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Cohort and catch-at-age analysis were used to estimate fishing mortality rates and population size for widow rockfish (Sebastes entomelas), using 1980-1987 catch-at-age data. Fishing mortality was moderately higher in 1987 than in 1986, due to an increase in the harvest. Projections of stock biomass for 1988 and 1989 indicated that stock levels should be lower than the estimated levels in 1986 and 1987. The results indicated that the 1980 and 1981 year classes are strong. However the cohort analysis indicated that these year classes are much stronger than all but the 1970 year class, while the catch-at-age analysis indicates the two year classes are close to average values. While results from cohort analysis indicates that the stock is close to 1980 levels, results from catch-at-age analysis indicates that the stock at the beginning of 1988 was $30-35$ percent of the 1980 level, and at the beginning of 1989 will be about 30 percent of the 1980 level. Using results from cohort analysis, estimates of MSY ranged from 8,200 to $13,300 \mathrm{mt}$, with an average of $10,700 \mathrm{mt}$. The recommended fishing mortality rate for fully recruited fish was 0.30 , if $M=0.20$ and 0.25 , if $M=0.15$. At this level of fishing effort, average yield in 1989 and 1990 (an estimate of $A B C$ ) would be $17,200 \mathrm{mt}$. Using results of catch-at-age analysis, estimates of MSY ranged from 5,900 to $11,400 \mathrm{mt}$, with an average of $8,400 \mathrm{mt}$. At the recommended fishing mortality rates for fully recruited fish, average yield in 1989 and 1990 would be $7,600 \mathrm{mt}$. Because of the considerable uncertainty in the status of the stock we recomend that ABC be set at the average estimate of MSY ( $9,500 \mathrm{mt}$ ). The recommended ABC is 21 percent below the 1988 ABC and slightly higher than average landings between 1983 and 1986.

## INTRODUCTION

This report represents an update of the widow rockfish (Sebastes entomelas) status report submitted in August 1987 (Hightower and Lenarz 1987). Included is (1) a review of the 1987 report and of recent events in the fishery, (2) estimates of the current status of the stock, (3) and recommendations for harvest in 1989.

## SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT

The estimate of acceptable biological catch (ABC) for 1988 was $12,100 \mathrm{mt}$, a decrease of 400 mt from the 1987 ABC . The stock was estimated to above the maximum sustained yield (MSY) level because of the relatively large 1977-1980 year classes. Estimates of total stock biomass projected a decline from 1987 to 1988 and that spawning stock would remain the same or show a slight decline.

## RECENT HISTORY OF THE FISHERY

Landings in 1987 were higher than in 1986, due to increased landings in the northern part of the Columbia International North Pacific Fisheries Commission (INPFC) Area (Table 1, Figure 1). An increase in $O Y$ to $12,500 \mathrm{mt}$ allowed the increased harvest. The fishery proceeded at a

|  | INPFC | N | S | INPFC | INPFC | INPFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Vancouver | Columbia | Columbia | Columbia | Eureka | Monterey-Con. | Total |
| 1979 | 329 | 432 | 1,894 | 2,326 | 2,166 | 120 | 4,941 |
| 1980 | 143 | 9,426 | 5,781 | 15,207 | 4,736 | 304 | 20,390 |
| 1981 | 1,991 | 7,969 | 12,301 | 20,270 | 3,430 | 2,000 | 27,691 |
| 1982 | 3,969 | 4,625 | 6,929 | 11,554 | 3,993 | 6,997 | 26,513 |
| 1983 | 1,596 | 2,490 | 2,129 | 4,619 | 2,442 | 1,554 | 10,211 |
| 1984 | 594 | 2,086 | 3,747 | 5,833 | 2,256 | 988 | 9,671 |
| 1985 | 446 | 2,128 | 2,993 | 5,120 | 2,313 | 977 | 8,856 |
| 1986 | 557 | 3,508 | 2,662 | 6,170 | 1,632 | 971 | 9,330 |
| 1987 | 496 | 6,603 | 2,689 | 9,292 | 1,598 | 799 | 12,185 |
| Total | 10,121 | 39,267 | - 41.125 | 80,391 | 24,566 | 14,710 | 129.788 |



Figure 1. Landings of widow rockfish by INPFC area from 1979-1987.
faster rate in 1987 than in 1986, even though there continued to be good fishing for pink shrimp (Pandalus jordani). There were reports that schooled fish were more available in the Northern Columbia Area than in the past few years. We are not aware of changes in the availability of widow rockfish in other areas.

The 1980 and 1981 year classes dominated the catch numerically in 1987, although as in earlier years, the 1977-1979 year classes were also an important component (Table 2, Figure 2). Also as in earlier years, a large percentage of the 1987 catch ( 76 percent by number) was comprised of fish less than age 9 , the age at 95 percent maturity (Figure 3). As of 1987, peak catches have occurred for all year classes through 1978.

The oy for 1988 was set at 12,100 mt which was the estimate of $A B C$ (Hightower and Lenarz 1987). Vessels have been restricted to $30,000 \mathrm{lb}$ trip limits with no more than one trip per week. Preliminary estimates are that 1988 landings will be about $12,100 \mathrm{mt}$, and that it will be necessary to place the fishery on more restrictive trip limits in October or November to avoid exceeding OY.

## ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The approaches and assumptions used in the 1987 assessment were similar to those used in this assessment. Some changes were made in response to the SSC review of the 1987 assessment and reconsideration of the assumptions (See Appendix I for a review of the 1987 assessment by a member of the SSC.)

Population fecundity is used to estimate spawning potential instead of the biomass of mature fish. The population fecundity of an age group is the portion of mature females times the fecundity times the number of fish. The total population fecundity is the sum of age specific population fecundity over all age groups. We believe that population fecundity is likely to be more closely related to spawning potential of the stock than is biomass of mature fish. Population fecundity gives slightly more weight to older fish than biomass of mature fish. Relationship(s) between age and viability of released larvae are not known. Estimates of age specific average weight, portion of mature females and fecundity are shown in Table 3.

As before, all analyses were done using two levels for the instantaneous rate of natural mortality ( $M$ ). These assumed rates were based on a catch curve analysis, and were thought to be approximate lower (0.15) and upper ( 0.20 ) bounds for $M$.

Since the 1985 assessment (Lenarz and Hightower, 1985), cohort analysis (Murphy, 1965) has been done by linking adjacent cohorts; under the assumption that $F$ was equal for 9 - and 10 -year-old fish in a given year. For the 1970 through 1978 cohorts, we used the same starting value used in the 1985, 1986 and 1987 assessments ( $F_{9}(1984)$ ). This starting value was originally selected (1985 assessment) by varying $F_{9}(1984)$ until we produced a decline in average $F$ of about 50 percent from 1982 to 1983.

Table 2. Estimates of the number of widow rockfish landed by year class (millions) from 1980 through 1987.

| Year Class | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $65+$ | 1.856 | 1.409 | 2.945 | 1.357 | 0.810 | 0.473 | 0.493 | 0.329 | 9.672 |
| 66 | 0.567 | 0.579 | 0.826 | 0.191 | 0.116 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.046 | 2.449 |
| 67 | 0.855 | 0.853 | 1.093 | 0.279 | 0.144 | 0.073 | 0.061 | 0.084 | 3.442 |
| 68 | 1.675 | 1.356 | 1.403 | 0.365 | 0.237 | 0.093 | 0.090 | 0.116 | 5.335 |
| 69 | 2.237 | 2.194 | 1.647 | 0.364 | 0.338 | 0.067 | 0.085 | 0.107 | 7.039 |
| 70 | 5.590 | 5.633 | 3.849 | 0.690 | 0.744 | 0.400 | 0.338 | 0.214 | 17.458 |
| 71 | 3.580 | 5.130 | 1.960 | 0.391 | 0.392 | 0.173 | 0.084 | 0.138 | 11.848 |
| 72 | 0.904 | 1.483 | 0.727 | 0.222 | 0.102 | 0.047 | 0.036 | 0.044 | 3.565 |
| 73 | 0.460 | 0.885 | 0.933 | 0.272 | 0.170 | 0.037 | 0.049 | 0.048 | 2.854 |
| 74 | 0.454 | 1.626 | 1.513 | 0.322 | 0.189 | 0.148 | 0.166 | 0.144 | 4.562 |
| 75 | 0.300 | 2.219 | 1.924 | 0.620 | 0.471 | 0.268 | 0.241 | 0.211 | 6.254 |
| 76 | 0.001 | 0.690 | 1.512 | 0.705 | 0.576 | 0.292 | 0.156 | 0.112 | 4.044 |
| 77 | 0.000 | 0.899 | 2.599 | 2.484 | 3.046 | 1.801 | 1.267 | 0.907 | 13.003 |
| 78 |  | 0.000 | 0.510 | 2.272 | 3.338 | 3.786 | 2.210 | 1.072 | 13.118 |
| 79 |  |  | 0.015 | 0.334 | 0.516 | 1.402 | 1.580 | 2.126 | 5.973 |
| 80 |  |  |  | 0.015 | 0.026 | 0.920 | 2.610 | 5.633 | 9.204 |
| 81 |  |  |  |  | 0.002 | 0.053 | 0.623 | 3.102 | 3.780 |
| 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.001 | 0.030 | 0.474 | 0.505 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.066 | 0.066 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.006 | 0.006 |



Figure 2. Total numbers of widow rockfish from each cohort in Washington, Oregon, and California landings from 1980-1987.








Figure 3. Total numbers of widow rockfish from each age group in Washington, Oregon, and California landings from 1980-1987.

Table 3. Estimates of weight, portion mature and fecundity by age. Data sources:
Weight at Age: Barss and Wyllie Echeverria (1987) and Lenarz (1987)
Portion Mature at age (Oregon Females): Barss and Wyllie Echeverria (1987)

Fecundity at Age: Boehlert et al (1982)

| Age(years) | Weight (kg) | Portion Mature | Fecundity (Million Oocytes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\ldots$ | 0.5 | 0.15 | 0.006 |
| 6 | 0.6 | 0.17 | 0.017 |
| 7 | 0.7 | 0.70 | 0.114 |
| 8 | 0.9 | 0.79 | 0.224 |
| 9 | 1.0 | 0.95 | 0.327 |
| 10 | 1.1 | 0.97 | 0.392 |
| 11 | 1.2 | 0.97 | 0.451 |
| 12 | 1.3 | 1.00 | 0.526 |
| $13+$ | 1.6 | 1.00 | 0.707 |

Regulations of the fishery should have resulted in significant drops in fishing mortality, and a 50 percent drop seems reasonable because the fishery essentially was closed in September 1983 and there were severe trip limits during the earlier part of the year. When $F_{g}(1984)$ was set to 0.3 to determine if higher values were reasonable, estimates of F for older fish became too high. Starting $F$ values for cohorts younger than 1978 were obtained by assuming that the ratio of $F$ for ages less than 9 to age 9 was the same in 1987 as in earlier years. For example, the estimate of $F_{8}(1987)$ was obtained by multiplying $F_{9}(1987)$ by (Ave. $F_{8}$ )/(Ave. $F_{9}$ ), where (Ave. $F_{8}$ ) and (Ave. $F_{9}$ ) were averages for years 1980-1986. Starting $F$ values for cohorts older than 1970 were obtained by using the same ratio method, except that estimates of mean $F$ were obtained for the years 1981-1987. As in earlier assessments, the microcomputer program GENCOH was used for the cohort analysis.

Catch-at-age analysis was done using CAGEAN, a microcomputer program developed for use with Pacific halibut (Deriso et al. 1985). The approach differs from cohort analysis in several ways. The most important difference is that fishing mortality is assumed to be separable into a product of an age-specific selectivity coefficient $s_{a}$ and a full-recruitment fishing mortality rate $F(k)$ :
$F_{a}(k)-s_{a} F(k)$
where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})$ is the fishing mortality rate for age-a fish in year $k$ and $s_{a}-1$ for at least one age. The separability assumption is important because it reduces the number of unknown fishing mortality parameters from 72 ( 8 years $X 9$ ages) to 16 ( 8 fishing mortality rates plus 8 selectivity estimates (with $\mathrm{s}_{10}$ assumed to be 1 )). Almost as important is that cohort analysis assumes that catches are known without error while catch-at-age analysis allows errors.

Several studies have noted that catch-at-age data are insufficient to estimate stock abundance, reliably, because estimates of $F$ and $N$ have a large negative correlation (see Deriso et al. 1985). To stabilize parameter estimates, one of two types of auxiliary information can be used: additional information about model parameters or additional assumptions about stock dynamics (Deriso et al. 1985). For our catch-at-age analysis, we used the same assumptions about relative changes in $F$ from year to year that were used to determine appropriate starting $F$ 's for cohort analysis. These assumptions were incorporated into the catch-at-age analysis by using average $F$ 's from cohort analysis as a relative measure of fishing effort (the annual averages shown in Table 4). In the previous assessment no weight was used to estimate average $F$. This year we decided that it would appropriate to weight $F$ at age by catch at age in numbers, because the parameters of CAGEAN are estimated by minimizing deviations from observed catch at age. A model parameter (lambda) adjusts the amount of influence given to the "effort" data. If lambda=0, no relationship between $F$ and effort is assumed. If lambda-1000, the relationship between $F$ and effort is essentially exact (Deriso et al. 1985). Results from catch-at-age analyses of Pacific halibut data were similar for lambda values of 0.1 to 1.0 (Deriso et al. 1985), and production runs are done using the value 0.5 ( P . R. Neal, unpublished documentation of CAGEAN program). Because we wished to

Table 4. Estimates of F for widow rockfish by age and year obtained by cohort analysis. Annual averages weighted by catch in number.

| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & -0.15 \\ & -1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | Ave. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.030 | 0.102 | 0.096 | 0.082 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 0.047 |
| 6 | 0.073 | 0.297 | 0.318 | 0.119 | 0.159 | 0.058 | 0.042 | 0.073 | 0.142 |
| 7 | 0.108 | 0.379 | 0.428 | 0.227 | 0.197 | 0.257 | 0.081 | 0.115 | 0.224 |
| 8 | 0.201 | 0.295 | 0.686 | 0.224 | 0.277 | 0.162 | 0.222 | 0.141 | 0.276 |
| 9 | 0.227 | 0.551 | 0.542 | 0.281 | 0.250 | 0.208 | 0.156 | 0.151 | 0.296 |
| 10 | 0.227 | 0.551 | 0.542 | 0.281 | 0.250 | 0.208 | 0.156 | 0.151 | 0.296 |
| 11 | 0.217 | 0.355 | 0.396 | 0.296 | 0.268 | 0.298 | 0.277 | 0.152 | 0.282 |
| 12 | 0.226 | 0.323 | 0.412 | 0.120 | 0.203 | 0.082 | 0.602 | 0.393 | 0.295 |
| 13+ | 0.226 | 0.323 | 0.412 | 0.120 | 0.203 | 0.082 | 0.602 | 0.393 | 0.295 |
| Ave | 0.214 | 0.387 | 0.397 | 0.138 | 0.187 | 0.163 | 0.188 | 0.140 |  |
| $M=0.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.026 | 0.091 | 0.088 | 0.078 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.028 | 0.045 |
| 6 | 0.066 | 0.270 | 0.294 | 0.113 | 0.158 | 0.062 | 0.048 | 0.087 | 0.137 |
| 7 | 0.096 | 0.350 | 0.398 | 0.217 | 0.198 | 0.270 | 0.092 | 0.140 | 0.220 |
| 8 | 0.182 | 0.270 | 0.643 | 0.214 | 0.277 | 0.172 | 0.250 | 0.173 | 0.273 |
| 9 | 0.206 | 0.509 | 0.506 | 0.269 | 0.250 | 0.220 | 0.176 | 0.184 | 0.290 |
| 10 | 0.206 | 0.509 | 0.506 | 0.269 | 0.250 | 0.220 | 0.176 | 0.184 | 0.290 |
| 11 | 0.201 | 0.330 | 0.371 | 0.283 | 0.268 | 0.316 | 0.315 | 0.185 | 0.284 |
| 12 | 0.226 | 0.309 | 0.395 | 0.117 | 0.203 | 0.086 | 0.708 | 0.504 | 0.319 |
| 13+ | 0.226 | 0.309 | 0.395 | 0.117 | 0.203 | 0.086 | 0.708 | 0.504 | 0.319 |
| Ave | 0.201 | 0.360 | 0.376 | 0.132 | 0.187 | 0.172 | 0.217 | 0.172 |  |

Table 5. Estimates of $F$ for widow rockfish by age and year, obtained by catch-at-age analysis with lambda $=0.01$.

| $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | Ave |
| 5 | 0.035 | 0.084 | 0.112 | 0.056 | 0.054 | 0.043 | 0.049 | 0.061 | 0.062 |
| 6 | 0.108 | 0.255 | 0.342 | 0.171 | 0.166 | 0.131 | 0.149 | 0.187 | 0.189 |
| 7 | 0.168 | 0.395 | 0.531 | 0.265 | 0.258 | 0.204 | 0.230 | 0.291 | 0.293 |
| 8 | 0.193 | 0.457 | 0.613 | 0.306 | 0.297 | 0.236 | 0.266 | 0.336 | 0.338 |
| 9 | 0.196 | 0.463 | 0.622 | 0.311 | 0.302 | 0.239 | 0.270 | 0.340 | 0.343 |
| 10 | 0.210 | 0.496 | 0.667 | 0.333 | 0.323 | 0.256 | 0.289 | 0.365 | 0.367 |
| 11 | 0.210 | 0.495 | 0.666 | 0.332 | 0.323 | 0.256 | 0.289 | 0.364 | 0.367 |
| 12 | 0.174 | 0.412 | 0.553 | 0.276 | 0.268 | 0.212 | 0.240 | 0.303 | 0.305 |
| 13+ | 0.055 | 0.129 | 0.173 | 0.086 | 0.084 | 0.067 | 0.075 | 0.095 | 0.095 |
| $\mathrm{M}=0.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | Ave |
| 5 | 0.029 | 0.069 | 0.091 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.037 | 0.043 | 0.057 | 0.052 |
| 6 | 0.090 | 0.213 | 0.280 | 0.139 | 0.138 | 0.113 | 0.133 | 0.175 | 0.160 |
| 7 | 0.140 | 0.332 | 0.435 | 0.216 | 0.214 | 0.176 | 0.207 | 0.271 | 0.249 |
| 8 | 0.159 | 0.378 | 0.496 | 0.246 | 0.244 | 0.200 | 0.235 | 0.309 | 0.283 |
| 9 | 0.157 | 0.373 | 0.489 | 0.243 | 0.241 | 0.198 | 0.232 | 0.305 | 0.280 |
| 10 | 0.160 | 0.381 | 0.500 | 0.248 | 0.246 | 0.202 | 0.238 | 0.312 | 0.286 |
| 11 | 0.150 | 0.357 | 0.469 | 0.233 | 0.231 | 0.190 | 0.223 | 0.292 | 0.268 |
| 12 | 0.118 | 0.281 | 0.369 | 0.183 | 0.182 | 0.149 | 0.175 | 0.230 | 0.211 |
| $13+$ | 0.052 | 0.123 | 0.161 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.065 | 0.077 | 0.101 | 0.092 |

obtain estimates of $F$ that were somewhat independent of the cohort analysis and the estimate of effort is dependent on the cohort analysis, we decided to set lambda at a lower value than in the 1987 assessment. Experimentation with lower values of lambda revealed that results were not very sensitive to reductions in lambda below 0.01 , but that there were large changes when lambda is reduced from 0.5 to 0.1 and sometimes when lambda is reduced from 0.1 to 0.01 . The results were also consistent with a significant decrease between 1982 and 1983. Fish aged 13 and older were included as a pooled age group.

We used the same age-structured simulation model used in earlier assessments and made the following assumptions regarding recruitment into the fishery:

1) For the average recruitment case, we assumed that the 1983 and subsequent year classes are equal in size to the average of the 1968 to 1982 cohorts.
2) For the survey recruitment case, recruitment for year classes after 1983 were based on a juvenile rockfish survey off the Central California coast. A mid water trawl survey has been conducted during MayJune since 1983. Sample design was changed between 1983 and 1986, but it is thought that the changes were not sufficient to obscure major differences in juvenile rockfish abundance. Annual averages were standardized by dividing by the 1983-1987 average. The standardized annual averages were then multiplied by the average recruitment from the cohort and catch-at-age analyses to produce estimates of abundance for the 1983-1987 year classes. This assumes that densities of juvenile rockfish off Central California are proportional to year class strength. Also it is assumed that the 19831987 average year class strength is equal to the 1968 1982 average. The 1988 year class was assumed to be equal to the long term average.
3) For the density-dependent case, recruitment was
assumed to be determined by a Beverton-Holt
relationship. The parameters were obtained by
assuming that recruitment would be reduced by 10
percent if spawning stock was reduced to 50 percent
of the unfished level ( $R_{0}, 5 / R_{1} 0^{-0.90) \text { Spawning }}$
stock estimates were obtained from the stock
reconstruction analyses.

Number-at-age vectors at the start of 1989 were obtained as follows. The two stock reconstruction techniques provided estimates of the number-at-age vector at the beginning of 1987. Estimates of F-at-age in 1987 were used to project the number of fish ages 6 through $13+$ present at the start of 1988. Recruitment in 1988 was obtained using the above assumptions about the strength of the 1983 year class. We then solved
iteratively for the fishing mortality rates needed to obtain a 1988 harvest of $12,100 \mathrm{mt}$, using a selectivity curve obtained from the mean F-at-age vector (cohort analysis) or the least squares fit (catch-at-age analysis). Recruitment in 1989 was obtained using the above assumptions about the strength of the 1984 year class.

We used two approaches to develop harvest recommendations for 1989. The first approach was to maintain $F$ at the level estimated to produce MSY. The second approach was to maintain yield at a constant level.

## RESULTS

The results of cohort and catch-at-analyses differed considerably. Estimates of age-specific $F^{\prime} s$ for 1980-1986 from catch-at-age analysis were similar to the 1987 assessment, except that estimates of $F$ for $13+$ fish were lower. Estimates of age-specific $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ from the cohort analysis tended to be lower for the 1979-81 year classes than the 1987 assessment. Results of the cohort analysis indicated that $F$ increased for some ages between 1986 and 1987 and decreased for others, Table 4. The weighted average $F$ decreased 26 percent between 1986 and 1987 when $M=0.15$ and $21 \%$ when $M=0.20$. Interpretation of changes in weighted average $F$ is examined in the discussion section. Results of the catch-at-age analysis indicate that $F$-at-age increased $26 \%$ between 1986 and 1987 when $M=0.15$ and $21 \%$ when $M=0.20$. Estimates of abundance of recent year classes reflect the differences in F, Table 6 and 7. Catch-at-age analysis estimates of abundance of fish less than 9 years in 1987 are much less than estimates from cohort analysis. The different estimates of young fish are sufficient to cause major differences in estimates of biomass, Table 8. Biomass in 1987 was estimated to be almost as high as 1980 by cohort analysis and only $35-40 \%$ of the 1980 biomass by catch-atage analysis.

Both methods indicate that the 1977-1981 year classes are strong compared to the 1972-1976 year classes, Figure 4. The cohort analysis indicate that the 1980 year class is stronger or almost as strong as the 1970 year class and much stronger than the 1978 year class. The catch-at-age analysis indicates that the 1980 year class is less than half the size of the 1970 year class and about the same size as the 1978 year class. Based on cohort analysis, average recruitment was 29.6 and 34.2 million fish for $M=0.15$ and $M=0.20$ respectively. Estimates of average recruitment from catch-at-age analysis were 20.8 and 31.1 million fish for $M=0.15$ and $M=0.20$ respectively.

Estimated selectivity patterns from cohort and catch-at-age analysis were similar for fish ages $5-11$ (Figure 5). The pattern derived from catch-at-age analysis indicates sharply lower vulnerability for fish ages 12 and older. A similar result was obtained from cohort analysis in the 1986 assessment, but not the 1987 assessment. The current cohort analysis predicts only slightly reduced vulnerability for older fish, due apparently to the relatively large estimated landings of 11-and 12-year-old fish and 12-year-old fish in 1987 (Table 2). It should be noted that the cohort analysis assumes that $F$ is constant for fish older than

Table 6. Estimates of population size (millions of fish) of widow rockfish by age and year, obtained by cohort analysis.

| $M=0.15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| 5 | 11.1 | 7.7 | 30.6 | 30.8 | 31.8 | 79.5 | 55.8 | 21.5 |
| 6 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 5.9 | 23.9 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 67.6 | 47.4 |
| 7 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 18.3 | 17.9 | 21.9 | 55.8 |
| 8 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 17.4 |
| 9 | 18.9 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 9.4 | 8.2 |
| 10 | 29.5 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 7.0 |
| 11 | 12.3 | 20.2 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 12.2 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| $13+$ | 17.4 | 16.3 | 25.1 | 30.9 | 16.3 | 19.0 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| $M=0.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| 5 | 12.9 | 8.7 | 34.0 | 33.2 | 31.9 | 75.3 | 50.8 | 18.9 |
| 6 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 25.5 | 25.1 | 25.6 | 60.9 | 41.0 |
| 7 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 17.6 | 19.7 | 47.5 |
| 8 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 14.7 |
| 9 | 21.1 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 7.0 |
| 10 | 33.0 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 5.9 |
| 11 | 13.5 | 22.0 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 12 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 12.9 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| $13+$ | 17.8 | 17.3 | 26.6 | 32.4 | 16.6 | 18.6 | 2.8 | 3.5 |

Table 7. Estimates of population size (millions of fish) of widow rockfish by age and year, obtained by catch-at-age analysis with lambda $=0.01$.



Figure 4. Estimates of widow rockfish recruitment at age 5 obtained from cohort (GENCOH) and catch-at-age analysis (CAGEAN). Estimates from the 1987 assessment ( 1987 GENCOH) are included for comparison.


Figure 5. Estimates of age-specific selectivity for widow rockfish
obtained by cohort (GENCOH) and catch-at-age analysis
(CAGEAN) at two levels of natural mortality.

12 years. The 1986 catch of age-12 fish had a particularly great impact on the results because the starting value for the entire aralysis is for the same cohort. Because $\mathrm{F}_{10}$ (1984) (and therefore $\mathrm{N}_{10}$ (1984)) is fixed, a large catch from that cohort two years later is attributed to a high rate of fishing mortality. The unusually high $\mathrm{F}_{12}(1986)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{12}$ (1987) produce a low estimates of $\mathrm{N}_{13+}(1986)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{13+}(1987)$ because $\mathrm{N}_{13+}$ is estimated as $\mathrm{C}_{13+} / \mathrm{u}_{12}$ under the assumption that the exploitation rate is equal for fish ages 12 and older.

Estimates from catch-at-age analysis were less effected by the 1986 catch of older fish because of the structure imposed by the constant selectivity assumption. In addition in catch-at-age analysis the catch of age $13+$ fish must be partially supported by the survivors of exploitation of 12 -year-old fish. The disadvantage is that if a change in fishing patterns occurred in 1986 and 1987, it would not be accurately represented by the catch-at-age analysis. The model can be fitted to catch data from two or more periods where selectivity patterns differed. That assumption was not used here, however, because the high catch of older fish in 1986 may be simply a random event or due to sampling error or errors in aging. Either possibility would cause cohort analysis to underestimate the number of age-13+ fish and stock biomass. An additional effect of the high $\mathrm{F}_{12}$ (1986) in the cohort analysis is that estimates of $\mathrm{F}_{12}(1980)$ are substantially higher than estimates in the 1986 assessment. This change results in a lower estimate of $\mathrm{N}_{12}$ (1980), which is used to estimate the size of the 1968 year class (Figure 4).

While estimates of biomass from the cohort analysis indicate little trend, estimates from the catch-at-age analysis indicate that biomass in 1987 is less than 40 percent of 1980 levels, Figure 6. The cohort analysis results indicate that the biomass of fish less than 13 years old increased since 1980. Results from the catch-at-age analysis indicate that biomass of young fish decreased by more than 50 percent.

Equilibrium yield curves from cohort and catch-at-age analysis were similar to each other and to curves obtained in the 1987 assessment. It appears that when $M-0.15$ an $F$ of 0.25 for fully recruited fish (age 9) provides about the maximum yield if a stock-recruitment relationship exists and does not extract a large penalty if no relationship exists (Figures $7-8$ ). When $M=0.20$ the appropriate value of $F$ is 0.30 . Assuming that MSY would be obtained at that level of effort, estimates of MSY from cohort analysis results range from 8,200 to $13,300 \mathrm{mt}$, with an average of $10,700 \mathrm{mt}$. Biomass at MSY ranges from $54,300 \mathrm{mt}$ to 87,800 mt with an average of $70,500 \mathrm{mt}$. Using results of catch-at-age analysis, estimates of MSY ranged from 5,900 to $11,400 \mathrm{mt}$, with an average of $8,400 \mathrm{mt}$. Biomass at MSY ranged from $45,400 \mathrm{mt}$ to $72,500 \mathrm{mt}$ with an average of $57,900 \mathrm{mt}$. The average of all estimates of MSY was $9,500 \mathrm{mt}$. The stock appears to be at or above the MSY level, Table 8.

Equilibrium yield curves provide an indication of the average productivity of a stock; however, they fail to account for the shortterm effects of variations in year class strength. We used a constant


Figure 6. Estimates of widow rockfish biomasss obtained by cohort (GENCOH) and catch-at-age analysis (CAGEAN) at two levels of natural mortality.


Figure 7. Estimates of equilibrium yield for widow rockfish based on results from cohort analysis.
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Figure 8. Estimates of equilibrium yield for widow rockfish based on results from catch-at-age analysis.

Table 8. Estimates from cohort (GENCOH) and catch-at-age (CAGEAN) analysis of widow rockfish biomass (older than 4) and population fecundity from 1980 through 1989. Estimates for 1988 and 1989 from the current assessment ( 88 GENCOH and 88 CAGEAN ) are averages for three recruitment cases, using projected number-at-age vectors. Similar bimass projections were made for 1987 and 1988 in the 1987 assessment ( 87 GENCOH).

| Year | Biomass ( 1000 mt ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{M}=0.15{ }^{\text {Brass }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 87 GENCOH | 88 | GENCOH | 88 | CAgean | 87 | GENCOH | 88 | GENCOH | 88 | CAGEAN |
| 1980 | 129.2 |  | 123.2 |  | 176.3 |  | 141.2 |  | 134.4 |  | 212.7 |
| 1981 | 96.4 |  | 96.0 |  | 146.7 |  | 104.1 |  | 103.8 |  | 173.2 |
| 1982 | 93.9 |  | 94.1 |  | 115.2 |  | 100.8 |  | 100.9 |  | 136.3 |
| 1983 | 97.6 |  | 93.4 |  | 94.7 |  | 103.3 |  | 98.9 |  | 113.2 |
| 1984 | 76.7 |  | 76.8 |  | 84.5 |  | 77.9 |  | 78.0 |  | 98.5 |
| 1985 | 98.4 |  | 115.1 |  | 83.4 |  | 95.3 |  | 111.0 |  | 94.9 |
| 1986 | 80.3 |  | 112.0 |  | 79.8 |  | 73.0 |  | 101.6 |  | 88.2 |
| 1987 | 80.8 |  | 118.5 |  | 70.0 |  | 72.5 |  | 101.2 |  | 74.7 |
| 1988 | 74.0 |  | 116.7 |  | 61.4 |  | 65.9 |  | 97.3 |  | 66.4 |
| 1989 |  |  | 114.3 |  | 54.0 |  |  |  | 92.9 |  | 61.5 |
|  |  | Pop | ulation | O Fec | undity | (billio | on oocyt |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | GENCOH |  | Cagean |  | GENCOH |  | gean |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  | 421.4 |  | 660.0 |  | 454.8 |  | 8. 7 |  |  |  |
| 1981 |  | 330.7 |  | 560.5 |  | 355.8 |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| 1982 |  | 302.7 |  | 414.7 |  | 321.7 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| 1983 |  | 268.8 |  | 297.7 |  | 282.8 |  | 9.9 |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 165.8 |  | 253.8 |  | 168.5 |  | 0. 2 |  |  |  |
| 1985 |  | 209.8 |  | 28.2 |  | 204.9 |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |
| 1986 |  | 130.9 |  | 209.9 |  | 119.3 |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |
| 1987 |  | 203.6 |  | 196.2 |  | 172.3 |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |
| 1988 |  | 253.6 |  | 173.7 |  | 201.5 |  | 6.4 |  |  |  |
| 1989 |  | 287.2 |  | 143.5 |  | 211.5 |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |

effort policy with $F_{9}=0.25$ when $M=0.15$ and $F_{9}=0.30$ when $M=0.20$ to forecast yields from 1989 to 1993, starting from the estimated number-at-age vector for 1988 (Table 9). The average yield would be 7,500 to $18,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1989 and 7,800 to $16,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1990, with an average of 17,200 mt from cohort analysis and 7,600 from catch-at-age analysis. The estimate from cohort analysis is 428 higher than the 1987 $A B C$ and $O Y$, while the estimate from catch-at-age analysis is $38 \%$ lower. The cohort analysis predicts a reduction in yield through 1993. The catch-at-age analysis predicts increases in yield through 1993.

Another approach for evaluating the short-term effects of variations in year class strength is to determine the sequence of fishing mortality rates needed to maintain a constant harvest. Using results from the analyses, we determined the levels of fishing mortality needed to produce constant yields of 9,000 to $15,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table 10). Under the results of the cohort analysis, yields of 12,000 to $13,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (the average yield under a constant effort policy) could be taken in 1988 and 1989, with slight increases in $F$ after 1989, and $F$ would be about 0.2 which is close to the $F$ that would produce MSY under the density dependent recruitment assumption, Figure 7. Under the catch at age results $F$ would be about 0.5 , tend to increase and would eventually reduce equilibrium yields to a small fraction of MSY under the dependent recruitment assumption, Figure 8. If yields are held at the MSY level ( $9,500 \mathrm{mt}$ ), results of the cohort analysis indicated that $F$ would be about 0.15 , tend to decrease and be close to the MSY level under the density dependent recruitment assumption. Under the results of catch-at-age analysis $F$ would be about 0.4 and tend to decrease unless the density dependent recruitment assumption is true and $M=0.15$.

## DISCUSSION

The conflicting results of cohort and catch-at-age analysis verify that catch-at-age data alone do not provide sufficient data to estimate fishing mortality and population size. This is particularly true for recent year classes. Two constraints were placed on the cohort analysis. Fishing mortality of age 9 and 10 year old fish was assumed to be equal. Results of the catch-at-age analysis indicated that the assumption is reasonable. It was also assumed that fishing mortality dropped substantially between 1982 and 1983, because of regulations implemented in 1983. The choice of $\mathrm{F}_{9}(1984)-0.25$ was originally made in the 1985 assessment because it resulted in about a 50 percent drop in the sum of $F$ over age from 1982 to 1983. Estimates of $F$ for younger ages in 1982 and 1983 have changed since the 1985 assessment as additional data became available. It now appears that $F$ dropped more than 50 percent between 1982 and 1983; although not for every age group. When $F_{g}$ (1984) was set to 0.30 unrealistically high values of $F$ were estimated for older aged fish.

Average $F$ for a year may be estimated in a variety of ways. We believe that average $F$ from the cohort analysis should be weighted by catch at age (in numbers) for the purpose of estimating fishing effort for CAGEAN because of the estimation procedure. The weighted average $F$ can change

Table 9. Estimated yield (thousands of metric tons) when $F$ for fully recruited fish is 0.25 for $M=0.15$ and 0.30 for $M=0.20$ and three assumptions about recruitment.

|  | Average |  | Cohort Analysis Recruitment Survey |  | Beverton-Holt |  | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | M-0.15 | M-0. 20 | M-0.15 | M $=0.20$ | M-0.15 | M=0.20 |  |
| 1989 | 20.7 | 17.1 | 18.8 | 14.9 | 20.3 | 16.8 | 18.1 |
| 1990 | 18.9 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 13.5 | 18.0 | 14.9 | 16.3 |
| 1991 | 17.3 | 14.6 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 15.2 |
| 1992 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 12.5 | 14.6 |
| 1993 | 15.7 | 13.7 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 14.4 |
| Average | 17.8 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 14.3 | 16.3 | 13.9 | 15.7 |
| Average f | 1988 and | 1989 (e | mate | $\mathrm{ABC})$ : | 17.2 |  |  |



Table 10. Estimated $F$ for fully recruited fish needed to maintain harvests of 9,000 to $15,000 \mathrm{mt}$ for two values of $M$ and three assumptions about recruitment.

| Target harvest | Cohort Analysis Recruitment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average |  |  |  | Beverton-Holt |  |  |
|  | Year | M=0.15 | M=0.20 | M=0.15 | $\mathrm{M}=0.20$ | M=0.15 | M=0.20 | Average |
| 9,000 | 1989 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
|  | 1990 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
|  | 1991 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
|  | 1992 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
|  | 1993 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| 10,000 | 1989 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.15 |
|  | 1990 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
|  | 1991 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
|  | 1992 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
|  | 1993 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.14 |
| 11,000 | 1989 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.16 |
|  | 1990 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.17 |
|  | 1991 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.17 |
|  | 1992 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.16 |
|  | 1993 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.16 |
| 12,000 | 1989 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.18 |
|  | 1990 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
|  | 1991 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.19 |
|  | 1992 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.19 |
|  | 1993 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.19 |
| 13,000 | 1989 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.20 |
|  | 1990 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
|  | 1991 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.21 |
|  | 1992 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.22 |
|  | 1993 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.22 |
| 14,000 | 1989 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.21 |
|  | 1990 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
|  | 1991 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.24 |
|  | 1992 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.25 |
|  | 1993 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| 15,000 | 1989 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.23 |
|  | 1990 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.25 |
|  | 1991 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
|  | 1992 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.28 |
|  | 1993 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.29 |

Table 10. Continued

| Target harvest | Catch-at-Age-Analysis Recruitment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average |  |  | Survey |  | Beverton-Holt |  | Average |
|  | Year | M=0.15 | $\mathrm{M}=0.20$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.20$ | M-0.15 | M-0. 20 |  |
| 9,000 | 1989 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.35 |
|  | 1990 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.35 |
|  | 1991 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.31 |
|  | 1992 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.29 |
|  | 1993 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 0.28 |
| 10,000 | 1989 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.39 |
|  | 1990 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.40 |
|  | 1991 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.37 |
|  | 1992 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.28 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.35 |
|  | 1993 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.35 |
| 11,000 | 1989 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.44 |
|  | 1990 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.46 |
|  | 1991 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.43 |
|  | 1992 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 0.32 | 0.43 |
|  | 1993 | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 0.31 | 0.43 |
| 12,000 | 1989 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.39 | $0.49^{\circ}$ |
|  | 1990 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.71 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.53 |
|  | 1991 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 0.43 | 0.63 | 0.39 | 0.50 |
|  | 1992 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 0.39 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 0.52 |
|  | 1993 | 0.65 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 0.36 | 0.87 | 0.37 | 0.54 |
| 13,000 | 1989 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.54 |
|  | 1990 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.82 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
|  | 1991 | 0.67 | 0.45 | 0.74 | 0.50 | 0.76 | 0.44 | 0.59 |
|  | 1992 | 0.75 | 0.46 | 0.77 | 0.46 | 0.93 | 0.44 | 0.64 |
|  | 1993 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.81 | 0.43 | 1.20 | 0.44 | 0.70 |
| 14,000 | 1989 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.59 |
|  | 1990 | 0.67 | 0.51 | 0.94 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.50 | 0.68 |
|  | 1991 | 0.79 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.57 | 0.91 | 0.51 | 0.69 |
|  | 1992 | 0.93 | 0.54 | 0.96 | 0.54 | 1.19 | 0.52 | 0.78 |
|  | 1993 | 1.13 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 1.74 | 0.54 | 0.92 |
| 15,000 | 1989 | 0.61 | 0.51 | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.63 | 0.51 | 0.64 |
|  | 1990 | 0.76 | 0.56 | 1.07 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.77 |
|  | 1991 | 0.93 | 0.60 | 1.01 | 0.64 | 1.09 | 0.58 | 0.81 |
|  | 1992 | 1.18 | 0.63 | 1.22 | 0.64 | 1.58 | 0.61 | 0.98 |
|  | 1993 | 1.57 | 0.67 | 1.49 | 0.62 | 2.78 | 0.65 | 1.30 |

between years even if the $F$ at age vector remains constant. The strong 1977 and 1978 year classes recruited in 1982 and 1983. This tended to reduce the weighted average $F$ in 1982 compared to 1981 and 1983 compared to 1982. Also the relative contribution of older fish decreased in 1983. This also tended to decrease the weighted average $F$ in 1983 compared to 1982 . When $M-0.15$ if the observed 1982 age composition of the catch had occurred in 1981, the 1981 weighted average $F$ would have been 0.318 instead of 0.387 as observed. If the observed 1983 age composition had occurred in 1982, the 1982 weighted average $F$ would have been 0.346 instead of the observed 0.397 .

Another measure of the impact of fishing on the stock is the exploitation rate in terms of biomass. This is calculated by dividing the catch in weight by the biomass. Exploitation rate is also estimated to have decreased by more than 50 percent between 1982 and 1983 by both cohort and catch-at-age analysis, Table 11. The lowest exploitation rate is estimated to have occurred in 1985, which is also the year of lowest catch since 1980 and the earliest date of restriction of the fishery to incidental catches. The cohort analysis indicates that exploitation rate increased to 1983 levels in 1987. The catch-at-age analysis indicates that exploitation rates increased to 1981 levels in 1987.

The number of vessels participating in the fishery for widow rockfish in Oregon (which is the center of the fishery) has doubled since 1982 and 1983 (J. Golden ODFW). However trip regulations are more restrictive. In 1983 there was no trip frequency limit. In 1987, only one trip per week was allowed. The season closed about a month latter in 1987 than in 1983. The number of Californian vessels participating in the fishery appeared to decrease between 1982-1983 and 1987.

It appears reasonable that fishing intensity in 1987 is as high or higher than 1983. It seems unlikely that it is as high as 1981, because of the regulations and apparent reduced effort in California. However, the increased number of vessels participating in the Oregon fishery could cause 1987 effort to be higher than 1983 effort.

At this time we believe that there is insufficient information to choose between the cohort and catch-at-age results. Since there is a great deal of uncertainty about the strength of recent year classes and these year classes are an important component of the stock, we recomend that $A B C$ be set to the average estimate of MSY, $9,500 \mathrm{mt}$. The stock would continue to be able to support a $9,500 \mathrm{mt}$ fishery in 1990 without a large increase in fishing mortality.

The strength of the important 1980 year class should be better established with an additional year of data. However, because of the lack of funding some areas were not adequately sampled in 1988. Thus there will be greater than usual uncertainty in the estimates of age composition for the 1988 landings. There is no reason to expect a much better estimate of the apparently strong 1981 year class than we now have for the 1980 year class. Thus unless additional information is

Table 11. Estimated rates of exploitation of widow rockfish age 5 and older in terms of biomass. Projections for 1988 and 1989 are as described in Table 8.

|  | Cohort Analysis | Catch-at-Age-Analysis |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | M-0.15 | M = 0.20 | M $=0.15$ | M $=0.20$ | Average |
| 1980 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.14 |
| 1981 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.23 |
| 1982 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.24 |
| 1983 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| 1984 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| 1985 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| 1986 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| 1987 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.14 |
| 1988 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| 1989 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.17 |

used for the next analysis, there is likely to be continued uncertainty about the status of the stock.

We have begun exploring one additional source of information. The data indicate that there are fairly consistent differences in age composition among areas. It may be useful to develop an estimation procedure for the selectivity curve of the most recent year of data based on the area composition of the catch. This procedure would estimate changes in selectivity due to changes in the area composition of the catch. For example, catches from the North Columbia Area tend to be dominated by relatively young fish. If the proposed procedure had been applied, starting $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ s for young fish in 1987 probably would have been higher than those used in the cohort analysis of this report.

An additional source of information is the juvenile rockfish survey off of Central California. If the abundance of juvenile rockfish prove to be related to year class strength, the results of the survey can be used to tune the cohort analysis. The first year class (1983) covered by the survey began recruiting to the fishery as five-year-old fish in 1988. It will take a number of years to verify the utility of the survey results.
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Appendix I. Comments on 1987 stock assessment by a member of the SSC assigned to review the report.

To:
From: Subject: Date:

GMT/SSC Groundfish Subgroup David Hankin, SSC
Widow Rocktish stock report 1 October 1987

At the last SSC meeting I was assigned the responsibility of review for the widow rockfish stock report. Because I will be unable to attend the meeting of 7 October, I'd like to ask that the following comments be considered by the GMT/SSC Subgroup.

At the outset, let me say that $I$ was impressed with the report, especially by the attached tables and figures and with the apparent honesty with which the authors presented sometimes conflicting results. My comments below ought not to be construed as indicating that $I$ think this report is unsound, and should be viewed as constructive or irrelevant criticisms.

1. As Bill Clark pointed out in the previous meeting. both cohort and catch-at-age analyses appear to hinge on choice of $F_{9}$ (1984) which is based on "forcing" a decline in average $F$ of about 50\% from 1982 to 1983, and about $10 \%$ from 1983 to 1984. I think the report should include some strong justification for this important assumption, or refer the reader to such in an earlier assessment document (which I don't have since I'm new at this). In addition, the report would have been stronger if there were some comparative results for choices of initial $F$ that did not meet this assumption. I have no feeling for how important this assumption would be on the final stock assessment in the absence of such contrasting results.
2. It seems reasonable to me to "link" adjacent cohorts through an assumption that $F_{9}=F_{10}$ in a given year.
3. Isn't there any independent evidence (not presented in the report) for possible decline in "selectivity coefficient" or in "catchability" of fish older than age 11? The curves plotted for the two analysis methods (figure 5) are disturbingly different and it seems unlikely to me that one would see such a decline beginning at age 11 if the age of full maturity is age 9 . In just two years after all fish are mature, they decide to become less vulnerable and live somewhere else? I'd sure like to see some additional evidence for this possibility.
4. The apparent agreement between cohort and catch-at-age analyses appears to be tied to pt. 1 above. I'm not impressed by the close agreement between the two methods; in fact. I'm disturbed by it! If the analysis methods are substantively different, they should give different results.
5. I'd like to see a maturation curve presented in the report. I am very concerned by the observation that 71\% of the catch in 1986 was of fish less than age 9, and I didn't like the looks of the age compositon shifts depicted by Figure 3. Unless there is very substantial spawning activity among fish aged 5-7, it looks to me that there may be serious problems with spawning stock (see
pt \#7). In the absence of the maturation curve, I couldn't judge.
6. How long do these fish live? I was greatly impressed with the continued "pile-up" of fish older than age 13 (Table 6). This could be added to the report in a single sentence.
7. Table 7 presents estimates of spawning stock from 1980 through 1987 or 1988 . For $M=0.2$ these suggest that spawning stock has decreased to about 28-32\% of that in 1980, and for M=0.15 to about 40-45\% of spawning stock. Since the stock was not virgin in 1980 (1979 landings were $\approx 5,000 \mathrm{mt}$ ). this means that the real reduction in spawning stock has probably been greater than that indicated by the 1980-87/88 comparisons. In any event, current spawning stock could be as little as perhaps 20-25\% of virgin spawning stock and probably no more than $40 \%$. If such a reduced spawning stock were noted for other groundfish stocks, would there be an expression of concern?
8. I know that it's old hat, but I would have liked to have seen a surplus production model used for comparison. Just out of curiosity, I'd like to know what the recommended $\%$ of virgin biomass for MSY would be to compare with these other analyses.
9. I agree that some large cohorts (1977-1980) have been moving through the population and should improve numbers of older fullymature fish in the near future.
10. The relative stability of landings from 1983 through 1986, coupled with the "timely" appearance of several strong yearclasses suggests no immediate cause for panic, but I agree with the suggestion that yields in the years beyond 1990 may have to be seriously curtailed when compared to the proposed ABC.
11. If this is any indication of Hightower's work, the GMT will be have a good new leader to replace Bill Lenarz! !

Appendix II. Sensitivity analysis of catch-at-age analysis (CAGEAN)
The difference in results from cohort and catch-at-age analysis illustrates the need for auxiliary information that would stabilize our estimates of current stock size. Because of the nature of the widow rockfish fishery, however, we have few potential sources of auxiliary information. Surveys of adult widow rockfish have not been attempted because of the high cost of sampling a midwater species with a highly contagious distribution. A midwater trawl survey of juvenile rockfish was begun in 1983 so the first surveyed year class will enter the fishery in 1988. If the survey is continued, the information it produces should be helpful in a few years. At present, our only source of auxiliary information is a four-year series of logbook data for the Oregon midwater trawl fleet. (The logbook data were obtained after the original assessment was completed). The strength of the relationship between logbook estimates of catch-per-unit-effort and fishing mortality rates is unknown. For that reason, we did not feel confident in forcing the CAGEAN model to mimic the pattern indicated by the logbook data. Instead, we used CAGEAN to establish the range of solutions consistent with the catch data, then compared the assessment results with the logbook data.

Our objective was to evaluate the sensitivity of the catch-at-age analysis to random sampling errors, as well as to changes in the assumptions used in the analysis. To evaluate the effect of sampling errors, we generated random catch matrices from the observed $1980-1987$ catch-at-age matrix by adding a random error to each catch value. Thus, each replicate catch-at-age matrix represented a random perturbation of the observed data. Analyses by Sen (1984) indicated that the coefficient of variation (CV) for the mean number of widow rockfish per 50 -pound cluster by sex and age class ranged from 12 to 24 percent in 1981 samples. Sen presented results only for those ages with CVs $\leq$ 25\%; less commonly occurring age groups would have higher CVs. More recent unpublished analyses suggest that current $C V$ are lower than the 1981 estimates Sen obtained. We assumed a CV of $20 \%$ for all age groups in order to estimate the probable upper bound for the impact of sampling error on the assessment.

We produced a set of 100 replicate catch-at-age matrices for each of four assumptions about the ages at which selectivity is assumed equal to 1.0: age 10 only (s $[10]-1.0$ ), ages 9-10, ages $10-11$, and ages $9-11$. We also examined a fifth case with s[10]-1.0 but a starting $F$ of 0.30 (instead of 0.25 ). The starting $F$ is used in a preliminary cohort analysis that generates starting parameter estimates for the least-squares nonlinear fit. The analyses were done using a natural mortality rate (M) of 0.15 . We believe that our conclusions from the sensitivity analysis would not change if the higher level of $M(0.20)$ was used.

As in the assessment, we used average 1980-1987 Fs from cohort analysis as the "effort" data required by CAGEAN. We also used the same low weight (lambda-0.01) for the "effort" data, in order to obtain results that were
relatively independent of the cohort analysis. The 1984-1987 annual Fs produced by CAGEAN were compared to estimates of total hours trawled, which were generated as follows. Logbook data from Oregon midwater trawl vessels (J. Golden, Oregon Dept. of Fish. and Wildlife, personal communication) provided estimates of 1984-1987 landings and hours trawled that were used to estimate catch per hour trawled (App. Table 1). Total landings for those years were divided by the catch rate estimates to provide an estimate of total effort. The estimate is based on the assumption that Oregon midwater trawl catch rates are representative of the coastwide rates.

## Results

Frequency distributions for estimated 1987 biomass ( $B[87]$ ) were moderately sensitive to changes in the selectivity assumptions (App. Figure 1). We also observed a shift in the distribution when a higher starting $F$ was used for the preliminary cohort analysis. The results did not appear to be less variable when more restrictive assumptions about selectivity were made. From 4-33\% of the $B[87]$ estimates were greater than $200,000 \mathrm{mt}$. In most instances, these estimates were obtained when recruitment increased over time and selectivity was high for the oldest (age-13+) fish. As App. Figure 2 illustrates for the s[10]-1.0 case, the extremely high $\mathrm{B}[87]$ estimates obtained for some of the replicates were due to recruitment estimates much higher than we believe possible (e.g., 1985 recruitment estimates of $1-2$ billion fish, resulting in $B[87]$ values of $1-5$ million $m t$ ). When only the replicates with 1985 recruitment $\leq 100$ million fish are plotted (App. Fig. 3), the results show that most recruitment estimates were less than 40 million fish and most estimates of $s[13+]$ were relatively low (about 0.2-0.3).

Estimates of 1987 fishing mortality rates for age-10 fish ( $\mathrm{F}[87]$ ) varied in an inverse relationship with B[87] (App. Fig. 4). Even if B[87] values $>200,000$ mt are excluded, $\mathrm{F}[87]$ still varied over a relatively wide range.

Correlations between $1984-1987 \mathrm{Fs}$ and estimates of total hours trawled ranged from nearly -1 to 1 for the five cases we examined (App. Fig. 5). Large negative correlations were obtained when $B[87]$ was large, because those were the instances where biomass increased from 1980-1987. Increasing biomass and relatively stable catches resulted in decreasing Fs over that interval, the opposite of the trend suggested by the effort data. Large positive correlations were obtained in those replicates where B[87] declined from 1980 to 1987 , because the effort data are consistent with a substantial decline in stock size since the fishery began.

We draw several conclusions from these results:
(1) A wide range of solutions is possible, given only an 8 -year series of catch data and a low weight (lambda-0.01) applied to the "effort" data (cohort analysis $F$ values) used in CAGEAN. These solutions include instances where stock size is increasing sharply in response to sharply increasing recruitment,

App. Table 1. Hours trawled, landings, and catch per hour trawled for the Oregon midwater trawl fleet from 1984-1987, and estimates of hours crawled coastwide (total coastwide landings divided by Oregon catch/hour trawled).

| Year | Hours trawled <br> Oregon fleet | Landings <br> Oregon fleet | Catch/hour trawled <br> Oregon fleet | Estimated coastwide <br> hour trawled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 1,781 | 3,925 | 2.20 | 4,396 |
| 1985 | 2,227 | 3,425 | 1.54 | 5,751 |
| 1986 | 2,325 | 3,103 | 1.33 | 7,015 |
| 1987 | 3,103 | 5,102 | 1.64 | 7,430 |



App. Figure 1. Frequency distributions for estimates of 1987 widow rockfish biomass (ages 5+), based on catch-at-age analysis of 100 randomly generated catch matrices. Four assumptions were examined regarding the ages at which selectivity was assumed equal to 1.0 : age 10 only (cases 1-2); ages 9-10; ages 10-11; and ages 9-11. The starting $F$ for the preliminary cohort analysis was 0.25 except in case 2 , where 0.30 was used.
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App. Figure 2. One-hundred replicate estimates of 1987 widow rockfish biomass versus number of 1985 age- 5 recruits and relative selectivity at ages $13+$, for the case where selectivity at age 10 is assumed to be 1.0 .


App. Figure 3. Estimates of 1987 widow rockfish biomass versus number
of 1985 age- 5 recruits and relative selectivity at ages
$13+$, for the case where selectivity at age 10 is assumed
to be 1.0 . Only those replicates where recruitment was
$\leq 100$ million fish were plotted.


App. Figure 4. One-hundred replicate estimates of 1987 widow rockfish biomass versus the 1987 fishing mortality rate for age10 fish, for the case where selectivity at age 10 is assumed to be 1.0. The relationship was similar for the other selectivity assumptions.


[^0]> as well as a large number of cases indicating a relatively low current stock size. A more restricted set of solutions could be obtained by increasing lambda; however, forcing the least-squares model to reproduce the cohort analysis results will not provide much additional understanding. We prefer instead to use CAGEAN as a relatively independent estimate of current stock size.
> (2) If we assume that stock size has declined since the fishery began in l980 (ruling out the cases where B[87] $\geq 200,000$ mt), then most of the replicate analyses indicate that B[87] is between 25,000 and 75,000 mt.
> (3) If we assume that the correlation between 1984 1987 F and hours trawled should be greater than zero, then results from all five cases indicate that B[87] is probably less than 70,000 mt. The assumption that F and hours trawled are highly correlated may be inappropriate, given that our measure of effort excludes search time, changes in the level of experience for fishermen, and changes in gear technology. Catch-per-unit-effort for a schooling fish such as widow rockfish may not decline in proportion to the decline in stock size. For example, if school size is maintained but the number of schools declines, catch per tow could remain constant although increased search time would be required to locate schools.

Overall, we believe that results from the least-squares analysis support the conclusion that stock size has been reduced substantially since 1980. The results from cohort analysis, which suggest that $B[87]$ is over 100,000 mt, are highly dependent on estimates of the size of the 1980 and 1981 year classes. Those estimates are unreliable because those year classes have been in the fishery for only 1-2 years and because those estimates are sensitive to changes in selectivity. Improved estimates will be possible after those year classes have been exploited for several years.

In addition, work is underway (R. Methot, Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center) to develop an assessment model incorporating less restrictive assumptions about selectivity than CAGEAN. Results from cohort analysis indicate that the selectivity pattern for widow rockfish varied over time, perhaps due to changes in the spatial distribution of the fishery. We described the annual patterns by fitting three-parameter curves to the annual selectivity estimates from cohort analysis (App. Fig. 6). One of the assumptions required for CAGEAN is that the selectivity pattern does not change substantially over time. We do not know the impact of the changes depicted in App. Fig. 6. Residuals from catch-at-age analysis (using the


App. Figure 6. Predicted selectivity patterns for each year, obtained by fitting a three-parameter curve to the annual selectivity estimates from cohort analysis.
actual 1980-1987 catch data) do not show obvious trends (App. Fig. 7-8, App. Tables 2-3), although the 1987 catches from the 1980 and 1981 year classes are underrepresented by the model. It is possible to use CAGEAN to fit selectivity patterns separately for two or more time periods; however, we are reluctant to subdivide the already short data series.

In summary, we feel that the apparent changes in selectivity lend support to our approach of using both cohort and catch-at-age analysis in the assessment. Until the additional data and improved assessment techniques are available, we believe a conservative harvesting policy (such as outlined in the assessment) is necessary.

References
Sen, A. R. 1984. Sampling commercial rockfish landings in California. U. S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SWFC-45.


App. Figure 7. Residuals (log [observed catch] - log [predicted catch])
from the least-squares fit using CAGEAN to the 1980-1987
catch-at-age data, with $M=0.15$.


App. Figure 8. Residuals (log [observed catch] - log [predicted catch]) from the least-squares fit using CAGEAN to the 1980-1987 catch-at-age data, with $M=0.20$.

App. Table 2. Residuals (log(observed catch)-log(predicted catch)) from the least-squares fit using CAGEAN to the $1980-1987$ catch-at-age data, with $\mathrm{M}=0.15$.

| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| 5 | -.20635 | .34886 | .12045 | .47447 | -.30002 | -.18674 | -.26109 | .00021 |
| 6 | -.43148 | .11111 | .07846 | -.05579 | .03191 | .05920 | -.13792 | .26151 |
| 7 | -.27955 | -.06304 | -.23551 | .02702 | .09959 | .28543 | -.05440 | .32530 |
| 8 | .10520 | -.17633 | .06890 | -.23849 | .14092 | .06095 | -.13537 | .29618 |
| 9 | .35965 | .19432 | .24697 | -.17239 | -.04508 | .09991 | -.02593 | -.65503 |
| 10 | .11353 | .27553 | -.17917 | .28300 | -.27869 | -.01276 | -.30336 | -.19709 |
| 11 | .06043 | -.24482 | -.25899 | -.00294 | .32040 | .15278 | .18320 | -.38835 |
| 12 | -.09056 | -.18001 | -.06462 | -.35043 | -.11337 | -.35631 | .73128 | .44947 |
| $13+$ | .25489 | -.30911 | .21357 | .14727 | .20232 | -.04815 | -.02615 | -.06360 |

App. Table 3. Residuals (log(observed catch)-log(predicted catch)) from the least-squares fit using CAGEAN to the 1980-1987 catch-at-age data, with M=0. 20.

|  |  |  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |  |
| 5 | -.21470 | .33074 | .11453 | .48665 | -.28593 | -.17733 | -.25701 | -.00119 |  |
| 6 | -.42966 | .11144 | .08547 | -.04232 | .04141 | .06167 | -.13949 | .25513 |  |
| 7 | -.27715 | -.05923 | -.22930 | .03319 | .10192 | .27872 | -.06336 | .31456 |  |
| 8 | .11226 | -.16817 | .07281 | -.24147 | .13767 | .05129 | -.14628 | .28598 |  |
| 9 | .37136 | .21263 | .25421 | -.17949 | -.05276 | .09059 | -.03262 | -.66079 |  |
| 10 | .12202 | .30341 | -.16409 | .28133 | -.28354 | -.01792 | -.30091 | -.19182 |  |
| 11 | .06698 | -.21988 | -.24512 | -.00643 | .32130 | .15229 | .19264 | -.37388 |  |
| 12 | -.06744 | -.16689 | -.06959 | -.37662 | -.12758 | -.36535 | .73185 | .45517 |  |
| $13+$ | .24991 | -.34280 | .19852 | .10966 | .16364 | -.08208 | -.05303 | -.08368 |  |
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```
MEMORANDUM FOR: James W. Glock
    Pacific Fishery Management Council
FROM:
    F/SWC3 - Joseph E. Bightower J~
SUBJECT: Supplemental Information Regarding 1988 Widow
    Rockfish Status of Stock Document
```

After further review of the draft widow rockfish status of stock document, Bill Lenarzand I discovered that the biomass at MSY (B[MSY]) estimates were incorrect. The values were based on the wrong fishing mortality rate; the correct values (Table A, enclosed) are moderately higher. The final version of the status of stock document contains the correct $\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{MSY}]$ values.

Also enclosed are the two tables of biomass estimates that we discussed at the october meeting of the Groundfish Managment Team (Tables B-C). The table values represent estimates of the widow rockfish biomass that would be expected in years 19891993, assuming a constant harvest of 9,000 to $15,000 \mathrm{mt}$. The status of stock document contained the tables of fishing mortality rates ( rable l0) that correspond to these biomass estimates. The biomass estimates are easier to interpret, however, so we wanted to make them available as well.

The two tables represent projections based on results from cohort and catch-at-age analysis. Estimates of current stock biomass differ considerably for the two approaches, and unfortunately, we have insufficient data to choose between the two sets of results. Our discussion at the GMT meeting focused on the catch-at-age analysis results because harvests that are acceptable for that more pessimistic case should not result in overfishing.

We concluded at the GMT meeting that, based on the catch-at-age analysis results, biomass would reach the MSY level within five years if a harvest of 11,000 or $12,000 \mathrm{mt}$. was maintained through 1992. For that reason, the GMT supported a 1989 ABC of $12,400 \mathrm{mt}$. , which is the calculated value using the same $A B C$

Table a. Estimates of maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and biomass at MSY (B[MSY]) obtained from cohort and catch-at-age analysis at two levels of natural mortality ( $M$ ) and using two assumptions about the stock-recruitment relationship.

| Assessment technique | Stock-recruitment M relationship |  | ```Mean recruit. (millions)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ (000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}[\mathrm{MSY}] \\ (000 \mathrm{mt}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | 0.15 | Constant | 29.6 | 13.31 | 87.8 |
|  |  | Beverton-Holt |  | 8.23 | 54.3 |
|  | 0.20 | Constant | 34.2 | 12.66 | 83.3 |
|  |  | Beverton-Holt |  | 8.61 | 56.6 |
|  | Average |  |  | 10.7 | 70.5 |
| Catch-at-age | 0.15 | Constant | 20.8 | 8.64 | 66.1 |
|  |  | Beverton-Holt |  | 5.93 | 45.4 |
|  | 0.20 | Constant | 31.1 | 11.40 | 72.5 |
|  |  | Beverton-Holt |  | 7.49 | 47.6 |
|  | Averag |  |  | 8.4 | 57.9 |

Table b. Estimates of widow rockfish biomass (ages 5+, thousands of metric tons (mt)) in 1989-1992 based on results of cohort analysis, assuming a constant harvest of 9,000 to $15,000 \mathrm{mt}$, a natural mortality rate (M) of 0.15 or 0.20 , and one of three recruitment scenarios (mean observed recruitment, following the pattern observed in the juvenile rockfish survey, or following a Beverton-Holt stock recruitment curve).

| Target harvest$9,000$ |  | Mean observed |  | Survey |  | Beverton-Holt |  | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | M-0.15 | M=0.20 | M-0.15 | M-0. 20 | M-0.15 | M=0.20 |  |
|  | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 127.1 | 104.5 | 132.8 | 113.5 | 117.1 | 97.2 | 115.4 |
|  | 1991 | 129.8 | 106.3 | 121.5 | 98.8 | 114.4 | 94.5 | 110.9 |
|  | 1992 | 132.3 | 108.0 | 130.6 | 108.5 | 113.2 | 93.1 | 114.3 |
|  | 1993 | 135.9 | 110.2 | 138.2 | 114.3 | 114.1 | 93.3 | 117.7 |
| 10,000 | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 126.0 | 103.5 | 131.8 | 112.5 | 116.0 | 96.2 | 114.3 |
|  | 1991 | 127.7 | 104.4 | 119.5 | 96.9 | 112.4 | 92.5 | 108.9 |
|  | 1992 | 129.3 | 105.2 | 127.7 | 105.7 | 110.2 | 90.3 | 111.4 |
|  | 1993 | 132.0 | 106.6 | 134.2 | 110.6 | 110.2 | 89.7 | 113.9 |
| 11,000 | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 125.0 | 102.5 | 130.8 | 111.5 | 115.0 | 95.2 | 113.3 |
|  | 1991 | 125.7 | 102.4 | 117.5 | 95.0 | 110.3 | 90.6 | 106.9 |
|  | 1992 | 126.4 | 102.4 | 124.8 | 103.0 | 107.2 | 87.6 | 108.6 |
|  | 1993 | 128.1 | 102.9 | 130.2 | 106.9 | 106.3 | 86.1 | 110.1 |
| 12,000 | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 123.9 | 101.4 | 129.7 | 110.5 | 113.9 | 94.2 | 112.3 |
|  | 1991 | 123.7 | 100.5 | 115.5 | 93.1 | 108.3 | 88.6 | 105.0 |
|  | 1992 | 123.4 | 99.6 | 121.8 | 100.2 | 104.3 | 84.8 | 105.7 |
|  | 1993 | 124.1 | 99.3 | 126.3 | 103.2 | 102.4 | 82.5 | 106.3 |
| 13,000 | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 122.9 | 100.4 | 128.7 | 109.5 | 112.9 | 93.2 | 111.3 |
|  | 1991 | 121.6 | 98.5 | 113.5 | 91.2 | 106.3 | 86.7 | 103.0 |
|  | 1992 | 120.4 | 96.8 | 118.9 | 97.4 | 101.3 | 82.0 | 102.8 |
|  | 1993 | 120.2 | 95.7 | 122.3 | 99.5 | 98.5 | 78.9 | 102.5 |
| 14,000 | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 121.9 | 99.4 | 127.7 | 108.5 | 111.9 | 92.2 | 110.3 |
|  | 1991 | 119.6 | 96.6 | 111.5 | 89.3 | 104.3 | 84.7 | 101.0 |
|  | 1992 | 117.4 | 94.0 | 116.0 | 94.7 | 98.4 | 79.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 1993 | 116.3 | 92.1 | 118.3 | 95.7 | 94.6 | 75.2 | 98.7 |
| 15,000 | 1989 | 124.1 | 103.0 | 101.3 | 77.7 | 117.5 | 98.2 | 103.6 |
|  | 1990 | 120.8 | 98.4 | 126.6 | 107.5 | 110.8 | 91.1 | 109.2 |
|  | 1991 | 117.6 | 94.6 | 109.5 | 87.4 | 102.2 | 82.8 | 99.0 |
|  | 1992 | 114.4 | 91.2 | 113.0 | 91.9 | 95.4 | 76.4 | 97.1 |
|  | 1993 | 112.3 | 88.4 | 114.3 | 92.0 | 90.6 | 71.6 | 94.9 |

Table c. Estimates of widow rockfish biomass (ages 5+, thousands of metric tons (mt)) in 1989-1992 based on results of catch-at-age analysis, assuming a constant harvest of 9,000 to $15,000 \mathrm{mt}$, a natural mortality rate (M) of 0.15 or 0.20 , and one of three recruitment scenarios (mean observed recruitment, following the pattern observed in the juvenile rockfish survey, or following a Beverton-Holt stock recruitment curve).

| Target |  | Mean observed |  | Survey |  | Beverton-Holt |  | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| harvest | Year | M-0.15 | M-0. 20 | M=0.15 | M-0. 20 | $\mathrm{M}=0.15$ | M=0.20 |  |
| 9,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 58.4 | 70.2 | 63.2 | 78.5 | 55.2 | 71.4 | 66.2 |
|  | 1991 | 58.0 | 72.4 | 53.2 | 66.1 | 53.2 | 73.8 | 62.8 |
|  | 1992 | 57.6 | 74.5 | 57.5 | 75.4 | 51.2 | 75.7 | 65.3 |
|  | 1993 | 57.5 | 76.6 | 59.3 | 80.2 | 49.1 | 77.1 | 66.6 |
| 10,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 57.4 | 69.2 | 62.2 | 77.6 | 54.2 | 70.4 | 65.2 |
|  | 1991 | 55.9 | 70.4 | 51.2 | 64.1 | 51.2 | 71.8 | 60.8 |
|  | 1992 | 54.6 | 71.6 | 54.5 | 72.6 | 48.2 | 72.8 | 62.4 |
|  | 1993 | 53.5 | 72.9 | 55.2 | 76.4 | 45.2 | 73.4 | 62.8 |
| 11,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 56.4 | 68.2 | 61.1 | 76.6 | 53.2 | 69.4 | 64.2 |
|  | 1991 | 53.9 | 68.4 | 49.2 | 62.2 | 49.1 | 69.8 | 58.8 |
|  | 1992 | 51.5 | 68.7 | 51.6 | 69.8 | 45.1 | 70.0 | 59.5 |
|  | 1993 | 49.5 | 69.2 | 51.1 | 72.6 | 41.2 | 69.7 | 58.9 |
| 12,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 55.3 | 67.2 | 60.1 | 75.6 | 52.1 | 68.4 | 63.1 |
|  | 1991 | 51.8 | 66.5 | 47.2 | 60.3 | 47.1 | 67.8 | 56.8 |
|  | 1992 | 48.5 | 65.9. | 48.6 | 67.1 | 42.1 | 67.1 | 56.5 |
|  | 1993 | 45.5 | 65.5 | 46.9 | 68.8 | 37.2 | 66.0 | 55.0 |
| 13,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 54.3 | 66.2 | 59.1 | 74.6 | 51.1 | 67.4 | 62.1 |
|  | 1991 | 49.8 | 64.5 | 45.2 | 58.4 | 45.0 | 65.9 | 54.8 |
|  | 1992 | 45.5 | 63.0 | 45.7 | 64.3 | 39.1 | 64.2 | 53.6 |
|  | 1993 | 41.5 | 61.9 | 42.8 | 64.9 | 33.3 | 62.3 | 51.1 |
| 14,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 53.3 | 65.2 | 58.1 | 73.6 | 50.1 | 66.4 | 61.1 |
|  | 1991 | 47.7 | 62.5 | 43.2 | 56.5 | 43.0 | 63.9 | 52.8 |
|  | 1992 | 42.4 | 60.1 | 42.7 | 61.5 | 36.1 | 61.4 | 50.7 |
|  | 1993 | 37.5 | 58.1 | 38.7 | 61.1 | 29.3 | 58.6 | 47.2 |
| 15,000 | 1989 | 59.8 | 69.1 | 44.4 | 46.1 | 57.9 | 69.7 | 57.8 |
|  | 1990 | 52.3 | 64.2 | 57.1 | 72.6 | 49.1 | 65.4 | 60.1 |
|  | 1991 | 45.7 | 60.5 | 41.2 | 54.6 | 41.0 | 61.9 | 50.8 |
|  | 1992 | 39.4 | 57.3 | 39.7 | 58.7 | 33.1 | 58.5 | 47.8 |
|  | 1993 | 33.5 | 54.4 | 34.6 | 57.3 | 25.3 | 54.9 | 43.3 |

```
algorithm as in previous widow rockfish assessments. Based on
the correct value of B[MSY] (57,900 mt), it appears that biomass
in 1989 (57,800 mt) is at the MSY level, but should remain close
to that level through 1992 at harvest levels of 11,000-12,000
mt. The GMT reviewed the corrected B[MSY] estimates and biomass
projections and believes that a 12,400 mt. ABC for 1989 is still
appropriate. ABC estimates for }1990\mathrm{ and beyond will be
reevaluated annually as additional data become available.
Please contact me at (415) 556-0565 if you have questions or
comments.
Enclosures
```


[^0]:    App. Figure 5. One-hundred replicate estimates of 1987 widow rockfish biomass versus the correlation between hours trawled (based on Oregon logbook data) and 1984-1987 fishing mortality rates for age-10 fish, for the case where selectivity at age 10 is assumed to be 1.0 . The relationship was similar for the other selectivity assumptions.

