausiids, and marks a separation of spawning stocks of the major pelagic fish species (Hewitt 1981). It separates areas that have significantly different periods of both zooplankton and OL seasonal abundance peaks (Loeb et al. 1983a). Its inshore regions have concentrations of characteristically offshore zooplankton species plus extreme zooplankton patchiness throughout the year (Arthur 1977; Loeb et al. 1983a). These features may be related to the unique hydrography of the area.

In general, wind-driven surface-layer (Ekman) transport along the coast is directed offshore (positive wind stress curl), creating a coastal divergence or up-welling zone, the extent of which varies seasonally and annually with changes in wind field and intensity. Offshore, surface convergence or downwelling (negative wind stress curl) predominates throughout the year. The boundary between convergence and divergence zones parallels the coast 100-300 km offshore

except off northern Baja California (Figure 4; Bakun and Nelson 1977; Parrish et al. 1981). Here a lobe of surface convergence (negative wind stress curl) extends shoreward and impinges on the coast in the Baja California maximum upwelling area between Punta Baja and Punta Eugenia (regions 11 and 12). This feature persists throughout the year and separates the cyclonic eddy of the Southern California Bight and a seasonal coastal eddy south of Punta Eugenia. As indicated by the results presented in this study and in Loeb et al. (1983a), this feature also appears to separate both coastal and offshore biological regimes within the California Current area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The senior author's work was funded by the Pacific Environmental Group (SWFC, Monterey, California). The sorting and identification of anchovy, hake, and sardine larvae was done by Lucy Dunn, Mary Farrell, Connie Fey, Jean Haddox, Mary Alice Lumpkins, and Frances Pocinich. Susan D'Vincent, Barbara Mac-Call, Elaine Sandknop, and Betsy Stevens identified the other fish species and provided the basis for this analysis. The generous advice and assistance provided by Richard Charter, Cynthia Meyer, Nathaniel Kostrubala, Carol Miller, and James Thrailkill of the SWFC, La Jolla, is greatly appreciated. Eric Shulenberger (San Diego Natural History Museum) and Richard Parrish (Pacific Environmental Group) were extremely helpful and generous with their editorial assistance.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ahlstrom, E.H. 1965. Kinds and abundances of fishes in the California Current region based on egg and larval surveys. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 10:31-52.
- 1967. Co-occurrences of sardine and anchovy larvae in the California Current region off of California and Baja California. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 11:117-135.
- ———. 1969. Mesopelagic and bathypelagic fishes in the California Current region. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 13:39-44.
- Arthur, D.K. 1977. Distribution, size, and abundance of microcopepods in the California Current system and their possible influence on survival of marine teleost larvae. Fish. Bull. 75:601-611.
- Bakun, A., and C.S. Nelson. 1977. Climatology of upwelling related processes off Baja California. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 19:107-127.
- Bakun, A., and R.H. Parrish. 1980. Environmental inputs to fishery population models for eastern boundary current regions. *In* G.D. Sharp (ed.), Workshop on the effects of environmental variation on the survival of larval pelagic fishes. IOC Workshop Rep. 28, UNESCO, Paris, p. 67-104.
- Bernal, P.A. 1980. Large-scale biological events in the California Current: the low frequency response of the epipelagic ecosystem. Ph.D. dissertation. University of California, San Diego. 184 p.
- Colebrook, J.M. 1977. Annual fluctuations in biomass of taxonomic groups of zooplankton in the California Current, 1955-1959. Fish. Bull. 75:357-368.



Figure 4. Patterns of wind stress curl, and divergence and convergence zones off California and Baja California, reproduced from Parrish, Nelson, and Bakun (1981). Monthly composite fields of wind stress curl were computed from surface wind stress fields for (A) winter (December-February), (B) spring (March-May), (C) summer (June-August), and (D) fall (September-November). The contour interval is 0.25 dyne cm² 100 km. Negative values are shaded and indicate surface Ekman convergence. Unshaded areas indicate surface Ekman divergence.

- Fager, E.W. 1963. Communities of organisms. In M.N. Hill (ed.). The sea, vol. 2. New York Interscience Publishers. New York, p. 415-437.
- Hewitt, R. 1981. Eddies and speciation in the California Current. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 22:96-98
- Hickey, B.M. 1979. The California Current system-hypothesis and facts. Prog. Oceanogr. 8:191-279.
- Kramer, D.M., J. Kalin, E.G. Stevens, J.R. Thrailkill, and J.R. Zweifel. 1972. Collecting and processing data on fish eggs and larvae in the California Current Region. NOAA Tech. NMFS Circ. No. 370, 38 p.
- Lasker, R. 1978. The relation between oceanographic conditions and larval anchovy food in the California Current: identification of factors contributing to recruitment failure. Rapp. P.-v. Réun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer. 173:212-230.
- Loeb, V.J., P.E. Smith, and H.G. Moser. 1983a. Ichthyoplankton and zooplankton abundance patterns in the California Current area. 1975. Calif. Coop., Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 24: (this volume).
- ------. 1983b. Recurrent groups of larval fish species in the California Current area. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish Invest. Rep. 24: (this volume).
- McGowan, J.A., and C.B. Miller. 1980. Larval fish and zooplankton community structure. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Invest. Rep. 21:29-36.
- Moser, H.G., and E.H. Ahlstrom. 1970. Development of lanternfishes (family Myctophidae) in the California Current. Part I. Species with narrow-eyed larvae. Bull. Los Ang. Cy. Mus. Nat. Hist.: Sci. 7, 145 p.
- Moser, H.G., E.H. Ahlstrom, and E.M. Sandknop. 1977. Guide to the identification of scorpionfish larvae (family Scorpaenidae) in the eastern Pacific with comparative notes on species of *Sebastes* and *Heliocolenus* from other oceans. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS Circ. 402, 71 p.

- Nelson, C.S. 1977. Wind stress and wind stress curl over the California Current. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSR7-714, 87 p.
- Owen, R. 1980. Eddies of the California Current system: physical and ecological characteristics. *In* D. Power (ed.). The California island: proceedings of a multidisciplinary symposium, Santa Barbara Museum of Nat. Hist., p. 237-263.
- Parrish, R.H., C.S. Nelson, and A. Bakun. 1981. Transport mechanisms and reproductive success of fishes in the California Current. Biol. Oceanog. 1:175-203.
- Paxton, J.R. 1967. A distributional analysis for the lanternfishes (family Myctophidae) of the San Pedro Basin, California. Copeia, 1967(2):422-440.
- Reid, J.L., G.L. Roden, and J.G. Wyllie. 1958. Studies in the California Current system. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 6:27-57.
- Sette, O.E., and J.D. Isaacs, eds. 1969. Symposium on the changing Pacific Ocean in 1957 and 1958. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 7:13-217.
- Tate, M.W., and R.C. Clelland. 1957. Nonparametric and shortcut statistics in the social, biological and medical sciences. Interstate Printers and Publishers, Danville, III. 171 p.
- Vrooman, A.M., and P.A. Paloma. 1977. Dwarf hake off the coast of Baja California, Mexico. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest. Rep. 19:67-72.
- Whittaker, R.H. 1975. Communities and ecosystems. MacMillan Publishing Co., New York, 385 p.
- Wooster, W.S., and J.L. Reid. 1963. Eastern Boundary Currents. In M.N. Hill (ed.), The sea, vol. 2. New York Interscience Publishers, New York, p. 253-280.