IBIS 126

SHORT COMMUNICATION

The diet of the Brown Booby Sula leucogaster and Masked Booby Sula dactylatra on Rose Atoll, Samoa

CRAIG S. HARRISON¹, THOMAS S. HIDA² & MICHAEL P. SEKI²

IBIS 126: 588-590

Received 10 February 1983

The Brown Booby Sula leucogaster and Masked Booby S. dactylatra, have pantropical ranges (Nelson 1978) and their diets have been studied on several breeding islands. Brown Boobies are considered to be inshore feeders (Diamond 1978) and have a varied diet. They feed principally on flying fish and halfbeaks in the Bahamas, but supplement this diet with prawns, parrot fish and flat-fish (Murphy 1936: 859). At Ascension Island, Brown Boobies took at least 12 prey items, including a squid Hyaloteuthis pelagicus, a blenny Ophioblennius webbii, a flying fish Exocoetus volitans (Dorward 1962, Stonehouse 1962) and a carangid Trachiurops [(Selar)] crumenopthalmus (Simmons 1967). In Australia, they fed on flying fish, squid, a mackerel Rastrelliger kanagurta and a carangid Chorinemus lysan (Serventy 1952). At Christmas Island (Indian Ocean), this species fed on a flying fish Cypselurus bahiensis (Gibson-Hill 1947). Our own recent study of 244 samples from the Hawaiian Archipelago (Harrison et al. 1983) indicated that a single squid family (Ommastrephidae) and 18 fish families (especially flying fish, carangids, and halfbeaks) were the most important components of the diet. We know of no published information concerning the diet of Brown Boobies in the South Pacific.

There are numerous published reports of the diet of Masked Boobies, which are considered to be pelagic feeders (Diamond 1978). Murphy (1936: 848) reported that they fed primarily on flying fish in South America. Flying fish *E. volitans* were also important at Ascension Island, but a blenny *O. webbii*, a trichiurid *Benthodesmus simonyi*, and an anchovy *Engraulis* spp. were also commonly taken (Dorward 1962). In Australia, this bird fed on a mackerel *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (Serventy 1952) and in the Seychelles, it fed on a flying fish *Exocoetus* spp. and squid (Vesey-Fitzgerald 1941). In the Pacific, Schreiber & Hensley (1976) reported that Masked Boobies fed primarly on flying fish and squid at Christmas Island. Our own study of 305 samples from Hawaii (Harrison *et al.* 1983) indicated that one squid family (Ommastrephidae) and 15 fish families (especially carangids, flying fish and halfbeaks) were important. We have located only anecdotal references to the diet of this species in the South Pacific: Nelson (1978) reported it ate kingfish *Regificola grandis*, a mullet *Mugil* spp., a flying fish *Cypselurus* spp. and squid at Lord Howe Island.

During the course of our work on the diets of seabirds in the Hawaiian Archipelago, we had an opportunity to examine samples from nine Brown Boobies and four Masked Boobies that were collected by regurgitation at Rose Atoll (14°32'S, 168°09'W) on 11 November 1980. These were identified to the lowest taxon possible,

¹Please address correspondence to Craig Harrison.

0019-1019/84/030000+00 \$03.00/0

(c) 1984 The British Ornithologists' Union

counted, measured for displacement volume and, where possible, measured for length.

The samples from Brown Boobies contained a mean of 18 prey items, ranging from eight to 46. Sample volumes averaged 73·3 ml (range 25–115 ml). Prey lengths for the 35 measured items averaged 63·5 mm (range 29–103 mm). Standard length (SL) was used for all fishes. The list of prey items (Table 1) utilizes rankings of importance to family level using a system similar to that employed by Ashmole & Ashmole (1967) in which the three commonly reported measurements of prey importance (numbers of organisms, percent occurrence, average percent volume) are equally weighted. This ranking system is described further in (Harrison *et al.* 1983). The most important prey items of Brown Boobies at Rose Atoll were juvenile forms of ommastrephid squids, goat fishes (Mullidae), and a squirrel fish *Holocentrus diadema*. The lengths of important prey items include *Symplectoteuthis oualaniensis* ($\bar{X} = 77 \text{ mm}, n = 10$), goatfishes ($\bar{X} = 61 \text{ mm}, n = 6$), and *Acanthurus triostegus* ($\bar{X} = 37 \text{ mm}, n = 6$).

The four samples from Masked Boobies contained primarily flying fishes (including one *Cypselurus* spp.) and ommastrephid squids (including one *S. oualaniensis*). A single skipjack tuna *Katsuwonus pelamis* was the only other prey item identified. The samples contained an average of three prey items (range 1–6), a mean volume of 93 ml (range 35-168 ml) and a mean prey length of 74 mm (56-89 mm, n = 4).

Our experience with the analysis of seabird food samples from Hawaii make us wary of drawing premature conclusions based on limited sample sizes. However, our results here further underscore the relative stability of the diet of the Masked Booby

TABLE 1

Prey items identified to lowest taxon from nine Brown Booby samples from Rose Atoll, Samoa

Prey	Rank	Organisms (n)	", of samples in which occurred	°., by volume
Fishes				
Acanthuridae	5 (tie)			
Acanthurus triostegus		18	11	4.9
Unidentified acanthurid		2	22	0.7
Carangidae	9	1	11	2.2
Corvphaenidae	8			
Coryphaena equiselis		2	11	0.8
Exococtidae	5 (tie)	6	56	5.8
Holocentridae	4			
Holocentrus diadema		5	22	1.2
Unidentified holocentrid		5	56	1.1
Mullidae	l (tie)	91	78	27.3
Scombridae	7			
Katsuwonus pelamis		4	22	4.9
Thunnus alalunga		I	11	1.3
Squid				
Ommastrephidae	l (tie)			
Symplectoteuthis spp.		5	22	5.6
S. oualaniensis		7	33	18.4
Unidentified ommastrephid		16	67	18-9
Unidentified squid	10	I I	11	0.2
Unidentified remains	3	6	67	6.7

1984

throughout its range. Our results also underscore the ability of Brown Boobies to exploit apparently any prey that is available in inshore waters. Goat fishes, squirrel fishes and convict tangs A. triostegus are considered to be rather inshore fishes associated with the reef. In comparison with samples from Hawaii, Masked Boobies ate similar numbers of prey (3 v. 2.5 items per sample), but Brown Boobies at Rose Atoll ate more prey items (18 v. 12 items per sample) of smaller length (63.5 v. 94 mm, ANOVA P < 0.01). The importance of goat fishes to Brown Boobies on a South Pacific island, which is paralleled in importance for many seabirds in Hawaii, indicates that future investigators of the diets of tropical seabirds should examine a reference collection to determine whether goat fishes occur in the diet. It is possible that some of the unidentified fishes from other studies (e.g., Schreiber & Hensley 1976) were goat fishes but were not recognized by laboratory personnel.

We are grateful to J. R. Shallenberger for collecting the samples and to R. S. Shomura for making this inter-agency work possible.

REFERENCES

ASHMOLE, N. P. & ASHMOLE, M. J. 1967. Comparative feeding ecology of sea birds on a tropical oceanic island. Yale Peabody Mus. Nat. Hist. Bull. 24: 1-13.

DIAMOND, A. W. 1978. Feeding strategies and population size in tropical seabirds. Am. Nat. 112: 215–223.

DORWARD, D. F. 1962. Comparative biology of the white booby and the brown booby Sula spp. at Ascension. Ibis 103b: 174-220. GIBSON-HILL, C. A. 1947. Notes on the birds of Christmas Island. Bull. Raffles Mus. 18: 87-165.

HARRISON, C. S., HIDA, T. S. & SEKI, M. P. 1983. Hawaiian seabird feeding ecology. Wildl. Monogrs. 85: 1-7Í.

MCKEAN, J. L. & HINWOOD, K. A. 1965. Additional notes on the birds of Lord Howe Island. Emu 64: 79-97.

MURPHY, R. C. 1936. Oceanic birds of South America. New York: American Museum of Natural History. NELSON, J. B. 1978. The sulidae: gannets and boobies. Oxford: Oxford University Press. SCHREIBER, R. W. & HENSLEY, D. A. 1976. The diets of *Sula dactylatra*. *Sula sula*, and *Fregata minor* on

Christmas Island, Pacific Ocean. Pac. Sci. 30: 241-248.

SERVENTY, D. L. 1952. The bird islands of the Sahul Shelf. Emu 52: 33-59 SIMMONS, K. E. L. 1967. Ecological adaptations in the life history of the brown booby at Ascension Island, Living Bird 6: 187-212

STONEHOUSE, B. 1962. Ascension Island and the British Ornithologists' Union Centenary Expedition 1957~59. Ibis 103b: 107–123.

VESEY-FITZGERALD, D. 1941. Further contributions to the ornithology of the Sevchelles. Ibis 41: 518-531.

¹ Environment and Policy Institute, the East-West Center, 1777 East-West Road, Honolulu, Hawaii 90848, USA and ² Southwest Fisheries Center Honolulu Laboratory, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, PO Box 3830, Honolulu, Hawaii 96812, USA.