

CONVERSIONS BETWEEN TOTAL, FORK,  
AND STANDARD LENGTHS IN 35 SPECIES  
OF *SEBASTES* FROM CALIFORNIA

In recent years, the rockfishes (Scorpaenidae: *Sebastes*) of the northeastern Pacific Ocean have been investigated extensively. With many institutions studying diverse aspects of their biology and fisheries, a lack of standardized methods has hampered attempts to synthesize the data. A particular problem has been the reporting of different length measurements. To provide the means to convert one of these length measurements to another, we report here the linear regression statistics necessary for conversions in 35 species of *Sebastes*.

Specimens were collected from fishery catches between Cape Blanco, Oreg., and San Diego, Calif., during 1977-82. The sample included five fish for each centimeter of body length throughout the size range of each species. Measurements were taken on a meter board in millimeters on frozen, then thawed, carcasses. Standard length was measured from the anterior tip of the upper jaw to the posterior end of the vertebral column (Hubbs and Lagler 1970:25); fork length was measured from the anterior tip of the longest jaw to the median point of the caudal fin; and the total length was measured from the most anterior tip of the longest jaw to the most posterior part of the tail when the caudal rays are squeezed together (Holt 1959:71). Linear regressions were run on all combinations of the measurements of length. Outliers ( $\pm 3.0$  standard deviations) from the line were noted by the computer program, then checked for data entry error and corrected when possible. If a data entry error was not found, an outlier was assumed to result from measurement error and the observation was deleted.

Statistics reported for each species are  $y$ -intercept ( $\alpha$ ), slope ( $\beta$ ), standard error of estimate ( $S_{y,x}$ ), correlation coefficient ( $r$ ), range in length, and the sample size used in the regression ( $n$ ) (Tables 1-3). Estimates of  $\alpha$  imply impossible values for the dependent variable when the independent variable is zero. The impossible results could be caused by random error in estimation of  $\alpha$  or nonlinearity for values less than those observed. The high values of  $r$  and examination of scattergrams indicate that the length relationships are linear over the observed range of values. The standard precaution of limiting the application of these regressions to the ranges of observed values is advised. To calculate the total length (TL) of *S. alutus*, given a standard length (SL) of 250 mm, the regression values from Table 1, total length on standard length, are used so that



TABLE 2.—Continued

Species of <i>Sebastes</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>r</i>	Standard length		Fork length on standard length			Standard length on fork length		
			Min	Max	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$S_{y,x}$	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$S_{y,x}$
<i>rufus</i>	26	0.999	152	447	14.246	1.112	4.416	-12.392	0.898	3.969
<i>saxicola</i>	77	0.999	109	288	3.234	1.200	2.511	-2.315	0.831	2.090
<i>semitinctus</i>	31	0.978	101	147	6.486	1.128	3.562	-0.343	0.849	3.091
<i>serranoides</i>	126	0.995	190	441	4.422	1.184	6.779	-0.672	0.837	5.700
<i>wilsoni</i>	53	0.999	71	126	0.671	1.203	0.884	-0.372	0.830	0.734

TABLE 3.—Results of linear regressions of fork length versus total length for *Sebastes*. Measurements are in millimeters.

Species of <i>Sebastes</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>r</i>	Fork length		Total length on fork length			Fork length on total length		
			Min	Max	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$S_{y,x}$	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$S_{y,x}$
<i>alutus</i>	48	0.999	278	430	-0.003	1.050	1.483	1.321	0.949	1.272
<i>auriculatus</i>	113	1.000	90	529	-0.586	1.007	1.637	0.634	0.993	1.626
<i>aurora</i>	43	0.998	198	388	2.293	1.019	2.349	-0.917	0.977	2.300
<i>babcocki</i>	72	1.000	222	635	-1.146	1.032	2.392	1.336	0.968	2.316
<i>carnatus</i>	101	1.000	92	351	-0.759	1.005	0.510	0.768	0.995	0.507
<i>caurinus</i>	107	0.999	135	538	0.629	1.010	3.022	0.005	0.988	2.988
<i>chlorostictus</i>	106	1.000	127	449	-0.723	1.028	1.905	0.858	0.972	1.852
<i>chrysomelas</i> <sup>1</sup>										
<i>constellatus</i>	104	1.000	174	422	-0.134	1.023	1.504	0.301	0.977	1.470
<i>crameri</i>	99	1.000	124	480	-1.700	1.051	2.002	1.756	0.951	1.904
<i>diploproa</i>	80	1.000	106	364	-0.558	1.049	1.704	0.669	0.953	1.625
<i>elongatus</i>	102	1.000	129	360	-0.552	1.047	1.449	0.701	0.954	1.383
<i>entomegas</i>	100	0.999	231	496	-6.845	1.072	3.251	6.954	0.931	3.029
<i>flavidus</i>	191	1.000	226	551	2.358	1.025	2.439	-1.906	0.974	2.377
<i>gooderi</i>	96	1.000	122	527	2.468	1.057	2.647	-2.096	0.945	2.503
<i>hopkinsi</i>	70	0.999	115	292	0.002	1.041	1.917	0.428	0.959	1.840
<i>jordani</i>	140	0.999	89	296	-1.872	1.086	1.885	2.036	0.920	1.735
<i>levis</i>	34	1.000	228	855	-3.335	1.055	4.452	3.369	0.947	4.219
<i>maliger</i>	40	0.999	215	480	-8.696	1.034	2.782	9.075	0.965	2.687
<i>melanops</i>	132	1.000	91	599	1.595	1.017	2.099	-1.421	0.983	2.063
<i>melanostomus</i>	82	0.999	247	519	-0.635	1.036	2.181	1.065	0.964	2.103
<i>miniatius</i>	103	0.999	293	654	-7.857	1.054	4.638	8.665	0.946	4.394
<i>mystinus</i>	158	1.000	122	463	2.495	1.039	2.329	-2.164	0.962	2.241
<i>nebulosus</i>	71	1.000	256	498	0.854	1.001	1.423	-0.487	0.998	1.420
<i>ovalis</i>	78	0.999	225	438	3.914	1.033	1.996	-3.311	0.967	1.931
<i>paucispinis</i>	157	1.000	123	781	-0.870	1.045	2.273	0.930	0.956	2.174
<i>pinniger</i>	132	1.000	235	586	-4.107	1.070	2.822	4.108	0.934	2.638
<i>rosaceus</i>	79	0.999	158	316	1.409	1.015	1.173	-1.085	0.984	1.155
<i>rosenblatti</i>	103	1.000	155	497	-0.453	1.030	2.026	0.692	0.970	1.966
<i>ruberrimus</i>	118	1.000	243	680	-0.758	1.018	3.640	1.296	0.981	3.573
<i>rufus</i>	24	1.000	182	517	-2.197	1.057	1.659	2.135	0.946	1.569
<i>saxicola</i>	69	0.999	136	347	-0.669	1.038	2.009	0.921	0.963	1.935
<i>semitinctus</i>	29	0.998	119	174	-0.422	1.050	1.178	1.010	0.949	1.120
<i>serranoides</i>	125	0.999	222	518	1.419	1.029	2.623	-0.862	0.971	2.548
<i>wilsoni</i>	45	1.000	86	151	-1.141	1.035	0.560	1.182	0.966	0.541

<sup>1</sup>No regression was run because total length and fork length are equal.

$$TL = \alpha + \beta (SL)$$

$$TL = 1.454 + (1.249) (250)$$

$$TL = 313.7 \text{ mm.}$$

### Literature Cited

- HOLT, S. J.  
 1959. Report of the international training center on the methodology and techniques of research on mackerel (*Rastrelliger*). FAO/ETAP Rep. 1095, 129 p.

HUBBS, C. L., AND K. F. LAGLER.

1970. Fishes of the Great Lakes region. Univ. Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 213 p.

TINA ECHEVERRIA  
WILLIAM H. LENARZ

Southwest Fisheries Center Tiburon Laboratory  
 National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
 3150 Paradise Drive  
 Tiburon, CA 94920