8858

Marine Pollution Bulletin, Volume 19, No. 9, pp. 466-477, 1988. Printed in Great Britain.

Striped Bass Populations in Chesapeake and San Francisco Bays: Two Environmentally Impacted Estuaries

EILEEN M. SETZLER-HAMILTON*, JEANNETTE A. WHIPPLE† and R. BRUCE MACFARLANE†

*The University of Maryland, Center for Environmental and Estuarine Studies, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD 20688-0038;

†US Department of Commerce, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Center, Tiburon Laboratory, Tiburon, CA 94920, USA

Striped bass populations have declined precipitously in both Chesapeake Bay and the San Francisco Bay Delta system.

Parallel declines in both systems indicate possible common climatic patterns or trends affecting both populations. Climatic instability on both coasts with accompanying changes in average rainfall, outflow and temperature may be interacting with deteriorating water quality and pollution resulting in declining populations of striped bass in both areas. Declines in east coast striped bass stocks have been attributed to: overfishing; nutrient enrichment of the habitat and the resultant, temperature-oxygen squeeze on subadults; deterioration of the near-shore habitat for juvenile striped bass resulting from the loss of submerged aquatic vegetation; decreased survival of larval striped bass due to environmental pollution; poor nutritional state of larvae; and fluctuations in the physical environment and predation.

In the San Francisco Bay Delta area the decline has been attributed to the interactive effects of reduced freshwater outflow and increased freshwater diversion, and decreased bay flushing and increased pollutant burdens which have adversely affected both egg production and egg and larval survival.

The striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, is an anadromous species distributed along the Atlantic coast from northern Florida to the St. Lawrence Estuary, Canada, and along the Gulf of Mexico from western Florida to eastern Louisiana. The San Francisco Bay Delta population of striped bass originated in New Jersey. Juvenile striped bass from the Navesink River were introduced into the lower Sacramento River in 1879;

additional yearlings from the Shrewsbury River were planted in San Francisco Bay in 1881 (Setzler *et al.*, 1980).

Striped bass spawn in late spring. In the Chesapeake Bay area spawning occurs from early to mid-April through to the end of May, primarily in tidal freshwater areas just above the salt wedge. Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries (Fig. 1a) provide the principal spawning and nursery areas along the Atlantic coast. The Chesapeake stock may comprise as much as 90% of the Atlantic coastal migratory stock of striped bass during periods of peak abundance (Berggren & Lieberman, 1978).

In the San Francisco Bay Delta area spawning occurs above the salt-wedge (or null zone) from mid-April through mid-June primarily in the Sacramento River and the central delta of the San Joaquin River, the major tributaries of San Francisco Bay (Fig. 1b).

Striped bass populations have declined for the past decade over much of their East Coast range, especially in Chesapeake Bay. Both commercial and recreational fisheries depend upon the occurrence of periodic dominant year classes to sustain population levels (Florence, 1980). The last dominant year class in 1970 resulted in peak commercial landings in 1973 (Boreman & Austin, 1985). Maryland landings declined from 2268 t in 1973 to 202.3 t in 1983 (Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1986). Current stock levels are so low that the State of Maryland issued a total moratorium on the catch, sale or possession of striped bass in Maryland effective 1 January 1985.

As on the east coast, the San Francisco Bay striped bass have declined over the last 20 years, particularly since the drought of 1976–1977. The decline has been surprisingly similar to that in Chesapeake Bay as reflected in similar downward trends in young-of-theyear (YOY) survival in the two areas (Fig. 2a & b).

Stevens et al. (1985) have hypothesized four possible reasons for the decline of the San Francisco Bay fishery:



Fig. 1A Spawning areas of striped bass in Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries.

Marine Pollution Bulletin





Fig. 2B Annual index of YOY striped bass by area in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. The young-of-year index is based on the catch per net tow when the average fork length of YOY striped bass reaches 38 mm. (Stevens et al., 1985).

I. increased adult mortality resulting in low egg production, *2.* reductions in the planktonic prey of young striped bass in the western Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisan Bay during the spring, *3.*

substantial losses of young fish by entrainment in water diversions, and 4. physiological stress to the population by toxic substances such as petroleum hydrocarbons and pesticides.

Recent analysis by the California Department of Fish and Game (CDF&G, 1987) suggests the decline is probably due to a combination of increased adult mortality, lowered total fecundity affecting the total survival of YOY and decreased survival of eggs and very early larval stages (prior to 6 mm). Food abundance for older larvae may be less important than previously thought since it appears that mortality of eggs and larvae less than 6 mm has a greater effect on YOY recruitment than mortality of later stages (Low, 1986; CDF&G, 1987).

Unlike Chesapeake Bay, excessive water temperatures, low oxygen concentrations, and low pH do not appear to be major problems for San Francisco Bay

Delta populations of striped bass, although some larvae are lost to power plant entrainment (Chadwick, 1977). Overfishing is probably not a major factor contributing to the decline of striped bass in the San Francisco Bay Delta area (Stevens *et al.*, 1985).

There are levels of toxic pollutants in both Chesapeake and San Francisco Bay Delta areas and their tributaries, which are potentially harmful, including several petroleum and chlorinated hydrocarbons and trace metals (Jung *et al.*, 1984; Gunther *et al.*, 1987; Phillips, 1987). Research in San Francisco Bay (Whipple *et al.*, 1987; Jung *et al.*, 1984) has shown relatively high concentrations of many pollutants in tissues of striped bass. There are significant correlations among pollutants and reductions in reproduction and effective fecundity in striped bass, as well as evidence of effects on the adults.

This article examined the role of environmental degradation and pollution in the decline of Chesapeake Bay and San Francisco Bay striped bass stocks.

Overview of Chesapeake Bay Studies

Chesapeake Bay is a highly eutrophic, partially mixed, stratified estuary (see e.g. Kemp et al., 1983; Officer et al., 1984; Price et al., 1985; Tuttle et al., 1987). A major loss of submerged vegetation involving both native and exotic species has continued in Chesapeake Bay since the mid 1960s. Kemp et al. (1983) demonstrated that recent losses in Bay vegetation were linked to increased eutrophication and ultimately to light stress. Reduction in seagrass beds result in habitat loss for both finfish and epibenthic invertebrate populations (Orth & Heck, 1980; Heck & Orth, 1980). Shorezone fishes (such as juvenile striped bass) generally prefer naturally vegetated bottoms over bare bottoms (Briggs & O'Connor, 1971); such areas provide abundant food supply and protection from predators (Orth, 1977).

Coutant (1985) has hypothesized that adult striped bass are 'squeezed' from Chesapeake Bay during summer months because of their thermal and dissolved oxygen preferences or requirements. The thermal niche of striped bass decreases as the fish ages. Maximum growth rate of 1 yr-old juveniles occurs at 24-26°C (Cox & Coutant. 1981); whereas striped bass >5 kg (age 3+) prefer 20-22°C and avoid water temperatures >25°C and dissolved oxygen concentrations <2-3 mg l^{-1} (Coutant, 1985, 1987).

The deep, mesohaline waters of Chesapeake Bay have experienced annual summer anoxia or hypoxia since at least 1936 (Tuttle *et al.*, 1987). Based on a 30 yr old O₂ record for Chesapeake Bay. Officer *et al.* (1984) concluded that the summer volume of water having oxygen concentrations <0.5 mg O₂ l⁻¹ increased approximately 15-fold between 1950 and 1980. If low dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations have reduced striped bass habitat sufficiently to influence reproductive success than one would expect that upper Bay (Maryland rivers) striped bass would be more significantly impacted than lower Bay stocks (Virginia rivers). However, both upper and lower Bay stocks have suffered severe declines. Since a large portion of adult striped bass (primarily females) are migratory, the impact of the dissolved oxygen squeeze on reproductive success is questionable. Female striped bass do not mature sexually until ages 5, 6, and 7 yr; however, immature female striped bass begin migrating at 2 yr; approximately 50% of immature female striped bass leave the bay at 3 yr and join the coastal migratory stock (Kolenstein, 1981). These coastal migrants do not return to the Bay until they are sexually mature and participate in the spring spawning migrations. Gill net surveys indicate that more 2 yr old immature females leave the Bay than previously thought (Harley Speir, Maryland Department of Natural Resources, personal communication). Whether this is related to the temperature-oxygen squeeze as Coutant (1985) hypothesized, or to the reduction in fishing mortality of 2 yr old males after the imposition of the striped bass moratorium is open to question. Prior to the moratorium, sexually mature 2 and 3 yr old males experienced high fishing mortality during the spring spawning migration; immature females did not participate in spawning migrations to any great extent and thus were subjected to a smaller fishing mortality. Higher fishing mortality among 2 yr old males together with some migration of immature 2 yr old females could result in the approximately even sex ratios of 2 yr old striped bass seen in gill net surveys conducted prior to the total ban on fishing imposed by the moratorium.

Upper Chesapeake Bay stocks of all anadromous fish species are currently experiencing severe declines (Bonzek & Jones, 1984; Stagg, 1985). However Chesapeake Bay populations of marine spawners; i.e. bluefish and the various sciaenids; Atlantic croaker, spot and weakfish (sea trout) have not undergone similar declines. This implies that environmental impacts are likely to be operating primarily in the tributary rivers and the tidal freshwater portion of the upper Bay, the spawning areas of the anadromous species.

There is increasing evidence that water quality in the traditional striped bass spawning areas of Chesapeake Bay may adversely affect spawning and survival of striped bass early life history stages. A mixture of organic and inorganic contaminants at realistic environmental concentrations (EC) was created from analyses of Choptank, Elk and Nanticoke River water in 1980 (CNFRL, 1983). This mixture significantly reduced survival of striped bass yolk-sac larvae (prolarvae) reared in soft water (Hall *et al.*, 1984).

Mehrle *et al.*, (1987) reported significant mortality of striped bass larvae reared in hard well water at 2 and 4X EC within 30 days. Larvae reared in low salinity waters were more tolerant of the contaminants. Striped bass larvae reared in 2 ppt salinity incurred significant mortality in the 4 EC treatment after 30 days of exposure; whereas significant mortality occurred in the 2 and 4 EC in 5 ppt after 90 days exposure.

Tests on metals and organics carried out separately (Palawski *et al.*, 1985) indicated that the acute toxicity of the mixture was largely due to metals, particularly zinc, cadmium and copper. Cadmium and copper were

implicated in an *in situ* toxicity bioassay carried out during the spring of 1986 in the spawning reaches of the Potomac River (Hall *et al.*, 1987b). Poorly buffered eastern shore tributaries such as the Choptank and Nanticoke are particularly susceptible to episodic drops in pH, especially during wet years. It is likely that low pH with or without the potentiating influence of aluminium (Buckler *et al.*, 1987) may create toxic conditions in these rivers during the time of spring run-off which is also the beginning of striped bass spawning season.

The pH of rainfall in the Chesapeake Bay area averages 4.0 with individual events recorded as low as 3.0 (pH of 'normal' rainfall is 5.0-5.4 due to naturally occurring organic acids and CO₂ in the atmosphere (Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1985)). Even during relatively dry springs pH values on the spawning grounds in Eastern Shore tributaries may be marginal. Average pH values for stations sampled in the peak spawning areas of the Nanticoke and Choptank Rivers during 1986 a dry spring, ranged 6.00-6.60 and 6.23-6.86, respectively (Setzler-Hamilton, 1987).

Hall *et al.* (1986) evaluated organic contaminants, toxic metals, and water quality parameters in 10 East Coast striped bass spawning habitats: Roanoke River; James, Pamunkey, Rappahannock, Potomac, Patuxent, Choptank, and Nanticoke Rivers—all tributaries of Chesapeake Bay; the C & D Canal; and the Hudson River. Most water quality conditions appeared adequate for prolarval survival. However, low buffering capacity of 24–28 mg l⁻¹ CaCO₃ was found in the Roanoke, Pamunkey and Rappahannock Rivers. Burton (1982) reported 78.0% mortality for striped bass yolk-sac larvae after 4 days of exposure to soft water <34.6 mg l⁻¹ CaCO₃ in the laboratory.

Potentially toxic concentrations of monomeric aluminium and copper were also found in various spawning habitats (Hall et al., 1986). Monomeric aluminium concentrations ranging from 103-104 µg l⁻¹ were reported from the Roanoke, James and Patuxent Rivers in the Chesapeake system as well as the Hudson River. A combination of 100 µg l⁻¹ aluminium and a pH <7.3 is toxic to 31-day striped bass (Mehrle et al., 1984). Copper concentrations of 11, 12, and 15 µg l⁻¹ in the Potomac, Patuxent, and Rappanhannock Rivers respectively, also may have been toxic to striped bass yolk-sac larvae. These copper concentrations exceeded the 96 h LC₅₀ of 7.2 μ g l⁻¹ reported by CNFRL (1983) and were of the same order of magnitude as the 96 h LC_{50} found by Wright (1988) (24.0 µg l⁻¹ for 16 day old larvae at a pH of 8.3 reared in ideal conditions). Both monomeric aluminium and copper occurred at potentially harmful concentrations in the Patuxent (Hall et al., 1986). It is interesting to note that during two years of intensive sampling of the Patuxent spawning grounds we caught only 12 striped bass larvae and 3 juveniles in 1978 (Setzler et al., 1979) and no striped bass beyond the yolk-sac stage in 1979 (only 34 yolksac larvae 3-5 mm TL were caught) (Mihursky et al., 1980)

Hall et al. (1987a) found significant in situ mortality rates of both yolk-sac and yearling striped bass in the Potomac. Survival of yolk-sac larvae ranged from 4.522.5% during three 96 h experiments; control survival was \geq 81%. Yearling survival ranged from 0–77% during two 7 d experiments; highest mortality occurred at the upriver station and was probably due to a point source discharge. High concentrations of monomeric aluminium, cadmium and copper, acting singly or synergistically, were implicated together with sudden decreases in water temperature to <11°C. The peak concentration of monomeric aluminium, 90 µg 1⁻¹ found during these *in situ* experiments is potentially toxic (Mehrle *et al.*, 1984). Peak concentrations of cadmium, 7 µg 1⁻¹, and copper, 72 µg 1⁻¹, from the Potomac exceeded 96 h LC₅₀s of both cadmium, and copper (CNFRL 1983; Wright, 1988).

Wright (1988) exposed larval striped bass to copper and cadmium concentrations which were acutely toxic over a 96 h period (24 and 19 μ g g⁻¹ respectively) and to sublethal concentrations of these metals over a 3 week period. The distribution of copper concentrations in laboratory-exposed larvae (1-30 μ g g⁻¹ dry wt) was completely within the range of wild larvae (0.1-60 μ g g⁻¹ dry wt) from the Potomac and Choptank Rivers throughout the 1985 and 1986 spawning seasons. There was considerable overlap in cadmium frequency distributions from laboratory (range 0.5-27 μ g g⁻¹) and field larvae (range 0.01-12 μ g g⁻¹ dry wt).

Body burdens of seven metals were measured in striped bass and white perch (Morone americana) larvae collected from weekly sampling of the Potomac, Choptank and Nanticoke spawning grounds (Wright, 1987, Table 1). Concentrations of aluminium increased in striped bass larvae from the Potomac and Nanticoke Rivers and concentrations of copper increased in striped bass larvae from the Choptank River during the 6 week sampling period; whereas concentrations of copper and manganese in the Potomac larvae, and manganese in the Nanticoke and Choptank larvae remained approximately the same (Wright, 1987).

The DDT metabolites, DDE and DDD were present in white perch larvae collected from the Potomac River in 1985; DDE was present in white perch larvae from the Potomac, Nanticoke and Choptank Rivers in 1986. Two striped bass samples were analysed in 1985; however, no DDT derivatives were found in either. Wright (1987) also found a number of organic contaminants in white perch larvae. The herbicide Atrazine (used extensively in no-till farming in Maryland) was found in concentrations of approximately 100 ng g⁻¹ wet wt in white perch from three rivers; Potomac, Choptank, and Nanticoke during the third week of May in 1985. Simazine residues were also present (data from the Maryland Department of Agriculture documented use of 1.6 million pounds of Atrazine and 0.45 million pounds of Simazine in Maryland in 1985). Residues of the insecticide, Malathion; 71.0 ng g^{-1} were found in striped bass larvae (n=26 larvae in a pooled sample) and in two pooled samples of white perch larvae (145 and 123 ng g⁻¹ respectively) collected from the Potomac River during May, 1985 (Wright et al., 1986). However the toxicity of these compounds to larval striped bass are unknown at present.

Source		N	As	g	cr	Cu	Mn	ž	Pb	s	Γ	Hg	Reference
Eggs Chesapeake Bay Tributaries Eik n = 1 * Potomac n = 1 Choptank n = 1 Sacramento River	lay Tributaries - 1 1- 1 iver		0.16 0.3 0.14 0.25	0.003 0.014 0.005 0.36		2.0 4.4 3.2 5.9			0.012 0.017 0.011 0.01	0.13 1.8 2.2 2.4			CNFRL, 1983
Larvae Potomac 6 May 86 n=6 30 May 86 n=6	6 May 86 n - 6 30 May 86 n- 8	139±50.5 34.9-196 132±96 11-332		0.12±0.16 0.030.48 0.10±0.04 0.05-0.18	2.6±0.96 1.4-4.45 0.53±0.35 0.34-0.89	12.3±5.3 5.1-19 13.3±5.4 8.1-25.2	20.1±6.4 14.1-29.9 20.8±4.5 15.7-27.8		14.9±7.5 2.8-24 5.6±6.9 1.6-23.7		3200±4163 160-12 300 300±183 113-642		Wright, 1987
Nanticoke 7 May 86 n-2 31 May 86 n- 13 June 86 n- Choptank 8 May 86 n-3 1 June 86	7 May 86 n-2 31 May 86 n-12 13 June 86 n-8 8 May 86 n-3 1 June 86	56-79 112±134 2.5-441 183±65 81-225 1074±167 722-1445 375±166 171-578		0.27-0.35 0.10±0.07 0.09-0.27 0.14±0.09 0.04-0.34 0.63±0.11 0.29-1.1 0.06±0.03	0.8-1.97 6.9±11.6 0.43-38.7 0.71±0.19 0.45-1.02 12.7±4.02 12.7±2.4 5.8-21.6 0.70±0.19 0.43-0.96	2.7-53.9 8.046.1 3.3-25.1 8.442.7 5.3-13.4 2.3812.0 0.94-3.8 3.0640.3 3.3-3.9	30.0 43±18 17.7±6.7 27.7±6.7 19.6-38.6 52.3±29.5 52.3±29.5 47.3±8.4 47.3±8.4 37.1-57.7		7.4-29.6 4.8±2.1 1.14-7.8 1.1±0.6 0.1-1.9 65.2±45.1 24-128 23±0.4 1.7-2.7		180-5500 1800-4650 150-17 200 126419 90-154 14 100 14 100 262±173 130-510		
Young-of-year Eik Potomac Choptank James	n - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	0.40.60.6	0.16 0.15 0.51	0.02 0.009 0.013 0.017	0.36 0.3 0.27 25	0.88 1.09 0.94 0.86	7.2 6.1 9.8 7.1		0.08 0.06 0.03 0.003	1.3 0.72 0.3 0.44			CNFRL, 1983
Nanticoke Nanticoke Potomac	n = 5 2 = 5	4 .0.~	0.1 0.13 ± 0.01 0.08 ± 0.02 0.40 ± 0.03 0.16 ± 0.01 0.20 ± 0.19 0.10 ± 0.04	0.05±0.01 0.05±0.01 0.05±0.01 0.05±0.01 0.05±0.01 0.05±0.01		5	:		0.73±0.01 1.00±0.60 0.67±0.15 1.20±0.01 1.34±0.73 1.15±0.50	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19\pm0.01\\ 0.25\pm0.06\\ 0.64\pm0.03\\ 0.64\pm0.03\\ 0.22\pm0.01\\ 0.26\pm0.14\\ 0.84\pm0.19\end{array}$	39.20±4.02 35.30±4.78 32.66±4.29 35.90±0.01 35.48±2.05 57.40±7.50		Mchrie <i>et al.</i> , 1982
Adults Elk Potomac Choptank Sacramento			0.84 0.55 0.38 0.38	0.15 0.40 0.20 0.15	0.85 0.90 0.78 0.84	1.34 1.47 1.67 1.46	3.00 3.33 1.76 2.09	0.14 0.23 0.25 0.26	0.12 0.16 0.16 0.19	0.69 0.60 0.34 0.48		0.07 0.09 0.09 0.27	CNFRL, 1983

Table 2 summarizes concentrations of organic contaminants found in striped bass eggs, young-of-theyear (YOY) and adults from both Chesapeake Bay and San Francisco Bay. Concentrations of DDT derivatives and PCB's were higher in striped bass eggs than in either YOY or adults. (These organic contaminants are soluble in lipids; lipid storage in striped bass eggs is in a large oil globule.) Westin *et al.*, (1985) have demonstrated that hexachlorobenzene, chlordanes, DDTs and PCBs are passed from parent to the offspring via yolk and oil of the egg.

Reported 96 h LC_{50} concentrations of three organic insecticides to 56 day old striped bass are summarized below:

	Softwater	1 ppt salinity
	Conc. µg 1–1	µg 1 ⁻¹
Toxaphene	5.4	7.6
Malathion	24.5	65
Carbaryl	760	2300
(From Palaws	ki <i>et al.</i> , 1985).	

The extreme toxicity of toxaphene to striped bass was not altered by water hardness whereas the toxicity of both malathion and carbaryl was reduced in water of 1 ppt salinity as compared to soft water.

The histopathology of larval striped bass has been examined in an attempt to identify causal relationships between specific water quality contaminants or stressors and the pathology or death of larval fish. Examination of striped bass larvae, 5-7 mm TL, collected from the Choptank River during 1984 revealed severe liver and muscle damage at the cellular level; necrosis of liver cells and calcium deposition in adjacent muscle cells, indicative of pending mortality (Hall *et al.*, 1985). These results correlate with results of trawl studies in the Choptank; no larvae >7 mm were collected during the first 80% of the 1984 spawning season (Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1985).

Hall *et al.*, (1987b) found lesions in the pseudobranch, dilated glomerular capillaries and vacuolization and eosinophilic inclusions in the proximal tubules of the kidneys (indicative of osmotic imbalance) of yearling striped bass exposed to Potomac River water. They also found reduced glycogen reserves in the livers of yearling striped bass from the C&D Canal (Hall *et al.*, 1987b).

Overview of San Francisco Bay Delta Studies

The severe decline of San Francisco Bay Delta populations of striped bass in the last 20 years probably results from interacting factors. The adult population of striped bass appeared to be relatively stable from about 1969 to 1976. Subsequently, adult abundance declined to about one quarter of that 20 years ago (Stevens *et al.*, 1985). Previously water diversion was implicated in reductions of the planktonic food supply and subsequent reduction in survival of young striped bass. Recent analyses (Low 1986; CDF&G 1987) have shown that survival to very young larval stages (from egg to 6 mm) is most important in determining the yearclass strength. Accumulation of toxics in the ovaries of

adult prespawning striped bass may result in increased mortality of eggs and delayed mortality of larvae prior to or at the time of first-feeding.

Field Studies

Striped bass from the San Francisco Bay Delta area have relatively high levels of several classes of petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated hydrocarbons and heavy metals (Tables 1–3; Whipple *et al.*, 1983, 1987, unpublished). Concentrations of monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (MAH), alicyclic hepanes (AH), and unmetabolized DDT in the livers and ovaries of prespawning striped bass from the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rivers from 1978–1984 were correlated to egg resorption, abnormal egg maturation and egg death. Striped bass from San Francisco Bay had higher percentages of egg resorption, at least in certain years, than striped bass from Coos Bay, Oregon, and Hudson River, New York, populations.

Crosby et al. (1986) found relatively high residues of chlorinated hydrocarbons, including PCBs, DDT and metabolites, and toxaphene in tissues of San Francisco Bay Delta striped bass. Residues were significantly higher than in Coos River, Oregon striped bass (Whipple et al., 1983). PCBs in SF Bay striped bass were comparable to concentrations found in striped bass from the Hudson River (Gadbois & Maney, 1983). Although the mean concentration of PCBs was lower than from Hudson River fish (0.75 vs. 1.50 mg g^{-1} wet wt), the maximum concentration (4.0 mg g^{-1}) in muscle was the highest recorded in striped bass in this comparative study. The chlorinated hydrocarbon residues in particular, PCBs, DDT, and metabolites and toxaphene, were at levels potentially impacting the adult striped bass and their eggs and larvae (Crosby et al., 1986; Jung et al., 1984).

A number of investigators have found lesions in livers of adult striped bass containing high concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbon residues (Crosby *et al.*, 1986). Brown *et al.* (1987) found significant liver dysfunction in moribund striped bass collected during a die-off in Carquinez Straits in the summer of 1985.

Whipple (unpublished) has found relatively high residues of petrochemicals (mean [MAH]= 2.39 ± 6.90 mg g⁻¹, wet wt, n=9 females) in livers and gonads of moribund, postspawning adult striped bass collected from the Carquinez Strait area in 1980. These concentrations were approximately 50 times greater than concentrations found in livers of prespawning adults in 1981 (mean [MAH]= 0.044 ± 0.079 mg g⁻¹ wet wt; n=19 females).

Laboratory Studies

Concentrations of benzene in tissues of wild juvenile striped bass approximated those in tissues of juvenile striped bass exposed in the laboratory to 100 n l^{-1} benzene (Whipple *et al.*, 1981). Initial laboratory studies showed that benzene was primarily taken up through the gills and accumulated at highest levels in tissues with greatest lipid content (Korn *et al.*, 1976a). Effects of benzene exposure included changes in respiration (Brocksen & Bailey, 1973; Eldridge *et al.*,

	PCBs 3.91 3.91 5.19 6.19 6.19 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.0	phene ND# 0.55 1.44	đrin 0.05 0.05	dane	PAH-	PASH ⁴		PCDFs'	PCBs	
V 003 0.1 0.05 0.1 0.1 0.53 0.33 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.02 0.03 0.02 ND 0.02 ND ND ND 0.02 1.11 0.02 0.33 0.10 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.54 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.54 0.0 0.0 0.54 0.0 0.0 0.54 0.1 0.54 0.0 0.0 0.54 0.0 0.54 0.0 0.0 0.54 0.0 0.0 0.54 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	9.9 9.9 0.7 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.74	ND* 0.24 0.55 1.44	0.06 0.05				LUDS -			Reference
003 003 003 001 000 001 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	9.9 9.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0	ND 0.24 0.55 1.44	0.05							CNEDI 1003
0.05 0.05 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	919 10.74 10.74 10.74 10.74 10.74 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75 10	0.24 0.55 1.44	0.0	0.23						CNFKL, 1903
0.1 0.54 0.53 0.53 1.11 0.54 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	61.9 20 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q	0.55 1.44		0.01			:		4	
a 1.11 a 1.11	10.74 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	1.44	10.0	0.88	144.0	29	21		÷ °	
	999		10	, c	17071		n e	t 2	321	
ND 2002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002 00	8999		1.0	1.7			•	5		
000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	9999		!	ļ						CNFKL, 1983
	229	2	2	2						
	29		2 S	2		4				
			29	29	28.5		Y	٢	ÛN	
ž	Q		N	2	1.12	2	•	•		Mahala at al 1003
	000.110									Mentile et al., 1702
	0.21 ±0.08									
	01.0110.00									
	0.040±0.11									
	0.08 ± 0.04									
	0.09 ± 0.04									
Adult 1/								;		CNFRL, 1983
0.07 0.06	2.2	0.5	0.02	0.39			2	55		
0.07		n c	10.0	0.18	0100		36	;;	50	
		7.0	0.04	¥C.U	764.0	1.6	3	3	74	
Nanticoke	2.8+0.3				241.2	28.7	19	25	40	
	(25-33)									
				2			4	i	ļ	
	1-4)			, , ,			Q	26	42	

TABLE 3

Concentrations of selected pollutant classes in tissues of adult female prespawning striped bass (Morone saxatilis) from the San Francisco Bay Delta estuary (mean age = 5.9 yr; range = 4-20 yr). Concentrations in mg g⁻¹ (ppm) wet wt for hydrocarbons, mg g⁻¹ dry wt for metals. Data from Vasilleros et al., 1982; Gadbois and Maney, 1983; Whipple, unpublished.

		Concentrations in tissues mg	:g ⁻¹	
Pollutant Class Petroleum hydrocarbons		Liver	Ovaries	Muscle
Total monocyclic aromatics*	X±s n; Max	0.28±0.70 191; 10.0	0.23±1.21 187; 10.0	0.39±0.18 12;† 1.50
Total alicyclie hexanes‡		0.39±1.58 191; 5.0	0.56±6.17 187; 10.0	ND§
Total polycyclic aromatics Total naphthalenes Total thiophenes		Whole fish composite 10.0Whole fish composite0.01Whole fish composite6.0		
Chlorinated hydrocarbons Total DDT and metabolites		0.50±1.04 52; 1.57	2.61±3.72 12; 13.6	NM*
Chlordane		0.02±0.02 39; 0.12	NM	NM
Total PCBs		0.78±0.93 \$1; 5.00	2.64±2.62 12; 8.10	1.02±1.67 12; 4.00
Trace metals Cadmium		2.58±1.86 52; 9.40	0.23±0.22 12; 0.710	0.39±0.15 12; 1.30
Chromium		0.44±0.67 \$2; 3.30	0.95±0.52 12; 2.20	1.24±0.38 12; 2.20
Copper		61.6±60.3 52; 220	5.63±1.96 12; 35.0	2.00±3.36 12; 3.36
Lead		0.21±0.08 12; 0.370	0.180±0.23 12; 0.89	0.23±0.13 12; 0.62
Mercury		1.99±2.04 52; 13.0	0.183±0.20 12; 0.96	0.22±0.,19 12; 1.60
Nickel	X±s n; Max	1.26±0.42 12; 1.80	0.98±0.48 12; 2.10	1.40±0.41 12; 2.00
Selenium		7.28±3.70 45; 21.0	NM	NM
Zinc		160±52. 52; 272	61.0±49.2 75; 310	21.0±31.3 12;66.0

*Benzenc, toluene, ethylbenzene, o-, p-, m-xylenes. †Mostly toluene.

Cyclohexanes, methyl cyclohexane, isomers of dimethyl cyclohexanes.

§ND-not detected "MM-not measured

1981), alterations in growth and fat content (Korn et al., 1976b), abnormal hatching and larval development (Eldridge et al., 1981), decreased effective fecundity and reproduction (Whipple unpublished), abnormalities in organs and tissues (Taberski, 1982) and increased serum cortisol and secondary stress responses (MacFarlane & Benville, 1986).

Discussion

It is interesting to note that the decline in YOY striped to s follows a similar pattern in the two areas. Survival in the San Francisco Bay Delta is significantly correlated to survival in Maryland Waters of Chesapeake Bay (r=0.568; p=0.0023; Table 4). A simple method of the first difference transformation was applied to reduce the possible effects of autocorrelation on the abundance trends (Bakun & Parrish, 1980). Preliminary examination shows the trends persist after the transformation. We feel that further analysis and investigation of the possible causes of these common trends would be interesting.

At this time, the tertiary factors which may be responsible for affecting populations similarly in both areas are unknown. Both coasts have experienced unstable climatic conditions in the last 10 years when compared to the more stable preceding 20 years. In the San Francisco Bay area, for example, there has been a warming trend for the past 10 years (1975-1985; Dowgiallo et al., 1986). During that period, a drought (1976-1977) and a significant El Niño event (1982-1983) have occurred. This climatic instability also relates to the variability in rainfall, riverflow and temperatures on both coasts.

The Chesapeake Bay area experienced colder than normal winters in 1977 and 1978. A major drought occurred during 1985 and 1986 and summer temperatures during 1987 reached record highs. Kerr (1985) showed data confirming observations of erratic winter weather and temperatures in the Continental United States for the eight years 1976-1984. In contrast, the preceding 20 years (1955-1975) were characterized by stability of winter temperatures. Periods of stability may be related to the good year classes of striped bass in the 1960s, and early 1970s in San Francisco Bay and 1970 in Chesapeake Bay, while recent instability may relate to recent downward trends.

There was no significant correlation between YOY

TABLE 4

Correlation analysis of young-of-the-year (YOY) survival indices for Maryland rivers vs. San Francisco Bay Delta and Suisun nursery areas (1959-1985). All data was transformed to natural logarithms. YOY indices from San Francisco Bay Delta area defined in Stevens *et al.* (1985); data from Maryland rivers are average catch per seine haul (Md. Dept. Nat. Res. 1986).

YOY Index	n	YOY	YOY Total, California				
		r ²	Prob.	YOY 5	Prob.	r ²	Prob.
Delta	27			0.1640	•	0.6873	\$
Suisun	27	0.1640	*			0.6693	ŧ
Total California	27	0.6873	±	0.693	±		•
Nanticoke	27	0.3815	ŧ	0.2527	÷	0.4035	ŧ
Choptank	27	0.1519	•	0.2106	*	0.2057	•
Head-of-Bay	27	0.2528	+	0.0801	n.s.	0.2391	t
Potomac	27	0.0166	n.s.	0.0013	n.s.	0.0062	n.s.
Mean Maryland River	27	0.3491	t	0.1572	*	0.3223	t

*Significant at p < 0.05

+Significant at p < 0.01 ±Significant at p < 0.001

n.s. Not Significant

recruitment in the San Francisco Bay Delta and YOY recruitment in the Potomac River in contrast to YOY recruitment in the upper Bay, Choptank, Nanticoke and Maryland waters as a whole (Table 4). The correlation of YOY recruitment success in upper Chesapeake and San Francisco Bays implies a common climatic influence on recruitment success.

Salinity regimes in the striped bass spawning region of the Potomac ($\approx 100-170$ km upriver from the mouth) are primarily controlled by freshwater input from the upper Potomac instead of freshwater input to the upper Bay. One would anticipate that global climatic events would exert a greater influence on the freshwater input to Chesapeake Bay from the Susquehanna River which drains most of Pennsylvania and central New York and supplies 90% of the freshwater to the upper Chesapeake Bay than on the freshwater input to the Potomac which has a more localized drainage area (western Maryland and the Appalachian region of northern Virginia and West Virginia).

Body burdens of some petroleum and chlorinated hydrocarbons in both populations of striped bass (Table 2) are at levels which can affect striped bass condition, growth, reproduction and survival. Highest mean concentrations of DDD and DDE were found in striped bass eggs from the Sacramento (1.1 and 5.2 ug g⁻¹ wet wt., respectively) and the Choptank Rivers (0.5 and 1.1 $\mu g g^{-1}$) which are surrounded by agricultural lands. Concentrations of total PCBs in the two systems were also highest in striped bass eggs from the Sacramento (10.7 μ g g⁻¹) and Choptank (6.2 μ g g⁻¹) Rivers (Table 2). Concentrations of PCBs were higher in striped bass eggs from the Hudson River, 26.4 µg g⁻¹, (CNFRL, 1983). Although total PCB concentrations in adult female striped bass from the Hudson were higher than in adult females from either the Chesapeake or San Francisco systems, concentrations were of the same order of magnitude (7.6 µg g⁻¹ in Hudson vs. 1.1-2.8 µg g⁻¹ in the Chesapeake and San Francisco estuaries). Concentrations of organic hydrocarbons were typically higher in striped bass from the Sacramento River than in striped bass from Chesapeake Bay tributaries (Table 2).

Survival of both starved and fed striped bass larvae in laboratory experiments was inversely related to concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons; HCB, DDTs, PCBs and chlordanes) in the eggs. Body burdens of chlorinated hydrocarbons increased in rough proportion to the cumulative amount of food-borne contaminant (*Artemia* nauplii containing distinctive organochlorine residues) in striped bass larvae hatched from eggs with low maternal contributions of chlorinated hydrocarbons. In larvae with high initial concentrations of contaminants however, only DDTs showed accumulation during the feeding period (Westin *et al.* 1985).

A further comparison of Chesapeake and San Francisco Bays shows other similarities and differences. High spring flows appears important to survival of striped bass in the San Francisco Bay Delta and the Potomac estuary. Stevens et al. (1985) found that outflow was the major factor associated with survival to YOY stage in the San Francisco Bay Delta population. In years of high flow striped bass larvae are transported down estuary to Suisun Bay where abundant populations of zooplankton prey are found. Higher than normal spring flows (April only), along with colderthan-normal winter temperatures are also correlated with successful year classes in the Potomac River (Boynton et al., 1977; Mihursky et al., 1981). However this relationship does not appear to hold for the upper Chesapeake Bay (Walter Boynton, CBL, pers. comm.).

Polgar et al. (1985) evaluated the effects of climatic factors, human population changes and dredging activity on Potomac River striped bass stocks (1929-1976). Climatic factors, specifically previous December's temperature, April temperature and April river flow most significantly affected striped bass population dynamics; pollution variables were not significant. In contrast Potomac River stocks of American shad showed strong dependence on human population levels (but not on dredging activity) compared to climatic factors (Polgar et al., 1985).

Low pH and fluctuations in pH adversely affect populations of striped bass in the low conductivity, freshwater Eastern Shore tributaries of Chesapeake Bay (Hall *et al.*, 1985). In these tributaries, metals and organics input are via the pulses of freshwater resulting from precipitation. Thus for example, although the rainfall in the Choptank River watershed during the 1986 spawning season was insufficient to produce a pulse

through the system, one minor storm producing ~ 0.5 in. of rain resulted in a two fold increase in monomeric aluminium in the spawning reaches of the river (Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1986).

Goodyear (1985) investigated the effects of pollution-related mortality on Maryland striped bass, but found it was currently impossible to determine the relative contribution of fishing mortality and contaminant mortality. Contaminants were found in all lifehistory stages of striped bass in Maryland waters, however, no single contaminant was implicated in the decline. The same situation occurs with the striped bass in San Francisco Bay Delta, although fishing mortality is thought to be less important than natural mortality (Stevens *et al.*, 1985). Population modelling of Chesapeake Bay stocks of striped bass by Goodyear (1985) suggested that an immediate reduction in fishing mortality would be beneficial regardless of the causal factors in the decline.

Storm run-off may also adversely affect San Francisco Bay populations of striped bass. The Mediterranean climate in the San Francisco Bay area, with hot dry summers, followed by heavy rainstorms, tends to increase the importance of storm run-off containing high levels of pollutants such as petrochemicals.

Both Chesapeake and San Francisco Bay Delta estuaries are adjacent to agricultural development. The San Francisco Bay Delta, is the drainage system for one of the most intensive agricultural areas in the world, the Central Valley of California, with very heavy usage of pesticides and herbicides of immense diversity.

Striped bass from estuarine populations occur in an environment with highly variable conditions of flow and with urbanization and attendant pollutant and water quality problems. Larval survival and population production is also highly variable. The persistence of many estuarine populations appears dependent on dominant year classes (e.g., 1970 in the Chesapeake System and 1965-66 in the San Francisco Bay population). It is possible that the intensifying instability of climate and resultant fresh-water flow, with increasing pollution is suppressing the survival of large year classes, thereby diminishing their influence on population persistence in the estuary. The life history adaptive capacities of both Chesapeake and San Francisco Bay populations of striped bass may be increasingly unable to keep pace with their rapidly changing and deteriorating habitats.

Contribution No. 1914 of the University of Maryland Center for Environmental and Estuarine Studies.

- Bakun, A. & Parrish, R. H. (1980). Environmental inputs to fishery population models for Eastern boundary current regions. In Workshop on Effects of Environmental Variation on the Survival of Larval Pelagic Fishes (G. D. Sharp, ed.), pp. 87-104. Workshop report No. 28, Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, UNESCO, Paris.
- Berggren, T. J. & Lieberman, J. T. (1978). Relative contribution of Hudson, Chesapeake and Roanoke striped bass, Morone saxatilis, stocks to the Atlantic coast fishery. Fish Rull 76 335-345
- stocks to the Atlantic coast fishery. Fish Bull. 76, 335-345.
 Bonzek, C. F. & Jones, P. W. (1984). Assessment of the population dynamics of important commercial or recreational fish of Chesapeake Bay. An atlas of commercial fishery statistics in Maryland and Virginia 1929-1980. Technical Memorandum No. 5, Maryland Dept. Nat. Res., Tidewater Admin., Tidal Fish. Div.

Boreman, J. & Austin, H. M. (1985). Production and harvest of striped bass stocks along the Atlantic coast. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 114, 3-7.

- Boynton, W., Setzler, E. M., Wood, K. V., Zion, H. H., & Homer, M. (1977). Final report on Potomac River Fisheries study; Ichthyoplankton and juvenile investigations. University of Maryland, Center for Environmental and Estuarine Studies, Chesapcake Biological Laboratory, CEES Ref. No. 77-169 CBL.
- Briggs, P. T. & O'Connor, J. S. (1971). Comparison of shore-zone fishes over naturally vegetated and sand-filled bottoms in Great South Bay. *New York Fish and Game J.* 18, 15-41.
- Brocksen, R. W., & Bailey, H. T. (1973). Respiratory response of juvenile chinook salmon and striped bass exposed to benzene, a water-soluble component of crude oil. In Proceedings of Joint Conference on Prevention and Control of Oil Spills, p. 783-791. Am. Pet. Inst., Environ. Prot. Agency, US Coast Guard, Wash., D.C. Brown, C. L., Young, G., Nishioka, R. S. & Bern, H. A. (1987).
- Brown, C. L., Young, G., Nishioka, R. S. & Bern, H. A. (1987). Preliminary report on the physiological status of striped bass in the Carquinez Strait die-off. Fish. Res. 6, 5-16.
- Buckler, D. R., Mchrle, P. M., Cleveland, L. & Dwyer, F. J. (1987). Influence of pH on the toxicity of aluminum and other organic contaminants to East coast striped bass. *Water, Soil and Air Pollution* 35, 97-106.
- Burton, D. T. (1982). An evaluation of the potential toxicity of treated bleached Kraft mill effluent to the early life stages of striped bass (Morone saxatilis). Rept. The Johns Hopkins Univ., Appl. Physics Lab. JHU/APL CPE-R204, Laurel, Maryland.
- California Department of Fish and Game (1987). Factors affecting striped bass abundance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system. Exhibit 25 for the State Water Resources Control Board 1987 Water Quality Water Rights Proceeding on the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.
- Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Chadwick, H. K. (1977). Effects of water development on striped bass. In Marine Recreational Fisheries 2, Proceedings of the Second Annual Marine Recreational Fisheries Symposium, San Francisco, California, April 6-7, 1977, pp. 123-130. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D.C. CNFRL (Columbia National Fisheries Research Laboratory) (1983). Impacts of contaminants on early life stages of striped bass. Rept. US Dept. Int., Fish and Wildlife Serv., Columbia, Missouri
- Coutant, C. C. (1985). Striped bass, temperature and dissolved oxygen: A speculative hypothesis for environmental risk. *Trans. Am. Fish.* Soc. 114. 31-61.
- Coutant, C. C. (1987). Thermal preference: when does an asset become a liability? *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 18, 161-172.
- Cox, D. K. & Coutant, C. C. (1981). Growth dynamics of juvenile striped bass as functions of temperature and ration. *Trans. Am. Fish.* Soc. 111, 226-238.
- Crosby, D. G., Hogan, K., Bowes, G. W. & Foster, G. L. (1986). The potential impact of chlorinated hydrocarbon residues on California striped bass. In *Cooperative Striped Bass Study*, Technical Supplement 1, Project Contractors' Reports to the California State Water Resources Control Board.
- Dowgalito, M. J. (1986). Marine environmental assessment. San Francisco Bay, 1985 annual summary. NOAA, Marine Assessment Branch, Marine Environmental Assessment Division, Washington, D.C.
- Eldridge, M. B., Benville, P. & Whipple, J. A. (1981). Physiologic responses of striped bass (*Morone saxailis*) embryos and larvae to low, sublethal concentrations of the aromatic hydrocarbon benzene. *Proc. Gulf Caribb. Fish. Inst.* 33, 52-68.
- Florence, B. M. (1980). Harvest of the northeastern coastal striped bass stocks produced in the Chesapeake Bay. In Marine Recreational Fisheries 5 (H. Clepper, ed.), pp. 29-36. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Gadbois, D. F. & Maney, R. S. (1983). Survey of polychlorinated biphenyls in selected finfish species from United States coastal waters. Fish. Bull. U.S. 81, 389-396.
- Goodyear, C. P. (1985). Toxic materials, fishing and environmental variation: Simulated effects on striped bass population trends. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 114, 107-113. Gunther, A. J., Davis, J. A. & Phillips, D. J. H. (1987). An assessment
- Gunther, A. J., Davis, J. A. & Phillips, D. J. H. (1987). An assessment of the loading of toxic contaminants to the San Francisco Bay Delta. Aquatic Habitat Institute, San Francisco Bay Delta, Richmond, Calif.
- Hall, L. W., Horseman, L. O. & Zeger, S. (1984). Effects of organic and inorganic chemical contaminants on fertilization, hatching success and prolarval survival of striped bass. Archives Environ. Contam. Toxicol 13, 723-729.
- Holt C. U. S., 123-123.
 Hall L. W. J., Hall, W. S., Bushong, S. J., & Herman, R. L. (1987a). In situ striped bass (Morone saxatilis) contaminant and water quality studies in the Potomac River. Aquaic Toxicol. 10, 73-99.
 Hall, L. W., Jr., Hall, W. S. & Bushong, S. J. (1986). In situ investigations
- Hall, L. W., Jr., Hall, W. S. & Bushong, S. J. (1986). In situ investigations for assessing striped bass, Morone saxatilis, prolarval and yearling

survival as related to contaminants and water quality parameters in the Potomac River-Contaminant and water quality evaluations in East Coast striped bass habitats. Final Rept., The Johns Hopkins Univ., Appl. Physics Lab.

- Hall, L. W., Jr., Pickney, A. E., Herman, R. L. & Finger, S. E. (1987b). Survival of striped bass larvae and yearlings in relation to contaminants and water quality in the upper Chesapeake Bay. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 16, 391-400.
- Hall, L. W., Jr., Pickney, A. E., Horseman, L. O. & Finger, S. E. (1985). Mortality of striped bass larvae in relation to contaminants and water quality in a Chesapeake Bay tributary. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 114, 861-868.
- Heck, K. L., Jr. & Orth, R. J. (1980). Structural components of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) meadows in the lower Chesapeake Bay-Decapod crustacea. *Estuaries* 3, 289-295.
- Jung, M., Whipple, J. A. & Moser, L. M. (1984). Summary report of the Cooperative Striped Bass Study (COSBS): A study of the effects of pollutants on the San Francisco Bay-Delta striped bass fishery. Draft summary report submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board. Institute for Aquatic Resources, Coastal Marine Studies, Univ Calif., Santa Cruz, Calif.
- Kemp, W. M., Twilley, R. R., Stevenson, J. C., Boynton, W. R. & Means, J. C. (1983). The decline of submerged vascular plants in upper Chesapeake Bay: Summary of results concerning possible clauses. *Mar. Tech. Soc. J.* **17**, 78-89.
- Kernehan, R. J., Hendrick, M. R. & Smith, R. E. (1981). Early life history of striped bass in the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal and vicinity. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 110, 137-150.
- Kerr, R. E. (1985). Wild string of winters confirmed. Science (Wash., D.C.) 227, 506.
 Kohlenstein, L. C. (1981). On the proportion of the Chesapeake Bay
- Kohlenstein, L. C. (1981). On the proportion of the Chesapeake Bay stock of striped bass that migrates into the coastal fishery. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 110, 168-179.
- Korn, S., Hirsch, N. & Struhsaker, J. W. (1976a). Uptake. distribution and depuration of ¹⁴C-benzene in northern anchovy Engnulis mondax and striped bass Morone saxatilis. Fish. Bull. U.S. 74, 545-551.
- Korn, S., Struhsaker, J. W. & Benville, P., Jr. (1976b). Effects of benzene on growth, fat content, and caloric content of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis. Fish Bull. U.S.* 74, 694-698.
- Low, A. F. (1986). 1985 striped bass egg and larva survey in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Calif. Dep. Fish Game Rep., Bay-Delta Fish. Proj., Stockton, Calif.
- MacFarlane, R. B. & Benville, P. B. Jr. (1986). Primary and secondary stress responses of striped bass (Morone saxatilis) exposed to benzene. Mar. Biol. 92, 245-254.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources (1985). First annual status report on striped bass 1985. Maryland Dept. Natural Resources, Tidewater Admin., Fish. Div., Annapolis, Maryland 21401.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources (1986). Second annual status report on striped bass 1986. Maryland Dept. Natural Resources, Tidewater Admin., Fish. Div., Annapolis, Maryland 21401.
- Mchrie, P. M., Haines, T. A., Hamilton, S., Ludke, J. L., Mayer, F. L. & Ribick, M. A. (1982). Relationship between body contaminants and bone development in east-coast striped bass. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 111, 231-241.
- Wehrle, P. M., Buckler, D., Finger, S. E. & Ludke, L. (1984). Impact of contaminants on striped bass. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Columbia National Fisheries Research Laboratory, Interim Report. Columbia. Missouri.
- Mehrle, P. M., Cleveland, L. & Buckler, D. R. (1987). Chronic toxicity of an environmental contaminant mixture to young (or larval) striped bass. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 35, 107-118.
- Mihursky, J. A., Boynton, W. R., Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., Wood, K. V. & Polgar, T. T. (1981). Freshwater influences on striped bass population dynamics. In Proceedings of the National Symposium on Freshwater Inflow to Estuaries (R. D. Cross & D. L. Williams, eds), pp. 149-167. US Dept. Interior, Fish & Wildlife Serv., FWS/OBS-81-04.
- Officer, C. B., Biggs, R. B., Tafi, J. L., Cronin, L. E., Tyler, M. A. & Boynton, W. R. (1984). Chesapeake Bay anoxia: Origin, development, significance. *Science* 223, 22-27.
- Orth, R. J. (1977). The importance of sediment stability in seagrass communities. In *Ecology of Marine Benthos* (B. C. Coull, ed.), pp. 281-300. Univ. of South Carolina Press, Columbia, South Carolina.
- Orth, R. J. & Heck, K. L., Jr., (1980). Structural components of celgrass (Zostera marina) meadows in the lower Chesapeake Bay-Fishes. Estuaries 4, 278-288.
- Palawski, D., Hunn, J. B., & Dwyer, F. J. (1985). Sensitivity of young striped bass to organic and inorganic contaminants in fresh and saline waters. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 114, 748-753.
- Phillips, D. J. H. (1987). Toxic Contaminants in the San Francisco Bay Delta and Their Biological Effects. Aquatic Habitat Institute,

Richmond, California.

- Polgar, T. T., Summers, J. K., Cummins, R. A., Rose, K. A. & Heimbuch, D. G. (1985). Investigation of relationships among pollutant loadings and fish stock levels in northeastern estuaries. *Estuaries* 8, 125-135.
- Price, K. S., Flemer, P. A., Taft, J. L., Mackierman, G. B., Nehlsen, W., Biggs, R. B., Burger, N. H. & Blaylock, D. A. (1985). Nutrient enrichment of Chesapeake Bay and its impact on the habitat of striped bass: A speculative hypothesis. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 114, 97-106.
- Setzler, E. M., Wood, K. V., Shelton, T., Drewry, G. & Mihursky, J. A. (1979). Chalk Point Steam Electric Station Studies Patuxent Estuary Studies: Ichthyoplankton population studies 1978 data report. Submitted to Maryland Dept. Nat. Res., Power Plant Siting Program. Contract No. P2-72-02(78) mod. 4 & 5. Univ. Maryland Center for Environmental and Estuarine Studies, Chesapeake Biol. Lab., Ref. No. UMCEES 79-20-CEL.
- Setzler, E. M., Boynton, W. R., Wood, K. V., Zion, H. H., Lubbers, L. Mountford, N. K., Frere, P., Tucker, L., & Mihursky, J. A. (1980). Synopsis of biological data on striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). NOAA Tech. Rept. NMFS Circ. 433.
- Stagg, C. (1985). An evaluation of the information available for managing Chesapeake Bay Fisheries: preliminary stock assessments. Vol. I. Chesapeake Bay Commission. Univ. of Marvland Center tor Environ. and Estuarine Studies, Ches. Biol. Lab., Solomons. MD. IUMCESI CBL 85-29. Solomons. Marvland.
- Stevens, D. E., Kohlhorst, D. W., Miller, L. W. & Kelley, D. W. (1985). The decline of striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, California. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 114, 12-30.
- Taberski, K. M. (1982). Effects of benzene on tissues of juvenile striped bass histopathology. M.S. Thesis, San Francisco State University. San Francisco, California.
- Tuttle, J. H., Jonas, R. B., & Malone, T. C. (1987). Origin, development and significance of Chesapeake Bay anoxia. In Contaminant Problems and Management of Living Chesapeake Bay Resources (S. K. Majumdar, L. W. Hall, Jr. & H. M. Austin, eds), pp. 442-472. Pennsylvania Aca. Sci.
- Vassilaros, D. L., Eastwood, D. A., Booth, G. M. & Lee, M. L. (1982). Determination and bioconcentration of polycyclic aromatic sulfur in heterocycles in aquatic biota. In Sixth International Symposium for Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Physical and
- Biological Chemistry (M. Cooke, A. J. Dennis, and G. L. Fisher, eds.), pp. 845-857.Westin, D. T., Olney, C. E. & Rogers, B. A. (1985). Effects of parental
- Westin, D. I., Uney, C. E. & Rogers, D. A. (1965). Effects of parental and dietary organo-chlorines on survival and body burdens of striped bass larvae. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 114, 125–136.
- Whipple, J. A., Crosby, D. G. & Jung, M. (1983). Third progress report: Cooperative Striped Bass Study (COSBS). Special Projects Report 83-3sp. State Water Resources Control Board. Special Projects, Toxic Substances Control Program. Sacramento, California.
- Whipple, J. A., Eldridge, M. B. & Benville, P., Jr. (1981). An ecological perspective of the effects of monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on fishes. In *Biological Monitoring of Marine Pollutants* (F. J. Vernberg, A. Calabrese, F. P. Thurberg, & W. B. Vernberg, eds), pp. 483-551. Academic Press, New York.
- Whipple, J. A., MacFarlane, R. B., Eldridge, M. B. & Benville, P., Jr. (1987). The impacts of estuarine degradation and chronic pollution on populations of anadromous striped bass (Morone saxatilis) in the San Francisco Bay Delta, California: A summary. In San Francisco Bay: Issues, Resources, Status, and Management (D. M. Goodrich, ed), pp. 77-106. NOAA Estuary-of-the-Month Seminar Series No. n6. US Dept Commer., National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA Estuarine Programs Office.
- Wright, D. A. (1987). Trace metal and toxic organic concentrations in striped bass and white perch larvae, freshwater clams and surficial sediments from northern Chesapeake Bay ributaries. Rept. to Maryland Dept. Nat. Res., Tidewater Admin., Annapolis, MD 21401, Univ. of Maryland, Center for Environ. and Estuarine Studies, Chesapeake Biol. Lab., Ref. No. [UMCEES]CBL 87-109.
- Wright, D. A. (1988). Dose-related toxicology of copper and cadmium in striped bass larvae from the Chesapeake Bay: Field considerations. *Water Sci. Technol.* (in press).
- Wright, D. A., Meteyer, M. J. & Martin, D. F. (1985). Effect of calcium on cadmium uptake and toxicity in larvae and juveniles of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 34, 196-204. Wright, D. A., Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., Martin, F. D., Millsaps, C. V. &
- Wright, D. A., Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., Martin, F. D., Millsaps, C. V. & Whitlow, S. I. (1986). Assessment of cundition of striped bass larvae from northern Chesapeake Bay using morphometric, histologic, and biochemical techniques (with reference with food availability and water quality). Report for years 1983-1985 to Maryland Dept. Nat. Res., Tidewater Admin., Annapolis, MD 21401. University of Maryland Center for Environ. and Estuarine Studies, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Ref. No. [UMCEES]CBL 86-82.